# A METHOD OF EXTENDING BY PARAMETER FOR APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS OF OPERATOR EQUATIONS

### KHUAT VAN NINH

ABSTRACT. A method of extending by parameter has been researched in the works of V. A. Trenoghin [4]-[6], A. A. Fonarov [1] and Y. L. Gaponenco [2]. In this paper, the author presents an application of this method for approximating solutions of operator equations with any growth. Some results on the existence of solutions of operator equations are derived.

## 1. About the operator equation A(x) + B(x) = f

Let us consider the equation

(1.1) 
$$A(x) + B(x) = f.$$

Assume that X is a metric space with a distance  $\rho(,)$ , Y a Banach space and A, B are operators mapping from X to Y, f is given in Y. We pose the following conditions:

I) The operator A maps one-to-one from X onto Y, II)  $||B(x) - B(y)|| \le L ||A(x) - A(y)||, L = \text{const}; x, y \in X$ , III) There exists a number  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in X$ , the following inequality holds

$$||A(x) - A(y) + \lambda [B(x) - B(y)]|| \ge \gamma ||A(x) - A(y)||,$$

IV)  $\rho(x, y) \le \alpha ||A(x) - A(y)||, \quad \alpha = \text{const}, \quad x, y \in X.$ 

In view of [5, p. 457] if conditions I) - IV) are satisfied, then equation (1.1) has a unique solution. Assuming that conditions I) - IV) are satisfied, we take a minimal natural number N such that

$$q = \max\{L/N, L/\gamma N\} < 1.$$

Denote  $\varepsilon = 1/N$ , then equation (1.1) has the form

$$A(x) + N\varepsilon \ B(x) = f.$$

Received March 3, 2010; in revised form October 5, 2010.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 47J25,\ 47J05,\ 45G15.$ 

Key words and phrases. Operator equation, approximate.

Consider the following system of equations

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x) = u \\ A_1(x) \equiv A(x) + \varepsilon B(x) = u_1 \\ A_2(x) \equiv A_1(x) + \varepsilon B(x) = u_2 \\ \dots \\ A_{N-1}(x) \equiv A_{N-2}(x) + \varepsilon B(x) = u_{N-1} \\ A_N(x) \equiv A_{N-1}(x) + \varepsilon B(x) = u_N, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_N = f$ .

We prove that the operators  $A_i, i = 1, 2, ..., N$  are one-to-one maps from X onto Y. From condition I), it follows that the operator A is invertible and the inverse operator  $A^{-1}$  of A is a map from Y onto X. To prove the existence of operator  $A_1^{-1}$ , we consider the following system of equations

(1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x) = u\\ u + \varepsilon B A^{-1}(u) = u_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_1$  is given in Y. Let u and w, be two any elements of Y and  $x = A^{-1}(u)$ ,  $x' = A^{-1}(w)$ . Using conditions I), III) and IV), we get

$$\rho(x, x') = \rho(A^{-1}(u), A^{-1}(u')) \le \alpha \|u - u'\|,$$
  
$$\|\varepsilon B A^{-1}(u) - \varepsilon B A^{-1}(u')\| = \varepsilon \|B(x) - B(x')\|$$
  
$$\le \varepsilon L \|A(x) - A(x')\| = L/N \|u - u'\|$$
  
$$\le q \|u - u'\|.$$

Therefore, the operator  $\varepsilon BA^{-1}$  is contractive. This means that system (1.3) has a unique solution and the operator  $A_1$  is invertible. Further, to prove the existence of operator  $A_2^{-1}$ , we consider the equation

(1.4) 
$$u_1 + \varepsilon B A_1^{-1}(u_1) = u_2,$$

where  $u_2$  is given in Y. Let u and u be two any elements of Y,  $x = A_1^{-1}(u)$ ,  $x' = A_1^{-1}(u')$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varepsilon BA_{1}^{-1}(u) - \varepsilon BA_{1}^{-1}(u')\| &= \varepsilon \|B(x) - B(x')\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon L \|A(x) - A(x')\| \\ &\leq q \|A(x) - A(x') + \varepsilon [B(x) - B(x')]\| \\ &= q \|A_{1}(x) - A_{1}(x')\| = q \|u - u'\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the operator  $\varepsilon BA_1^{-1}$  is contractive and equation (1.4) has a unique solution. This proves that the operator  $A_2^{-1}$  exists. In the same way, we prove that the operators  $A_i^{-1}$ ; i = 3, 4, ..., N - 1 exist and  $\varepsilon BA_i^{-1}$  is contractive with

the coefficient q. Now we present system (1.2) in the following form

(1.5) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x) = u \\ u = -\varepsilon B A^{-1}(u) + u_1 \\ u_1 = -\varepsilon B A_1^{-1}(u_1) + u_2 \\ \dots \\ u_{N-2} = -\varepsilon B A_{N-2}^{-1}(u_{N-2}) + u_{N-1} \\ u_{N-1} = -\varepsilon B A_{N-1}^{-1}(u_{N-1}) + f. \end{cases}$$

The approximate solution of system (1.5) is constructed as follows

(1.6) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x_k) = u^{(k)} \\ u^{(k+1)} = -\varepsilon B A^{-1}(u^{(k)}) + u_1^{(l)}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ u_1^{(l+1)} = -\varepsilon B A_1^{-1}(u_1^{(l)}) + u_2^{(p)}, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \dots \\ u_{N-2}^{(m+1)} = -\varepsilon B A_{N-2}^{-1}(u_{N-2}^{(m)}) + u_{N-1}^{(n)}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ u_{N-1}^{(m+1)} = -\varepsilon B A_{N-1}^{-1}(u_{N-1}^{(m)}) + f, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

From condition I), it follows that there exists an element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $A(x_0) = 0$ . Put  $B_1(x) = B(x) - B(x_0)$ ,  $f_1 = f - B(x_0)$ ,  $(x \in X)$ . It is clear that equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following equation

$$A(x) + B_1(x) = f_1,$$

where the operator  $B_1$  satisfies conditions II), III) and  $B_1(x_0) = 0$ . Therefore without loss of generality it can be assumed that  $A(x_0) = 0$ ,  $B(x_0) = 0$ . It can be assumed that the first approximation in each iteration in (1.6) equals 0 and the number of steps in each iteration equals s. Assume that  $(x, u, u_1, u_2, u_N - 1)$  is an exact solution of system (1.5). At first, we assume that the value of  $A_i^{-1}(u_i^{(s)})$ is calculated exactly. We have

(1.7)  

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x_s, x) &\leq \alpha \|A(x_s) - A(x)\| = \alpha \left\| u^{(s)} - u \right\|, \\
\left\| u^{(s)} - u \right\| &\leq \frac{q^s}{1 - q} \left\| u_1^{(s)} \right\|, \\
\left\| u_1^{(s)} - u_1 \right\| &\leq \frac{q^s}{1 - q} \left\| u_2^{(s)} \right\|, \\
& \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| u_{N-1}^{(s)} - u_{N-1} \right\| \le \frac{q^s}{1-q} \left\| f \right\|.$$

Now we estimate  $\left\|u_{1}^{(s)}\right\|,...,\left\|u_{N-1}^{(s)}\right\|.$  Let us consider the operators

$$F_i(v) = v + \varepsilon B A_i^{-1}(v), v \in Y; \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$$

Let v and v' be two any elements of Y, we denote  $F_i(v) = g$ , and  $F_i(v') = g'$ . Then we have  $v = F_i^{-1}(g)$ ,  $v' = F_i^{-1}(g')$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left\| F_{i}^{-1}(g) - F_{i}^{-1}(g') \right\| &= \|v - v'\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| v - v' + \varepsilon \left[ BA_{i}^{-1}(v) - BA_{i}^{-1}(v') \right] \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| (v + \varepsilon BA_{i}^{-1}(v)) - (v' + \varepsilon BA_{i}^{-1}(v')) \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\| g - g' \right\|. \end{split}$$

Then  $F_i^{-1}$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with the coefficient  $1/\gamma.$ 

We take  $v = u_i$  and v = 0. From  $F_i(u_i) = u_{i+1}, F_i(0) = 0$ , we get

(1.8) 
$$||u_i|| \le \frac{1}{\gamma} ||u_{i+1}||.$$

Applying this inequality several times, we have

(1.9) 
$$||u_i|| \le \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{N-i} ||u_N|| = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{N-i} ||f||.$$

On the other hand, we have

(1.10) 
$$\left\| u_i^{(s)} \right\| \le \left\| u_i^{(s)} - u_i \right\| + \left\| u_i \right\|$$
$$\le \frac{q^s}{1 - q} \left\| u_{i+1} \right\| + \left\| u_i \right\|, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N - 2.$$

Combining inequalities (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10), we obtain

(1.11)  
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u_i^{(s)} \right\| &\leq \left\| u_i^{(s)} - u_i \right\| + \|u_i\| \\ &\leq \frac{q^s}{1-q} \|u_{i+1}\| + \frac{1}{\gamma} \|u_{i+1}\| \\ &= \left( \frac{q^s}{1-q} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \|u_{i+1}\| ) \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., N-2). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.9) and (1.11), we have

(1.12) 
$$\left\| u_i^{(s)} \right\| \le \left( \frac{q^s}{1-q} + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)^{N-1-i} \|f\|, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N-1.$$

122

We denote

$$c = \max\left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{s}}}{1-\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{N-1-i} \|f\|, i = 1, 2, ..., N-1 \right\},$$

$$c_1 = \max\left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{s}}}{1-\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{N-1-i} \|f_1\|, i = 1, 2, ..., N-1 \right\},$$

$$\delta = c \frac{q^s}{1-q}.$$

Therefore, from (1.7), we have

(1.13) 
$$\left\| u^{(s)} - u \right\| \le \delta.$$

Now we evaluate the speed of convergence of iterative processes taking into account of the error of the calculations of  $\varepsilon BA_i^{-1}(u_i^{(s)})$ , (i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1). We consider the following problems:

**Problem 1.** One step by parameter (N = 1). In this case, the system (1.5) has the form

$$\begin{cases} A(x_k) &= u^{(k)} \\ u^{(k+1)} &= -BA(u^{(k)}) + f, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

We have

(1.14) 
$$\delta_1 \equiv \left\| u^{(s)} - u \right\| \le \delta,$$
$$\eta_1 = \rho(x_s, x) \le \alpha \delta_1 \le \alpha \delta,$$
$$\Delta_1 \equiv \eta_1 \le \alpha \delta.$$

**Problem 2.** Two steps by parameter (N = 2). In this case, the system (1.5) has the form

(1.15) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x_k) = u^{(k)} \\ u^{(k+1)} = -\varepsilon B A^{-1}(u^{(k)}) + u^{(l)}_1, k = 1, 2, ... \\ u^{(l+1)}_1 = -\varepsilon B A^{-1}_1(u^{(l)}_1) + f, l = 1, 2, ... \end{cases}$$

The value  $u_1^{(l)}$  is calculated with an error  $\delta$ , on the other hand, the operator  $\varepsilon BA_1^{-1}(u_1^{(l)})$  is contractive with the coefficient q. Therefore,  $\varepsilon BA_1^{-1}(u_1^{(l)})$  is calculated with the error  $q\delta$ . The error of an iterative process in the calculation of  $u_1$  equals  $\delta$ . Then we have

$$\delta_2 \equiv \left\| u_1^{(s)} - u_1 \right\| \le \delta + q\delta,$$
  
$$\Delta_2 \equiv \rho(x_s, x) \le \alpha(\delta + q\delta_1).$$

**Problem i.** *i* steps by parameter (N = i)

(1.16) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x_k) = u^{(k)} \\ u^{(k+1)} = -\varepsilon B A^{-1}(u^{(k)}) + u_1^{(l)}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ u_1^{(l+1)} = -\varepsilon B A_1^{-1}(u_1^{(l)}) + u_2^{(p)}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \dots \\ u_{i-1}^{(n+1)} = -\varepsilon B A_{i-1}^{-1}(u_{i-1}^{(n)}) + f, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

In this problem,  $u_{i-1}^{(s)}$  is calculated with the error  $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \ldots + \delta_{i-2}$ . On the other hand, the operators  $\varepsilon BA_{i-1}^{-1}$  are contractive with the coefficient q. Therefore,  $\varepsilon BA_{i-1}^{-1}(u_{i-1}^{(s)})$  is calculated with the error  $q(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \ldots + \delta_{i-2})$ . We have

$$\delta_{i-1} \equiv \left\| u_{i-1}^{(s)} - u_{i-1} \right\| \le \delta + q(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots \delta_{i-2}), \\ \Delta_{i-1} \equiv \rho(x_s, x) \le \alpha(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \dots + \delta_{i-2}).$$

Using Bellman-Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\delta_{i-1} \le \delta \exp\left[(i-2)q\right]$$
  
$$\Delta_{i-1} \le \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{i} \delta \exp\left[(j-2)q\right] = \delta \alpha \frac{\exp\left[(i-2)q\right] - 1}{\left[\exp(q) - 1\right]}.$$

Substituting N for i - 1, we have

$$\Delta_N \equiv \rho(x_s, x) \le \delta \alpha \frac{\exp[(N-1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]} = c \alpha q^s \frac{1}{1 - q} \frac{\exp[(N-1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]}.$$

In the general case, we have

$$\Delta_N \equiv \rho(x_s, x) \le c_1 \alpha q^s \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{\exp[(N-1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]}.$$

From the above obtained results, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the operators A and B satisfy conditions I) - IV). Then there exists a unique solution x of equation (1.1) and that approximated solutions of x constructed by formula (1.6) tend to x. Moreover, the speed of the convergence is estimated by the formula

$$\rho(x_s, x) \le c_1 \alpha q^s \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{\exp[(N-1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]},$$

where

$$c_{1} = \max\left\{ \left(\frac{q^{s}}{1-q} + \frac{1}{\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{N-1-i} \|f_{1}\|, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N-1. \right\}$$

2. About the operator equation A(x) + B(x) + C(x) = f

Let us consider the equation

(2.1) 
$$A(x) + B(x) + C(x) = f_x$$

# 2.1. The existence of solution.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that the operators A and B satisfy conditions I) - IV). The operator C maps from X into Y and satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

$$||C(x) - C(x^{i})|| \le K\rho(x, x^{i}),$$

where  $\theta \equiv K \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} < 1$ . Then the equation (2.1) has a unique solution.

*Proof.* Let us denote

(2.2) 
$$G(x) = A(x) + B(x), \forall x \in X$$

Application of Theorem 1 yields the existence of the inverse operator  $G^{-1}$ , that maps from Y into X. By taking  $x = G^{-1}(u), \forall u \in Y$ , we see that the equation (2.2) has the form

(2.3) 
$$u + CG^{-1}(u) = f.$$

We show that the operator  $G^{-1}$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition. For every  $u \in Y$  and  $w \in Y$  we take  $x = G^{-1}(w)$  and  $x' = G^{-1}(w)$ .

Using conditions III) and IV), we get

$$\rho\left(G^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(u^{\prime})\right) = \rho(x, x^{\prime})$$

$$\leq \alpha \|A(x) - A(x^{\prime})\|$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \|A(x) - A(x^{\prime}) + [B(x) - B(x^{\prime})]\|$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \|u - u^{\prime}\|.$$

Therefore, the operator  $G^{-1}$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition with  $L_1 = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$ .

We prove that the operator  $CG^{-1}$  is contractive. Indeed, we have

$$\|CG^{-1}(u) - CG^{-1}(u')\| \le K\rho(G^{-1}(u), G^{-1}(u'))$$
  
$$\le K\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \|u - u'\|$$
  
$$= \theta \|u - u'\|.$$

Hence, the equation (2.3) has a unique solution. This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

125

2.2. The approximate solution of equation (2.1). By using the notations in (2.2) and (2.3), we see that, the equation (2.1) is equivalent to the system of equations

(2.4) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x) + B(x) = u \\ u + CG^{-1}(u) = f. \end{cases}$$

The system (2.4) is solved approximately as follows:

(2.5) 
$$\begin{cases} A(x_k) + B(x_k) = u_k \\ u_{k+1} = -CG^{-1}(u_k) + f, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, ..., u_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

In this scheme, the equation A(x) + B(x) = u is solved approximately as in Section 1. The equation  $u + CG^{-1}(u) = f$  is solved by method of iteration:

$$u_{k+1} = -CG^{-1}(u_k) + f, k = 0, 1, 2, ..., u_0 = 0.$$

Assume that the number of steps in each iteration process equals s. Then the error  $\varepsilon_s$  of method of iteration is calculated as follows:

$$\varepsilon_s = \frac{\theta^s}{1-\theta} \left\| f \right\|.$$

We see that  $G^{-1}(u_s)$  is calculated with the error  $\Delta_N$ . On the other hand, the operator C has the Lipschitz coefficient K, hence, the error of calculation of iteration (2.5) is  $K\Delta_N$ .

Therefore, the error  $\eta_s$  of iteration (2.5) is calculated as follows:

(2.6) 
$$\eta_s = \varepsilon_s + K\Delta_N = \frac{\theta^s}{1-\theta} \|f\| + K\Delta_N.$$

Let us denote  $\beta_s = \rho(x_s, x)$ .

Using Theorem 1 and the Lipschitz coefficient of the operator  $G^{-1}$ , we get

(2.7) 
$$\beta_s = \rho(x_s, x) \le \Delta_N + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \eta_s = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \varepsilon_s + (K \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} + 1) \Delta_N$$
$$\le \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \frac{\theta^s}{1 - \theta} \|f\| + (\theta + 1) c \alpha q^s \frac{1}{1 - q} \frac{\exp[(N - 1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]}.$$

In the general case, we get

$$\beta_s = \rho(x_s, x)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \frac{\theta^s}{1 - \theta} \|f_1\| + (\theta + 1)c_1 \alpha q^s \frac{1}{1 - q} \frac{\exp[(N - 1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]}$$

Thus, we have proved the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** Assume that the operators A, B, C satisfy conditions in Theorem 2. Then approximated solution  $x_k$  tends to the solution x of equation (2.1) and the speed of the convergence is calculated by the following formula

$$\beta_s = \rho(x_s, x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \frac{\theta^s}{1-\theta} \|f_1\| + (\theta+1)c_1 q^s \frac{1}{1-q} \frac{\exp[(N-1)q] - 1}{[\exp(q) - 1]}$$

2.3. Some remarks. 1) If A = I, Y = X, and X is a Banach space, then equation (1.1) has the form:

$$x + Bx = f.$$

Assume that the operator B satisfies condition II)

(2.8) 
$$||B(x) - B(y)|| \le L ||x - y||, L = \text{const}; x, y \in X$$

and condition III) with  $\gamma = 1$ :

(2.9) 
$$||x - y + \lambda [B(x) - B(y)]|| \ge ||x - y||, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]; x, y \in X.$$

Then the application of Theorem 1 yields the result of Y. L. Gaponenco [2].

2) If A = I, Y = X, and X is a Banach space, then equation (2.1) has the form:

$$x + Bx + Cx = f.$$

Assume that the operator B satisfies conditions (2.8) and (2.9), the operator C satisfies the following condition:

$$||C(x) - C(y)|| \le \theta ||x - y||, \theta < 1; x, y \in X.$$

Then from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we get the results in [3].

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Professor V. A. Trenoghin for useful discussions and suggestions which improve the quality of this paper.

#### References

- A. A. Fonarov, On some nonlinear analogy of Shauder's method, Abstract Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1) (1983), 133.
- [2] Y. L. Gaponenco, Method of extending by parameter for equation of the second kind with Lipschitz continuity and a monotone operator, J. Calculus Math. Math. Physics 26 (8) (1986), 1123–1131 (in Russian).
- [3] K. V. Ninh, Approximate solution of the equation of a second kind with sum of two operators, Proc. Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics of Azerbaijanian Academy of Science, V.X (1999), 97–101.
- [4] V. A. Trenoghin, Functional Analysis, Moskva Nauka, 1980 (in Russian).
- [5] V. A. Trenoghin, Global invertibility of nonlinear operator and method of extending by parameter, DAN. 350 (4) (1996), 455-457 (in Russian).
- [6] V. A. Trenoghin, Local invertibility of operator and method of extending by parameter, Functional Analysis and Application 30 (2) (1996), 93–95 (in Russian).
- [7] V. G. Vetekha, Estimation of approximate solutions in method of extending by parameter, Bulletin of RUDN, Seria of Mathematics 4 (5) (1997-1998), 29–36 (in Russian).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS HANOI PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY 2 *E-mail address*: khuatvn@yahoo.com