

ON A REPRESENTATION OF CONVEX VECTOR FUNCTIONS AND THE MAXIMAL CYCLIC MONOTONICITY OF THEIR SUBDIFFERENTIAL

PHAN NHAT TINH

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a formula expressing a convex vector function as the supremum of a certain collection of affine functions and prove the maximal cyclic monotonicity of its subdifferential.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of characterizing the convexity of functions in terms of the monotonicity of their subdifferential operators is a very natural problem in nonsmooth analysis and has been studied intensively by many authors. Some new results are presented in [1], [3], where lower semicontinuous convex functions have been characterized via their Clarke subdifferential [1] or upper and lower Dini derivatives [3]. To the set-valued case, some efforts were also made to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a set-valued map to be convex (see, for example, [7], [8]).

In this paper, we consider convex vector functions from a subset of R^n to R^m , where R^m is ordered by a convex, closed and pointed cone. As in the scalar case, we shall represent convex vector functions as the supremum of a certain collection of affine functions concerning their subdifferentials. By this we prove that the subdifferential of a convex vector function defined on a relatively open set is a maximal cyclically monotone operator.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section contains some preliminaries which are needed in the sequel. Section 3 is devoted to the representation of convex vector functions. The last section is about the maximal cyclic monotonicity of subdifferential.

Received October 16, 1997; in revised form May 5, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49J52, 47H04, 47N10, 54C60.

Key words and phrases. Convex vector function, upper bound, supremum, subdifferential operator, maximal cyclically monotone operator.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $C \subseteq R^m$ be a convex cone. We define a partial order \succeq_C on R^m as follows:

$$x \succeq_C y \Leftrightarrow x - y \in C, \quad x, y \in R^m.$$

Sometimes we write \succeq instead of \succeq_C if it is clear which cone is under consideration.

Let S be a nonempty subset of R^m . An element $b \in R^m$ is said to be an upper bound of S with respect to C if $b \succeq x$ for every $x \in S$. An element $a \in R^m$ is said to be a supremum of S with respect to C if a is an upper bound of S and $a \preceq b$ for every upper bound b of S .

The cone C is called pointed if $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$. It is clear that if C is pointed and S has a supremum then that element is unique. In this case, we denote by $\text{Sup}S$ the supremum of S .

Denote by C' the positive polar cone of C , i.e.

$$C' := \{\xi \in L(R^m, R) \mid \xi(c) \geq 0, \forall c \in C\},$$

where $L(R^m, R)$ denotes the space of linear functionals on R^m .

From now on, the cone C is assumed convex, closed and pointed. We note that by [2, Chapter 1, Proposition 1.10], $\text{int}C' \neq \emptyset$. The following result will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a nonempty subset of R^m and let $a \in R^m$. If $\xi(a) = \sup \xi(S)$, for every $\xi \in \text{int}C'$, then*

$$a = \sup S.$$

Proof. Let $x \in S$ be arbitrary. For every $\xi \in \text{int}C'$, one has

$$(1) \quad \xi(a) \geq \xi(x).$$

Obviously, (1) also holds for every $\xi \in C'$. Since C is closed and convex, we get $a \succeq x$. Hence, a is an upper bound of S . Now let b be any upper bound of S . It is easy to see that $\xi(b) \geq \sup \xi(S) = \xi(a)$, for every $\xi \in \text{int}C'$. Hence, $b \succeq a$. Thus, $a = \sup S$. The proof is complete. \square

Now, let f be a vector function from a nonempty subset $D \subseteq R^n$ to R^m . We say that f is convex (or more precisely, C -convex) if for every $x, y \in D$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, one has

$$\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \succeq f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y).$$

The subdifferential of f at $x \in D$ is defined as the set

$$\partial f(x) := \{A \in L(R^n, R^m) \mid f(y) - f(x) \succeq A(y - x), \forall y \in D\},$$

where $L(R^n, R^m)$ denotes the space of linear mappings from R^n to R^m . The graph of the subdifferential (as a set-valued mapping) is denoted by $\text{graph } \partial f$.

Finally, we recall the following result.

Lemma 2.2 ([4], Theorem 4.6). *Let f be a convex function from a nonempty subset $D \subseteq R^n$ to R^m and let $x \in D, \xi \in C'$. If one of the following conditions holds*

- (i) $\text{int}D \neq \emptyset, x \in \text{int}D$
- (ii) $\text{int}D = \emptyset, x \in \text{ri}D, \xi \neq 0,$

then $\partial(\xi \circ f)(x) = \xi \partial f(x)$.

3. A REPRESENTATION OF CONVEX VECTOR FUNCTIONS

From now on, we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the duality pairing between $L(R^n, R^m)$ and R^n . As in the scalar case, we shall show that a convex vector function can be represented as the supremum of a certain collection of affine functions.

Let $g : R^n \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be an extended-real-valued function. We say that g is proper if $\text{Dom}g$ is nonempty. Sometimes, we shall identify a proper function $g : R^n \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ with the function $\bar{g} : \text{Dom}g \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$\bar{g}(x) = g(x), \quad (\forall x \in \text{Dom}g).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g : R^n \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be proper and convex. Then*

$$\partial g(x) = \partial(\text{cl}g)(x), \quad (\forall x \in \text{ri}(\text{Dom}g)),$$

where $\text{cl}g$ denotes the closure of g .

Proof. The inclusion $\partial(\text{cl}g)(x) \subseteq \partial g(x)$ is immediate from the definition of subdifferential and from the fact that $\text{cl}g \leq g$. For the converse inclusion, let $x^* \in \partial g(x), y \in \text{Dom}(\text{cl}g)$ be arbitrary. For every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$g(\lambda y + (1 - \lambda)x) - g(x) \geq \lambda \langle x^*, y - x \rangle.$$

Taking $\lambda \rightarrow 1$, we obtain

$$(\text{cl}g)(y) - (\text{cl}g)(x) \geq \langle x^*, y - x \rangle.$$

Thus, $x^* \in \partial(\text{cl } g)(x)$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g : R^n \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper, convex function with the relatively open domain and let $x \in \text{Dom } g, (x_0, x_0^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g$. Then*

$$g(x) = g(x_0) + \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Consider the function $\text{cl } g$. From the Rockafellar formula [6, Part 5, Theorem 24.8] one has

$$(2) \quad \text{cl } g(x) = \text{cl } g(x_0) + \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\text{cl } g), i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Since $\text{Dom } g$ is relatively open and $x, x_0 \in \text{Dom } g$ then by (2), one has

$$g(x) - g(x_0) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\text{cl } g), i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Taking into account the definition of subdifferential, one has

$$g(x) - g(x_0) \geq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

To complete the proof, we shall show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $(x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\text{cl } g)$, $(i = 1, \dots, k)$, $k \geq 1$, there exist $(y_i, y_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle x_0^*, y_1 - x_0 \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle y_i^*, y_{i+1} - y_i \rangle + \langle y_k^*, x - y_k \rangle \\ & \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$, $(x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\text{cl } g)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be given. First, we shall change the pair (x_k, x_k^*) by a pair $(y_k, y_k^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_{k-1}^*, y_k - x_{k-1} \rangle + \langle y_k^*, x - y_k \rangle \\ \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{k}.$$

The existence of a such a pair (y_k, y_k^*) is shown as follows. Since the function $\langle x_{k-1}^*, y - x_{k-1} \rangle$ is continuous at x_k then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|y - x_k\| < \delta$ implies

$$(5) \quad \langle x_{k-1}^*, y - x_{k-1} \rangle \geq \langle x_{k-1}^*, x_k - x_{k-1} \rangle - \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}.$$

Let y belong to the interval (x, x_k) . Represent y as $y = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda x_k$ for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Fix $x^* \in \partial g(x)$. By the monotonicity of subdifferential and by Lemma 3.1, for every $y^* \in \partial g(y)$, one has

$$\langle y^* - x_k^*, y - x_k \rangle \geq 0, \\ \langle y^* - x^*, y - x \rangle \geq 0,$$

or

$$\langle y^* - x_k^*, (1 - \lambda)(x - x_k) \rangle \geq 0, \\ \langle y^* - x^*, \lambda(x_k - x) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle x^*, x - x_k \rangle \geq \langle y^*, x - x_k \rangle \geq \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle.$$

Thus, $\langle y^*, y - x_k \rangle = (1 - \lambda) \langle y^*, x - x_k \rangle \rightarrow 0$ when $y \rightarrow x_k$, $y \in (x, x_k)$. Choose $y_k \in (x, x_k)$, $y_k^* \in \partial g(y_k)$ such that

$$\|y_k - x_k\| < \delta, \quad |\langle y_k^*, y_k - x_k \rangle| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}.$$

Since $\langle y_k^* - x_k^*, y_k - x_k \rangle \geq 0$ and $y_k \in (x, x_k)$, $\langle y_k^* - x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \geq 0$. Hence

$$(6) \quad \langle y_k^*, x - y_k \rangle - \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \geq - \langle y_k^*, y_k - x_k \rangle > - \frac{\varepsilon}{2k}.$$

It is clear that (5) and (6) imply (4).

Continuing this process for the pairs $(x_{k-1}, x_{k-1}^*), \dots, (x_1, x_1^*)$, after k steps we find out $(y_i, y_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial g, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, satisfying (3). The Lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let f be a convex vector function from a nonempty relatively open subset $D \subseteq R^n$ to R^m and let $x \in D, (x_0, A_0) \in \text{graph } \partial f$. Then*

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $\xi \in \text{int } C'$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1 of [4], $\xi \circ f$ is convex and by Lemma 2.2 above, $\xi \circ A_0 \in \partial(\xi \circ f)(x_0)$. Then by Lemma 3.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi \circ f)(x) &= (\xi \circ f)(x_0) \\ &+ \sup \left\{ \langle \xi \circ A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\xi \circ f), i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \langle \xi \circ A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \langle x_i^*, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \langle x_k^*, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, x_i^*) \in \text{graph } \partial(\xi \circ f), i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\} \\ &= \xi \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi[f(x) - f(x_0)] &= \sup \xi \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle \right. \\ &\left. + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$f(x) - f(x_0) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

The Theorem is proved. \square

4. THE MAXIMAL CYCLIC MONOTONICITY OF SUBDIFFERENTIAL

Let F be a set-valued map from a nonempty subset $D \subseteq R^n$ to $L(R^n, R^m)$. F is said to be cyclically monotone if one has

$$\langle A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle + \langle A_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle A_k, x_0 - x_k \rangle \leq 0,$$

for any set of pairs $(x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } F$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ (k arbitrary). F is said to be maximal cyclically monotone if F is cyclically monotone and its graph is not properly contained in the graph of any other cyclically monotone map.

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a convex vector function from a nonempty relatively open subset $D \subseteq R^n$ to R^m . Then ∂f is maximal cyclically monotone.*

Proof. For any set of pairs $(x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ (k arbitrary), from the definition of subdifferential, one has

$$(7) \quad f(x_{i+1}) - f(x_i) \succeq \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

$$(8) \quad f(x_0) - f(x_k) \succeq \langle A_k, x_0 - x_k \rangle.$$

By adding up inequalities (7), (8), we get

$$\langle A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle + \langle A_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle A_k, x_0 - x_k \rangle \preceq 0.$$

Hence, ∂f is cyclically monotone.

To complete the proof, let $x \in D$, $A \in L(R^n, R^m)$ and $A \notin \partial f(x)$. We shall show that there exist $k \geq 0$ and $(x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A, x_0 - x \rangle + \langle A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle \\ & + \langle A_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \not\preceq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose to the contrary that for every $k \geq 0$, $(x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A, x_0 - x \rangle + \langle A_0, x_1 - x_0 \rangle \\ & + \langle A_1, x_2 - x_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \preceq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \preceq \langle A, x - x_0 \rangle.$$

Fix $(x_0, A_0) \in \text{graph } \partial f$. Then (9) implies that $\langle A, x - x_0 \rangle$ is an upper bound of the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \langle A_i, x_{i+1} - x_i \rangle + \langle A_k, x - x_k \rangle \mid (x_i, A_i) \in \text{graph } \partial f, i = 1, \dots, k, k \geq 1 \right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, this implies

$$(10) \quad \langle A, x - x_0 \rangle \succeq f(x) - f(x_0).$$

By Theorem 4.14 of [4], $\text{Dom } \partial f = D$. From this and from the fact that (10) holds for every $(x_0, A_0) \in \text{graph } \partial f$, we have $A \in \partial f(x)$. We arrive at a contradiction. The proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. Correa, A. Jofre and L. Thibault, *Characterization of lower semicontinuous convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **116** (1992)
2. D. T. Luc, *Theory of Vector Optimization*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems **319**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
3. D. T. Luc and S. Swaminathan, *A characterization of convex functions*, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Method Appl. **20** (1993), 697-701.
4. D. T. Luc, N.X. Tan and P.N. Tinh, *Convex vector functions and their subdifferential*, Acta Math. Vietnam **23** (1998), 107-127.
5. D. T. Luc, N.X. Tan and P.N. Tinh, *On conjugate functions and directional derivatives of convex vector functions*, Preprint
6. R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.

7. P. H. Sach and N. D. Yen, *Convexity criteria for set-valued maps*, Set-Valued Analysis, **5** (1997), 37-45.
8. P. H. Sach, *Sufficient conditions for generalized convex set-valued maps*, Optimization **37** (1996), 293-304.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF HUE, HUE, VIETNAM