

THE REGULARITY OF SPACES OF GERMS OF FRECHET-VALUED BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

NGUYEN HA THANH

INTRODUCTION

Several authors have studied the regularity of the space $\mathcal{H}(K)$ of germs of holomorphic functions on a compact set K in a locally convex space, for example, Chae [1] for K in a Banach space, Mujica [6] for K in a metric locally convex space, Dineen [2] and Soraggi [10] for K in certain locally convex spaces (non-necessarily metrizable). Recently, Vogt [14] gave a general characterization of the regularity of inductive limit of a sequence of Frechet spaces, in particular, of Köthe sequence spaces. In the present paper we shall find a necessary and sufficient condition for the space $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$ of germs of F -valued bounded holomorphic functions on a compact set K in E to be regular where E and F are two Frechet spaces. In the case where K is a compact set in \mathbf{C}^n , this problem was investigated in [11]. As an application we will study the regularity and completeness of the space $\mathcal{H}(K, F)$ of germs of F -valued holomorphic functions on K .

1. PRELIMINARIES

We shall use standard notions from the theory of locally convex spaces as presented in the books of Pietsch [7] and Schaefer [9]. All locally convex spaces are assumed to be complex and Hausdorff.

1.1. Linear topological invariants

Let F be a Frechet space with a fundamental system of semi-norms $\{\|\cdot\|_k\}$. For each subset $B \subset F$ define a generalized semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_B^* : F' \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ on the dual space of F by

$$\|u\|_B^* = \sup \{|u(x)| : x \in B\}.$$

Received March 22, 1997; in revised form October 16, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46G20, 32A30

Keywords and phrases. Germs of holomorphic functions, regularity.

Write $\|\cdot\|_k^*$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_{U_k}^*$, where $U_k = \{x \in F : \|x\|_k < 1\}$. We say that F has the property

$$(\Omega) \text{ if } \forall p \exists q \forall k \exists d, C > 0 \|\cdot\|_q^{*1+d} \leq C \|\cdot\|_k^* \|\cdot\|_p^{*d},$$

$$(DN) \text{ if } \exists p \forall q, d > 0 \exists k, C > 0 : \|\cdot\|_q^{1+d} \leq C \|\cdot\|_k \|\cdot\|_p^d,$$

$$(\overline{DN}) \text{ if } \exists p \forall q \exists k \forall d > 0 \exists C > 0 : \|\cdot\|_q^{1+d} \leq C \|\cdot\|_k \|\cdot\|_p^d.$$

The above properties were introduced and investigated by Vogt in [12] [13] and [14]. In [13] Vogt has shown that $F \in (DN)$ (resp. $F \in \Omega$) if and only if F is isomorphic to a subspace (resp. quotient space) of $B\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ for a suitable Banach space, where s denotes the space of rapidly decreasing sequences.

1.2. The space of germs of holomorphic functions

Let E, F be locally convex spaces and D an open set in E . A function $f : D \rightarrow F$ is called holomorphic if f is continuous and $u \circ f$ is Gateaux holomorphic for all $u \in F'$. By $\mathcal{H}(D, F)$ we denote the space of F -valued holomorphic functions on D . We denote by τ_0 and τ_ω the compact open and Nachbin topologies on $\mathcal{H}(D, F)$. Let us recall that a semi-norm ρ on $\mathcal{H}(D, F)$ is said to be τ_ω -continuous if there exist a compact set $K \subset D$ and a continuous semi-norm α on F such that for every neighbourhood V of K , there exists $C_V > 0$:

$$\rho(f) \leq C_V \alpha_V(f) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{H}(D, F),$$

where

$$\alpha_V(f) = \sup \left\{ \alpha(f(x)) : x \in V \right\}.$$

Put for each compact set K in E ,

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F) = \lim_{U \downarrow K} \text{ind} \mathcal{H}_\infty(U, F)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}(K, F) = \lim_{U \downarrow K} \text{ind} [\mathcal{H}_\infty(U, F), \tau_\omega],$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(U, F) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}(U, F) : f(U) \text{ is bounded} \right\}$$

equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on U . For details concerning holomorphic functions in locally convex spaces, we refer the reader to the book of Dineen [3].

Finally we recall that an inductive limit $E = \lim_{\alpha} \text{ind } E_{\alpha}$ is said to be regular if every bounded set in E is contained and bounded in some E_{α} .

2. THE REGULARITY OF $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(K, F)$ AND THE PROPERTIES (DN) , (Ω)

The aim of this section is to prove the following result.

2.1. Theorem.

(i) *The Frechet space F has the property (DN) if and only if $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(K, F)$ is regular for every compact set K in every Frechet space $E \in (\Omega)$*

(ii) *The Frechet space E has the property (Ω) if and only if $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}(K, F)$ is regular for every compact set K in E and every Frechet space $F \in (DN)$.*

For the proof of the theorem we need some notations. Put

$$\mathbf{M} = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_j) \in \mathbf{N} : \alpha_j = 0 \text{ for } j \text{ sufficiently large} \right\}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathbf{M}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0, \dots)$, and $z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{N}}$, put

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n, \\ \alpha! &= \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!, \\ z^{\alpha} &= z_1^{\alpha_1} \dots z_n^{\alpha_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that (see [8])

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} z_j \right)^n = \sum_{\alpha \in M_n} \frac{n!}{\alpha!} z^{\alpha},$$

where

$$M_n = \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{M} : |\alpha| = n \}.$$

The following is a small modification of a theorem of Ryan [8].

2.2. Lemma. *Let $B(0, R) = \{z \in \ell^1 : \|z\| < R\}$, $R > 0$ and F a Banach space. Let $0 < R < 1$ and $f : B(0, R) \rightarrow F$ a bounded holomorphic function. Then there exists a unique family $\{a_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbf{M}} \subset F$ such that*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\alpha^{\alpha}}{|\alpha|^{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{R}{2} \right)^{|\alpha|} \|a_{\alpha}\| : \alpha \in \mathbf{M} \right\} < \infty,$$

and

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{M}_n} a_\alpha z^\alpha \text{ converges to } f \text{ in } \mathcal{H}_\infty(B(0, r), F) \text{ for all } 0 < r < \frac{R}{2e}.$$

2.3. Lemma. *Let $R : E \rightarrow G$ be a continuous linear surjective map between Frechet spaces and let $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$ be regular. Then so is $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_G, F)$.*

Proof. Given A a bounded set in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_G, F)$. Then $\hat{R}(A)$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$, where $\hat{R} : \mathcal{H}_\infty(O_G, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$ is the continuous linear induced by R . By the hypothesis we can find a balanced convex neighbourhood U of $0 \in E$ such that $\hat{R}(A)$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(U, F)$. Put $V = R(U)$. The open mapping theorem yields that V is a neighbourhood of $0 \in G$. We check that A is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(V, F)$. Given $g \in A$. Choose a balanced convex neighbourhood V_g of $0 \in G$ in V such that $g \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(V_g, F)$. Consider the Taylor expansions of $f = \hat{R}(g) \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(U, F)$ and g at $0 \in E$ and $0 \in G$ on U and V_g , respectively,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n f(x), \quad P_n f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon_x > 0} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda, \quad x \in E,$$

and

$$g(y) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g(y), \quad P_n g(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon_y > 0} \frac{g(\lambda y)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda, \quad y \in G.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_n f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon_x} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=\varepsilon_x} \frac{gR(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda \\ &= P_n g(y) \end{aligned}$$

with $y = Rx$, where $\varepsilon_x > 0$ is sufficiently small such that $\lambda x \in R^{-1}(V_g) \cap U$ for $|\lambda| \leq \varepsilon_x$. Thus

$$\hat{R}(P_n g) = P_n f \quad \text{for } n \geq 0$$

and hence the series $\sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g(y)$ converges to $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(V, F)$, for which $\hat{g} = g$ in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_G, F)$ and $\hat{R}(\hat{g}) = f$. This means that A is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(V, F)$.

2.4. Lemma [11]. *Let F be a Frechet space with a continuous norm and let $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$ be regular, where O_E is the zero-element of a Frechet space E . Then $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$ is regular for all compact sets K in E .*

Proof of Theorem 2.1.

Necessity. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$ is regular for all compact sets in an arbitrary Frechet space $E \in (\Omega)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_\infty(\overline{\Delta}, F)$ and $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is regular. By [11], F has (DN) .

Assume that $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$ is regular for every compact set $K \subset E$ and every Frechet space $F \in (DN)$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, s)$ is regular. By Vogt [14] we have $\forall \mu \exists k, n \forall K, m \exists N, S \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, s)$,

$$(1) \quad \|\sigma\|_{k,m} \leq S(\|\sigma\|_{\mu,n} + \|\sigma\|_{K,N}).$$

Using (1) to $\sigma = ue_p$, $u \in E'$, $p \geq 1$ we get

$$\|u\|_k^* p^m \leq S(\|u\|_\mu^* p^n + \|u\|_K^* p^N).$$

The inequality yields

$$\|u\|_k^* \leq S\left(\frac{1}{t}\|u\|_\mu^* + t^d\|u\|_K^*\right) \forall u \in E', \forall t \geq 1,$$

where $t = p^{m-n}$ and $d = \frac{N-m}{m-n} > 0$. We can increase S so that the inequality holds for $t > 0$. This means $E \in (\Omega)$.

Sufficiency. Assume that E and F has the property (Ω) and (DN) , respectively. Choose an index set I and a Banach space B such that E is isomorphic to a quotient space of $\ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ and F to a subspace of $B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$. Note that

$$B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s = \left\{ (y_p) \subset B : \sup \|y_p\| p^n < \infty \forall n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2 we may assume without loss of generality that $E \cong \ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ and $F \cong B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$. To prove the regularity of $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$ for every compact set K in E it suffices by Lemma 2.3 to assume $K = \{O_E\}$.

First consider the case $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{N}$. Put

$$\mathbf{M} = \{\alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{ij}, 0, \dots)\}.$$

and $\gamma_{ij,k} = i^k$ for $i, j, k \geq 1$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$. Choose $q \geq 1$ such that $\sigma \in H_\infty(U_q, F)$, where

$$U_k = \left\{ (z_{ij}) \in \mathbf{C} : \sum_{i,j \geq 1} |z_{ij}| i^k < \infty \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a unique family $\{\xi_{(\alpha,p),n}\} \subset B$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\alpha^\alpha}{|\alpha|^{|\alpha|}} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\alpha|} \frac{j^n}{\gamma_{.,k}^\alpha} \|\xi_{(\alpha,p),n}\| : (\alpha, p) \in \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{N} \right\} < \infty$$

for all $n \geq 1$. By the regular characterization of Vogt [14] it remains to check that $\forall \mu \exists n, k \forall m, K \exists N, S \forall (\alpha, j)$,

$$(2) \quad \frac{p^m}{\gamma_{.,k}^\alpha} \leq S \left(\frac{p^n}{\gamma_{.,\mu}^\alpha} + \frac{p^N}{\gamma_{.,K}^\alpha} \right).$$

Given $\mu \geq 1$. Take $k = 2\mu$ and $n = 1$. Then (2) has the form: $\forall K, m \exists S, N \forall (\alpha, j)$,

$$(3) \quad \frac{p^m}{1^{\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{\mu\alpha_{ij}}} \leq S \left(p + \frac{p^N}{1^{(k-\mu)\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{(k-\mu)\alpha_{ij}}} \right).$$

Choose $N > \frac{m}{\mu}K + m$. Obviously, (3) holds for p, α with $p^m \leq 1^{\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{\mu\alpha_{ij}}$.

If $p^m > 1^{\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{\mu\alpha_{ij}}$ we also (3) have because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p^N}{1^{(k-\mu)\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{(k-\mu)\alpha_{ij}}} &\geq \frac{p^m}{1^{\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{\mu\alpha_{ij}}} \times \frac{1^{(\frac{mK}{\mu} + m)\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{(\frac{mK}{\mu} + m)\mu\alpha_{ij}}}{1^{(k-2\mu)\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{(k-2\mu)\alpha_{ij}}} \\ &\geq \frac{p^m}{1^{\mu\alpha_{11}} \dots i^{\mu\alpha_{ij}}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the general case. Let $\mathcal{F}(I)$ denote the family of countable sunsets J of I . Let A be a bounded set in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(O_E, F)$. By (i) for

each $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ we can find a convex neighbourhood W_J of $0 \in \ell^1(J) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ such that $A|_{W_J}$ is contained and bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(W_J, F)$. Put

$$W = U \left\{ W_J : J \in \mathcal{F}(I) \right\}.$$

Then W is a neighbourhood of $0 \in E$. Otherwise, there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset E$, $z_n \notin W$ for $n \geq 1$, converging to $0 \in E$. Choose $J \in \mathcal{F}(I)$ such that $\{z_n\} \subset \ell^1(J) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$. Then $z_n \in W_J \subset W$ for n sufficiently large. This is impossible. On the other hand, by the unique principle A is contained in $\mathcal{H}(W, F)$. It remains to check that there exists a neighbourhood V of $0 \in E$ in W such that A is bounded in $\mathcal{H}_\infty(V, F)$. Otherwise, for each $n \geq 1$ there exists k_n and a countable subset A_n of A such that

$$\sup \left\{ \|f(z)\|_{k_n} : \|z\|_n < 1, f \in A_n \right\} = +\infty.$$

It is easy to see that there exists a countable subset J of I such that for $n \geq 1$,

$$\sup \left\{ \|f(z)\|_{k_n} : \|z\|_n < 1, z \in \ell^1(J) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s, f \in \bigcup_{j \geq 1} A_n \right\} = +\infty.$$

This is impossible because for n sufficiently large we have

$$\left\{ z \in \ell^1(J) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s : \|z\|_n < 1 \right\} \subset W_J$$

and hence

$$\left\{ \|f(z)\|_k; z \in W_J, f \in A \right\} < \infty \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$

The theorem is proved.

3. APPLICATION

In this section we investigate the regularity and completeness of $\mathcal{H}(K, F)$, where

$$\mathcal{H}(K, F) = \lim_{U \supset K} \text{ind} \left[\mathcal{H}(U, F), \tau_w \right]$$

and τ_w denotes the Nachbin topology on $\mathcal{H}(U, F)$.

3.1. Theorem. *Let E and F be nuclear Frechet spaces with $E \in (\Omega)$ and $F \in (\overline{DN})$. Then $\mathcal{H}(K, F)$ is regular and complete.*

We need the following two lemmas.

3.2. Lemma. *Let E and F be Frechet spaces having (Ω) and (\overline{DN}) , respectively. Then every F -valued holomorphic function on an open set in E is locally bounded.*

Proof. By [11] we can find a set I and a continuous linear map R from $\ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ onto E , where s is the space of all rapidly decreasing sequences. Note that

$$\ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s = \left\{ z = (z_{ij})_{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{N}} : \|z\|_\gamma = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{N}} |z_{ij}| j^\gamma < \infty, \forall \gamma \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

and hence $\ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s \in (\Omega)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(D, F)$, where D is an open set in E . Since R is open, it suffices to show that $g = f \circ R$ is locally bounded on $R^{-1}(D)$. Let $z_0 \in R^{-1}(D)$. Without loss of the generality we may assume that $R^{-1}(D)$ is balanced and $z_0 = 0$. Consider the Taylor expansion of g at $0 \in \ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$

$$g(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g(z)$$

where

$$P_n g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\lambda|=1} \frac{g(\lambda z)}{\lambda^{n+1}} d\lambda \quad \text{for } z \in R^{-1}(D).$$

Writing every $z \in \ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$ in the form

$$z = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{N}} \delta_{ij}^*(z) \delta_{ij},$$

where $\delta_{ij}^*(z) = z_{ij}$ and $\delta_{ij} = [\delta_{k,\ell}^{[i,j]} : \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{N}]$, with

$$\delta_{k,\ell}^{[i,j]} = \begin{cases} 1 & (k, \ell) = (i, j) \\ 0 & (k, \ell) \neq (i, j), \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{N}} \delta_{ij}^*(z) \delta_{ij} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{I} \\ j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{N}}} P_n g(\delta_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_n j_n}) \delta_{i_1 j_1}^*(z) \dots \delta_{i_n j_n}^*(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $z \in R^{-1}(D)$. Since $\ell^1(I) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi s \in (\Omega)$ we have

$$(\Omega) \quad \forall \alpha \exists \beta \forall \gamma \geq \beta \exists d_\gamma, C_\gamma > 0 : \|\cdot\|_\beta^{*(1+d_\gamma)} \leq C_\gamma \|\cdot\|_\gamma^* \|\cdot\|_\alpha^{*d_\gamma}.$$

Since

$$\left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_\beta^*}{\|\cdot\|_\alpha^*} \right)^{sd_\gamma} \leq \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_\beta^*}{\|\cdot\|_\alpha^*} \right)^{d_\gamma} \quad \forall s \geq 1,$$

it follows that

$$\|\cdot\|_\beta^{*(1+sd_\gamma)} \leq C_\gamma \|\cdot\|_\gamma^* \|\cdot\|_\alpha^{*sd_\gamma} \quad \forall s \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, by applying (Ω) to δ_{ij}^* we obtain

$$\frac{\|\delta_{ij}\|_\gamma \|\delta_{ij}\|_\alpha^{sd_\gamma}}{C_\gamma} \leq \|\delta_{ij}\|_\beta^{1+sd_\gamma}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbf{I}, j \in \mathbf{N}.$$

By the hypothesis $F \in (\overline{DN})$, where

$$(\overline{DN}) \exists p \forall q \geq p \exists k_q \geq q \forall d > 0 \exists D_d : \|\cdot\|_q^{1+d} \leq D_d \|\cdot\|_{k_q} \|\cdot\|_p^d.$$

First choose α such that

$$M(\alpha, p) = \sup \left\{ \|g(z)\|_p : \|z\|_\alpha < 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Next choose $\beta \geq \alpha$ as in (Ω) . To complete the proof, it is enough to verify that g is bounded on rU_β for $r > 0$ sufficiently small.

(iii) Given $q \geq p$, choose $k_q \geq q$ as in (\overline{DN}) . Let $\gamma_q \geq \beta$ such that

$$M(\gamma_q, k_q) < \infty$$

and let $s > 0$ sufficiently large for which

$$C_{\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{(1+sd_{\gamma_q})}} \leq 2.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq 0} \|P_n g(z)\|_q &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{I} \\ j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{N}}} \frac{\|P_n g(\delta_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_n j_n})\|_q}{\|\delta_{i_1 j_1}\|_\beta \cdots \|\delta_{i_n j_n}\|_\beta} \times \\
&\quad \times \|\delta_{i_1 j_1}\|_\beta \cdots \|\delta_{i_n j_n}\|_\beta |\delta_{i_1 j_1}^*(z)| \cdots |\delta_{i_n j_n}^*(z)| \\
&\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \in \mathbf{I} \\ j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbf{N}}} D_{sd\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} \left(C_{\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}}\right)^n \times \frac{\|P_n g(\delta_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_n j_n})\|_{k_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}}}{\|\delta_{i_1 j_1}\|_{\gamma_q}^{1+sd\gamma_q} \cdots \|\delta_{i_n j_n}\|_{\gamma_q}^{1+sd\gamma_q}} \times \\
&\quad \times \frac{\|P_n g(\delta_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, \delta_{i_n j_n})\|_p^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}}}{\|\delta_{i_1 j_1}\|_\alpha^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}} \cdots \|\delta_{i_n j_n}\|_\alpha^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}}} \\
&\quad \times \|\delta_{i_1 j_1}\|_\beta \cdots \|\delta_{i_n j_n}\|_\beta |\delta_{i_1 j_1}^*(z)| \cdots |\delta_{i_n j_n}^*(z)| \\
&\leq D_{sd\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\gamma_q, k_q)^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\alpha, p)^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}} \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \frac{n^n}{n!} \left(\sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbf{I} \\ j \in \mathbf{N}}} |\delta_{ij}^*(z)| \|\delta_{ij}\|_\beta \right)^n \\
&\leq D_{sd\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\gamma_q, k_q)^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\alpha, p)^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \frac{n^n}{n!} \|z\|_\beta^n \\
&\leq D_{sd\gamma_q}^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\gamma_q, k_q)^{\frac{1}{1+sd\gamma_q}} M(\alpha, p)^{\frac{sd\gamma_q}{1+sd\gamma_q}} \times \sum_{n \geq 0} 2^n \frac{n^n}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{4e}\right)^n < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for $\|z\|_\beta < \frac{1}{4e}$. Consequently, g and hence f is locally bounded. \square

3.3. Lemma. *Let E and F be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the canonical map*

$$\mu : \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \rightarrow [\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F']'$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Meise and Vogt [5] $\mathcal{H}'(K) \in (\Omega)$. Hence by Vogt [12], $\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'$ is bornological. By Lemma 3.2 this yields

$$\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F' \cong \lim_{\substack{\text{ind} \\ k}} (\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'_k).$$

Moreover the inductive limit is regular. Hence

$$[\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'] \cong \lim_{\substack{\text{proj} \\ k}} [\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'_k] \cong \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\{U_n\}$ be a neighbourhood basis of K . Since $E \in (\Omega)$ and $F \in (\overline{DN})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F) &= \mathcal{H}(K, F) \quad (\text{Lemma 3.2}) \\
&= \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \quad (\text{by [5] } [\mathcal{H}(K)]' \in (\Omega) \text{ and by Lemma 3.2}) \\
&\cong [\mathcal{H}'(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F'] \quad (\text{Lemma 3.3}) \\
&\cong [(\lim \text{proj } (\mathcal{H}'_\infty(U_n)) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F')] \\
&\cong [\lim \text{proj } (\mathcal{H}'_\infty(U_n) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F')] \\
&\cong [\lim \text{proj } (\mathcal{H}_\infty(U_n) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F)'] \\
&\cong [\lim \text{proj } (\mathcal{H}_\infty(U_n, F)')] \\
&\cong [\lim \text{proj } (\mathcal{H}_\infty(U_n), F)]'' \\
&\cong [\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)]''.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F) \cong [\mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)]''$ is complete. It remains to check that the canonical map $\mathcal{H}(K, F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \cong \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$ is continuous and hence $\mathcal{H}(K, F) \cong \mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$. For each $n \geq 1$ the restriction map

$$\begin{aligned}
R_n : [\mathcal{H}(U_n, F), \tau_w] &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\pi F \cong \mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F \\
&\cong [\lim \text{ind}_m \mathcal{H}(U_m), \tau_w] \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F
\end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Indeed let ρ be a continuous semi-norm on $\mathcal{H}(K) \widehat{\otimes}_\varepsilon F$. Choose two continuous semi-norms α and β on $\mathcal{H}(K)$ and F , respectively, such that

$$\rho \left(\sum_{k=1}^m g_k \otimes x_k \right) \leq \sup \left\{ \alpha \left(\sum_{k=1}^m x^*(x_k) g_k \right) : x^* \in U_\beta^0 \right\}$$

for $g_k \in \mathcal{H}(K)$ and $x_k \in F$, $k = \overline{1, m}$. Let V be an arbitrary neighbourhood of K in U . Take $C_V > 0$ such that

$$\alpha(g) \leq C_V \|g\|_V \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{H}_\infty(V).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho \left(R_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^m g_k x_k \right) \right) &= \rho \left(\sum_{k=1}^m g_k \otimes x_k \right) \\
&\leq \sup \left\{ \alpha \left(\sum_{k=1}^m x^*(x_k) g_k \right) : x^* \in U_\beta^0 \right\} \\
&\leq C_V \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m x^*(x_k) g_k \right\|_V : x^* \in U_\beta^0 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This means that ρR_n is τ_w -continuous. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}(K, F) \cong \lim \operatorname{ind}_n [\mathcal{H}(U_n, F), \tau_w] \cong \mathcal{H}_\infty(K, F)$$

is regular and complete \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the referee for helpful remarks that let to an improvement of the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. S. B. Chae, *Holomorphic germs on Banach spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **21** (3) (1971), 107-141.
2. S. Dineen, *Holomorphic germs on compact subsets of locally convex spaces*, in: Functional Analysis, Holomorphy and Application Theory, Ed. S. Macho, Lect. Notes in Math. **843**, Springer-Verlag, 1981, 247-263.
3. S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, North Holland Math. Stud. **57**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
4. N. V. Khue and P. T. Danh, *Structure of spaces of germs of holomorphic function*, Publ. Math. **41** (1997), 467-480.
5. R. Meise and D. Vogt, *Structure of spaces of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional polydiscs*, Studia Math. **75** (1983), 235-252.
6. J. Mujica, *Spaces of germs of holomorphic functions*, Studia in Analysis. Advances in Math. Suppl. Studies, Ed. G. C. Rotas, Academic Press **4** (1994), 1-41.
7. A. Pietsch, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
8. R. Ryan, *Holomorphic mappings on ℓ_1* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **302** (2) (1987), 797-811.
9. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
10. R. L. Soraggi, *Holomorphic germs on certain locally convex spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **144** (1986), 1-22.
11. B. D. Tac and N. V. Hao, *The regularity of spaces of germs of F -valued holomorphic functions*, Vietnam Jour. of Math. **26** (2) (1998), 129-136.
12. D. Vogt, *Frecheträume zwischen denen jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist*, J. Rein Angew. Math. **345** (1983), 182-200.
13. D. Vogt, *On two classes of Frechet spaces*, Arch. Math. **45** (1985), 255-266.
14. D. Vogt, *Regularity properties of (LF) -spaces*, Progress in Functional Analysis (1992), 57-94.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM