

ON THE COMASS NORM OF A 3-COVECTOR

DOAN THE HIEU

1. INTRODUCTION

A form Φ on a Riemannian manifold which is closed and has comass one is called give in a *calibration*. An oriented submanifold to which Φ restricts to be exactly the volume form is called a Φ -submanifold. The fundamental lemma of the calibration theory says that each Φ -submanifold is homologically area minimizing.

The simplest but very important case is the case of constant coefficient (paralell) calibrations (i.e. covectors have comass one) on n dimensional euclidean vector space \mathbf{E}^n . This case is quite difficult and far from being understood. It serves as a model for local behavior of the general calibrated manifold (see [DHM]). Moreover, the investigation of biinvariant calibrations on symmetric spaces (see [M]) naturally leads to paralell calibrations on \mathbf{E}^n . And finally, many examples of calibrations that give rise to rich geometric structures of minimal manifolds (e.g. complex, special Lagrangian, associative, and Cayley) have constant coefficients (see [HL]).

Firstly, in order to use a k -covector Φ as a constant coefficient calibration, one needs to know the comass of Φ :

$$\|\Phi\|^* := \sup\{\Phi(\xi) \mid \xi \in G(k, \mathbf{E}^n)\},$$

where $G(k, \mathbf{E}^n) \subset \bigwedge^k \mathbf{E}^n$ is the Grassmannian of oriented unit k -plane in \mathbf{E}^n . But the computation of the comass of Φ is a primary obstacle to the use of Φ as a calibration. Secondly, one needs to know which planes ξ are calibrated by Φ , i.e., what is the face $G(\Phi)$ of the Grassmannian corresponding to Φ

$$G(\Phi) = \{\xi \in G(k, \mathbf{E}^n) \mid \Phi(\xi) = \|\Phi\|^*\}$$

Received January 11, 1996

This work was supported in part by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Sciences, Kt04, Vietnam

The last question is which k -submanifolds in \mathbf{E}^n are Φ -submanifolds; i.e., the k -submanifolds whose tangent planes are calibrated by Φ (which are automatically homologically area minimizing). It is quite, often that, they are unions of k -planes, and even this case is of interest. Several beautiful examples of constant coefficient calibrations were given in [HL] and [H].

The purpose of this paper is to give another point of view on the comass of a covector in the simplest case (3-covector) and we use it to compute the comass of some classes of 3-covectors. In examples 3.4 and 3.5 we compute the comass of the fundamental 3-form τ on $so(4)$ and we define the contact set $G(\tau)$. Example 3.6 gives a construction of a calibrated algebra structure on \mathbf{R}^{4n-1} and hence a calibration of degree 3 on it. This is a generalization of the well known *associated calibration* on \mathbf{R}^7 .

2. CALIBRATED ALGEBRA

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbf{E}^n be an euclidean vector space of dimension n with inner product \langle, \rangle . Suppose that there exists a mapping $\bar{\Phi}$ from $\mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n$ into \mathbf{E}^n which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\bar{\Phi}$ is bilinear,
- (2) $\bar{\Phi}$ is antisymmetry, i.e.

$$\bar{\Phi}(x, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{E}^n,$$

- (3) $\bar{\Phi}(x, y)$ is orthogonal to x and y for all $x, y \in \mathbf{E}^n$.

Then $(\mathbf{E}^n, \bar{\Phi})$ is called a calibrated algebra.

It is easy to prove that the equality $\bar{\Phi}(x, y) = -\bar{\Phi}(y, x)$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbf{E}^n$, and the trilinear form $\langle x, \bar{\Phi}(y, z) \rangle$ is alternating, for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{E}^n$. Thus each calibrated algebra defines a 3-covector on it, defined by

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \langle x, \bar{\Phi}(y, z) \rangle.$$

Conversely, each 3-covector Φ on an Euclidean vector space \mathbf{E}^n defines a calibrated algebra structure on it. Indeed, a 3-covector Φ is considered as an alternating and trilinear mapping from $\mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n$ to \mathbf{R} . For each $x \in \mathbf{E}^n$, let Φ_x be the mapping from $\mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n$ to \mathbf{R} defined by

$$\Phi_x(y, z) = \Phi(x, y, z).$$

It is obvious that each Φ_x is bilinear, alternating and the mapping $x \mapsto \Phi_x$ is linear, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{x+x'} &= \Phi_x + \Phi_{x'}, \\ \Phi_{\lambda x} &= \lambda\Phi_x, \quad \text{for any } \lambda \in \mathbf{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\{e_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots,n}$ is an orthonormal basis on \mathbf{E}^n , and $x = \sum_i x_i e_i$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, y, z) &= \Phi\left(\sum_i x_i e_i, y, z\right) \\ &= \sum_i x_i \Phi(e_i, y, z) \\ &= \sum_i x_i \Phi_{e_i}(y, z) \\ &= \langle x, \bar{\Phi}(y, z) \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{\Phi}(y, z) = \sum_i \Phi_{e_i}(y, z)e_i,$$

Obviously, $\bar{\Phi}$ is a bilinear mapping from $\mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n$ to \mathbf{E}^n which is alternating and satisfies the condition (3). Hence, $(\mathbf{E}^n, \bar{\Phi})$ is a calibrated algebra and is then called calibrated algebra associated with $\bar{\Phi}$.

The norm $\|\bar{\Phi}\|$ of $\bar{\Phi}$ is defined by

$$\|\bar{\Phi}\| = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \|\bar{\Phi}(x, y)\|,$$

and the contact set $G(\bar{\Phi})$ of $\bar{\Phi}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}G(\bar{\Phi}) &= \{(x, y) \mid \|x\| = \|y\| = 1; \|\bar{\Phi}(x, y)\| = \|\bar{\Phi}\|\} \\ &= \{\eta \in G(2, \mathbf{E}^n) \mid \|\bar{\Phi}(\eta)\| = \|\bar{\Phi}\|\}.\end{aligned}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.

1. $\|\Phi\|^* = \|\bar{\Phi}\|$,
2. $G(\Phi) = \{\bar{\Phi}(\eta) \wedge \eta \mid \eta \in G(\bar{\Phi})\}$.

Proof. Let $\xi = x \wedge y \wedge z$ be a unit simple 3-vector. We can assume that x, y, z are orthonormal vectors. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, y, z) &= \langle x, \bar{\Phi}(y, z) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|\bar{\Phi}(y, z)\| \leq \|\bar{\Phi}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi\|^* \leq \|\bar{\Phi}\|.$$

Now suppose that $\|\bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0)\| = \|\bar{\Phi}\|$. Let $x_0 = \frac{\bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0)}{\|\bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0)\|}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x_0, y_0, z_0) &= \langle x_0, \bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0) \rangle \\ &= \|x_0\| \cdot \|\bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0)\| \\ &= \|\bar{\Phi}(y_0, z_0)\| \\ &= \|\bar{\Phi}\|.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi\|^* = \|\bar{\Phi}\|.$$

The proof of the second part is clear.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\mathbf{E}^n, \bar{\Phi})$ is a calibrated algebra associated with 3-covector $\bar{\Phi}$. The subspace $M \subset \mathbf{E}^n$ is called an invariant subspace of $\bar{\Phi}$ (or $\bar{\Phi}$ -invariant) if and only if $\bar{\Phi}(x, y) \in M$, for all $x, y \in M$.

Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\xi \in G(\bar{\Phi})$, then $\text{span}(\xi)$ is 3-dimensionnal invariant subspace of $\bar{\Phi}$.

Proof. Let $\{x, y, z\}$ be the orthonormal basis of $\text{span}(\xi)$. The inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x, y, z) &= \langle x, \bar{\Phi}(y, z) \rangle \\ &\leq \|x\| \cdot \|\bar{\Phi}(y, z)\| = \|\bar{\Phi}(y, z)\|,\end{aligned}$$

implies that x and $\bar{\Phi}(y, z)$ are linearly dependent vectors, hence

$$\bar{\Phi}(y, z) = ax, \quad a \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Phi}(z, x) &= ay, \\ \bar{\Phi}(x, y) &= az,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = \|\Phi\|^*.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed.

It is easy to prove the following lemma

Lemma 2.5. *Let ξ be a unit simple 3-covector and $\text{span}(\xi)$ is an invariant 3-dimension subspace of $\bar{\Phi}$. If $\{x, y, z\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $\text{span}(\xi)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}(x, y) &= az, \\ \bar{\Phi}(y, z) &= ax, \\ \bar{\Phi}(z, x) &= ay. \end{aligned}$$

The number a is then called an eigenvalue of $\bar{\Phi}$ corresponding to the invariant subspace $\text{span}(\xi)$.

By virtue of above two lemmas, we can define the comass of Φ as follows:

$$\|\Phi\|^* = \sup\{\Phi(\xi) \mid \text{span}(\xi) \text{ is a } \bar{\Phi} - \text{invariant subspace}\},$$

and

$$\|\Phi\|^* = \sup\{a \mid a \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \bar{\Phi}\}.$$

3. EXAMPLES

We can now construct an algorithm for computing the comass of 3-covectors on low dimensional Euclidean vector spaces.

Suppose \mathbf{E}^n is a calibrated algebra associated with 3-covector Φ . For each $x \in \mathbf{E}^n$, let $\bar{\Phi}_x$ be the mapping from \mathbf{E}^n to \mathbf{E}^n defined by

$$\bar{\Phi}_x(y) = \bar{\Phi}(x, y).$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{\Phi}_x$ is linear and has the following properties:

1. $\bar{\Phi}_x(x) = 0$,
2. $\bar{\Phi}_x(y)$ is orthogonal to x and y .

Thus $\bar{\Phi}_x$ can be considered as a linear mapping from x^\perp to x^\perp where x^\perp denotes the subspace of \mathbf{E}^n containing all vectors orthogonal to x .

Since $\bar{\Phi}_x(y) \perp y$, $\bar{\Phi}_x$ has no eigenvector belonging to eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$, for all $x \in \mathbf{E}^n$. Thus, if $\bar{\Phi}_x \neq 0$, then $\bar{\Phi}_x$ has the invariant subspace of 2-dimension $M = \text{span}(y, z)$ (y, z is the orthonormal basis of M) belonging to eigenvalue $a + ib$ and

$$\bar{\Phi}_x(y) = ay - bz,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_x(z) = az + by.$$

Since $\bar{\Phi}_x(y) \perp y$ and $\bar{\Phi}_x(z) \perp z$, it implies that $a = 0$, and we have

Lemma 3.1. *The roots of characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\Phi}_x$ are $\lambda = 0$ (if λ is real) or pure imaginary $\lambda = ib$.*

By virtue of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\det(\bar{\Phi}_x - \lambda I) = \lambda^k(\lambda^2 + f_1(x)) \dots (\lambda^2 + f_m(x)),$$

where $f_j(x) > 0$ and $\pm i\sqrt{f_j(x)}$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) are pure imaginary roots of characteristic polynomial of $\bar{\Phi}_x$.

Let $A = \max_i \{ \sup_{\|x\|=1} f_i(x) \}$, and \mathcal{A} is the set of all 3-vector $\xi = x \wedge y \wedge z$ (x, y, z are orthonormal vectors). There exists an index j , such that the equality $f_j(x_i) = A$ holds and $\text{span}(y_i \wedge z_i)$ is a 2-dimensional invariant subspace of $\bar{\Phi}_{x_i}$. The following lemma gives a relationship between $\|\Phi\|^*$ and A and also one between $G(\Phi)$ and \mathcal{A} .

Lemma 3.2.

1. $\|\Phi\|^* = \sqrt{A}$,
2. $G(\Phi) = \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. 1. Suppose that $\Phi(x, y, z) = \|\Phi\|^*$, then $\text{span}(y \wedge z)$ is an invariant subspace of $\bar{\Phi}_x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Phi}_x(y) &= \|\Phi\|^* z, \\ \bar{\Phi}_x(z) &= \|\Phi\|^* y, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\|\Phi\|^* \leq \sqrt{A}.$$

Conversely, assume that there exists index j , such that $f_j(x_i) = \sqrt{A}$, and

$$\bar{\Phi}_x(y) = \sqrt{A}z,$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_x(z) = \sqrt{A}y.$$

It implies

$$\Phi(x, y, z) = \langle \bar{\Phi}_x(y), z \rangle = \sqrt{A}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi\|^* = \sqrt{A}.$$

2- The proof of the second part is clear.

By using Lemma 3.2 we can compute the comass of some 3-covectors in low dimensional euclidean vector spaces.

Example 3.3

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6\}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbf{R}^6 , and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_6\}$ be the dual basis of $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6\}$. We shall use the notation w_{pqr} for $w_p \wedge w_q \wedge w_r$. Consider the 3-covector

$$\Phi = w_{123} + w_{456}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_{e_1}, \Phi_{e_2}, \dots, \Phi_{e_6}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{e_1} &= w_{23}, & \Phi_{e_2} &= -w_{13}, & \Phi_{e_3} &= w_{12}, \\ \Phi_{e_4} &= w_{56}, & \Phi_{e_5} &= -w_{46}, & \Phi_{e_6} &= w_{45}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_6) \in \mathbf{R}^6$ be an arbitrary point of \mathbf{R}^6 . It is easy to see that the matrix of $\bar{\Phi}_x$ corresponding to $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6\}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_3 & -a_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -a_3 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_6 & -a_5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -a_6 & 0 & a_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_5 & -a_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\det(\bar{\Phi}_x - \lambda I) = \lambda^2(\lambda^2 + f_1(x))(\lambda^2 + f_2(x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2, \\ f_2(x) &= a_4^2 + a_5^2 + a_6^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|\Phi\|^* = \sqrt{A} = \max\{\sup f_1(x), \sup f_2(x)\} = 1,$$

and

$$G(\Phi) = \{e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 ; e_4 \wedge e_5 \wedge e_6\}.$$

Example 3.4. Let $so(n)$ be the Lie algebra of all skew symmetric $(n \times n)$ matrices with the product

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX.$$

The inner product on $so(n)$ is defined by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{tr}XY^t = -\text{tr}XY.$$

The fundamental 3-form τ on $so(n)$ is defined by

$$\tau(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2}\langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle = \langle X, YZ \rangle = -\text{tr}XYZ.$$

By using Lemma 3.2, we compute the comass of τ in the simplest case, when $n = 4$, i.e. $so(4) \cong \mathbf{R}^6$. The computation of τ in the general case (on $so(n)$), will be given later.

An orthonormal basis of $so(4)$ is provided by the matrices E_{ij} ($i < j$) with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ in (i, j) -position and $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ in (j, i) -position and zeros elsewhere. Let X be arbitrary matrix in $so(4)$ and $\|X\| = 1$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ -a_1 & 0 & a_4 & a_5 \\ -a_2 & -a_4 & 0 & a_6 \\ -a_3 & -a_5 & -a_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X = \sqrt{2}(a_1E_{12} + a_2E_{13} + a_3E_{14} + a_4E_{23} + a_5E_{24} + a_6E_{34}).$$

A computation shows that, the matrix of $\bar{\tau}_X$ corresponding to the basis $\{E_{ij}\}_{i < j}$ is

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a_4 & -a_5 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 \\ a_4 & 0 & -a_6 & -a_1 & 0 & a_3 \\ a_5 & a_6 & 0 & 0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 & 0 & -a_6 & a_5 \\ -a_3 & 0 & a_1 & a_6 & 0 & -a_4 \\ 0 & -a_3 & a_2 & -a_5 & a_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\det(\bar{\tau}_X - \lambda I) = \lambda^2(\lambda^2 + f_1(X))(\lambda^2 + f_2(X)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(X) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_i a_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}(a_1 a_6 + a_3 a_4 - a_2 a_5) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i a_i^2 = \frac{1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds if and only if X has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & c & -b \\ -b & -c & 0 & a \\ -c & b & -a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(X) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_i a_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a_1 a_6 + a_3 a_4 - a_2 a_5) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i a_i^2 = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if and only if X has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & -c & b \\ -b & c & 0 & -a \\ -c & -b & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\|\tau\|^* = \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$G(\tau) = \{L, R\},$$

where

$$L = \text{span}(L_1, L_2, L_3) \quad ; \quad R = \text{span}(R_1, R_2, R_3),$$

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, by using lemma 2.3, we shall compute the comass of τ on $so(n)$ (example 3.5) and construct multi-associative calibrations.

Example 3.5

Lemma 3.5.1. *For all $A \in \text{Mat}(n, \mathbf{R})$, we have the inequality*

$$\|A - A^t\| \leq 4\|A\|^2 - 4 \sum_i a_{ii}^2,$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ and A^t is the transpose of A .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - A^t\|^2 &= \sum_{i \neq j} (a_{ij} - a_{ji})^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}^2 - 2 \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij} a_{ji} \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}^2 \\ &= 4\|A\|^2 - 4 \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ii}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5.2. *For all $A, B \in so(n)$, $\|A\| = \|B\| = 1$, we have*

$$\|[A, B]\| \leq 1.$$

Proof. First, we note that the group $O(n)$ acts on $so(n)$ on both sides, preserving the inner product. Moreover, for all $T \in O(n)$, for all $A, B \in so(n)$, we have

$$[Ad_T A, Ad_T B] = [TAT^{-1}, TBT^{-1}] = T[A, B]T^{-1},$$

and hence τ is a $O(n)$ - biinvariant.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \dots\dots\dots \\
 & + a_k^2 \left(\sum_i \vec{b}_i^2 \right) - a_k^2 \|C_{2k-1,2k}\|^2 + 2a_k^2 b_{2k-1,2k}^2 \\
 & = \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_i \vec{b}_i^2 \right) - \sum_i a_i^2 \|C_{2i-1,2i}\|^2 + 2 \sum_i a_i^2 b_{2i-1,2i}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where C_{ij} denotes the $(n - 2, n - 2)$ matrix received from AB by effacing the i th rows and j th columns. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|[A, B]\|^2 &= \|AB - (AB)^t\|^2 \leq 4\|AB\|^2 - 4 \sum_i a_i^2 b_{2i-1,2i}^2 \\
 &= 2 \left(\sum_i a_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_i \vec{b}_i^2 \right) - 2 \sum_i a_i^2 \|C_{2i-1,2i}\|^2 \\
 &\leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Let $\mathcal{L} = \text{span}(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathcal{L}_3)$, and $\mathcal{R} = \text{span}(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \mathcal{R}_3)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{R}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} R_1 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \\
 \mathcal{L}_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} L_2 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{R}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} R_2 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \\
 \mathcal{L}_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} L_3 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{R}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} R_3 & O \\ O & O \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.5.3

- 1) $\|\tau\|^* = \frac{1}{2}$,
- 2) $G(\tau) = \{\text{Ad}_T(\mathcal{L}); \text{Ad}_T(\mathcal{R}) \mid T \in O(n)\}$.

Proof. 1) Let $A, B, C \in so(n)$, $\|A\| = \|B\| = \|C\| = 1$, and A is in the canonical form. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau(A, B, C) &= \frac{1}{2} \langle [A, B], C \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \|[A, B]\| \cdot \|C\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and the equality holds if and only if

- a) $[A, B] = C,$
- b) $AB = -BA,$
- c) $\sum_i a_i^2 \|C_{2i-1, 2i}\|^2 = 0$

(see the proof of Lemma 3.5.1 and 3.5.2).

– If there exists $a_i \neq 0$ and $a_j = 0$ ($\forall j \neq i$), then

$$AB - BA = 0.$$

– If there exist $a_i \neq 0; a_j \neq 0; a_k \neq 0,$ (where without loss of generality we may assume that $a_1 \neq 0; a_2 \neq 0; a_3 \neq 0$) then the equality holds if

$$\|C_{12}\|^2 = \|C_{34}\|^2 = \|C_{56}\|^2 = 0$$

and we imply that $A = 0.$ This contradicts the hypothesis.

Thus, the equality holds only in the following case: there exist $a_i \neq 0; a_j \neq 0;$ and $a_k = 0$ for all $k \neq i, j.$ We can assume that $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0.$

From the computation of the comass of τ and the contact set $G(\tau)$ on $so(4)$ (example 3.4), together with the condition $AB = -BA,$ we deduce that

$$|a_1| = |a_2| = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Case 1. If $a_1 = a_2 = \pm \frac{1}{2},$ then B and C must be in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b & c & & \\ 0 & 0 & c & -b & O & \\ -b & -c & 0 & 0 & & \\ -c & b & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & & & \\ & O & & & & O \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\text{span}(A, B, C) = \mathcal{L}.$

Case 2. If $a_1 = -a_2 = \pm \frac{1}{2},$ then B and C must be in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b & c & & \\ 0 & 0 & -c & b & O & \\ -b & c & 0 & 0 & & \\ -c & -b & 0 & 0 & & \\ & & & & & \\ & O & & & & O \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\text{span}(A, B, C) = \mathcal{R}$.

2) The proof of second part is obvious.

Remark. The comass of τ was computed by D.T.Thi in 1977 to show that S^3 (resp. $SU(2)$) is homologically volume minimizing in $SO(n)$ (resp. $SU(n)$). But the computation here is given quite different and the description of $G(\tau)$ is new. It shows that only S^3 (resp. $SU(2)$) is calibrated by τ up to isometry, and the isometry can be defined explicitly.

Example 3.6.

Consider \mathbf{H}^n , the set of column of height n of quaternions. For each pair $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{H}^n$; $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbf{H}^n$, we define the product XY as below

$$XY = Z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= x_1y_1 - \bar{y}_2x_2 - \bar{y}_3x_3 - \dots - \bar{y}_nx_n, \\ z_2 &= y_2x_1 + x_2\bar{y}_1, \\ z_3 &= y_3x_1 + x_3\bar{y}_1, \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ z_n &= y_nx_1 + x_n\bar{y}_1, \end{aligned}$$

Denote $1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ be the unit element of \mathbf{H}^n , let $\text{Re}\mathbf{H}^n$ be the span of $1 \in \mathbf{H}^n$, and $\text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n$ be the orthogonal complement of $\text{Re}\mathbf{H}^n$. Then each $X \in \mathbf{H}^n$ has a unique orthogonal decomposition

$$X = X_1 + X' \quad X_1 \in \text{Re}\mathbf{H}^n \quad X' \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n.$$

The conjugation is defined by

$$\bar{X} = X_1 - X',$$

thus,

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2}(X + \bar{X}) \quad X' = \frac{1}{2}(X - \bar{X}).$$

Elementary facts concerning conjugation are

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\bar{X}} &= X & \overline{XY} &= \bar{Y}\bar{X} & X\bar{X} &= \bar{X}X = |X|^2, \\ \langle X, Y \rangle &= \text{Re}X\bar{Y} & &= \frac{1}{2}(X\bar{Y} + Y\bar{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.6.1. For all $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$; $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, we have

- 1) $|XY| \leq |X| \cdot |Y|$,
- 2) the equality holds if and only if
 - i) $\bar{y}_2 x_2 \uparrow\uparrow \bar{y}_3 x_3 \uparrow\uparrow \dots \uparrow\uparrow \bar{y}_n x_n$,
 - ii) $|x_i y_j| = |x_j y_i| \quad i, j \geq 2, \quad i \neq j$.

(The notation $a \uparrow\uparrow b$ means that $a = kb$ ($k \in \mathbf{R}, k \geq 0$)).

To prove the theorem, first we prove the following two lemmas

Lemma 3.6.2. For all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{H}$, we have

$$\langle ac, \bar{db} \rangle = \langle da, b\bar{c} \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $x = (a, b)$; $y = (c, d) \in \mathbf{O}$ (where $O = H \oplus H$ is the set of all Cayley numbers, see [HL]). We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x \cdot y|^2 &= (ac - \bar{db})^2 + (da + b\bar{c})^2 \\ &= a^2 c^2 + d^2 b^2 - 2\langle ac, \bar{db} \rangle + d^2 a^2 + b^2 c^2 + 2\langle da, b\bar{c} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|x|^2 |y|^2 = (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2),$$

Because \mathbf{O} is a norm algebra, i.e.

$$|x \cdot y| = |x| \cdot |y|,$$

we have

$$\langle ac, \bar{db} \rangle = \langle da, b\bar{c} \rangle.$$

Lemma 3.6.3. For all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{H}$, we have

$$2\langle \bar{c}a, \bar{d}b \rangle \leq a^2 d^2 + b^2 c^2;$$

the equality holds if and only if $\bar{c}a \uparrow\uparrow \bar{d}b$, and $|ad| = |cb|$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \bar{c}a, \bar{d}b \rangle &\leq 2|\bar{c}a| \cdot |\bar{d}b| = 2|c| \cdot |a| \cdot |d| \cdot |b| \\ &= 2|ad| \cdot |cb| \leq |ad|^2 + |cb|^2, \end{aligned}$$

the equality holds if and only if

$$\bar{c}a \uparrow\uparrow \bar{d}b \quad \text{and} \quad |ad| = |cb|.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6.1

$$\begin{aligned}
|X.Y|^2 &= z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_n^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 y_i^2 + \sum_{j=2}^n (x_1^2 y_j^2 + x_j^2 y_1^2) - 2 \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_1 y_1, \bar{y}_i x_i \rangle \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i \bar{y}_1, y_i x_1 \rangle + 2 \sum_{\substack{i,j=2 \\ i \neq j}}^n \langle \bar{y}_i x_i, \bar{y}_j x_j \rangle \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \right) = |X|^2 |Y|^2
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the second part is omitted.

Definition 3.6.4. Let $\bar{\Phi}(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{X}Y - \bar{Y}X) = \text{Im}\bar{Y}X$, for all $X, Y \in \mathbf{H}^n$. This product will be called the quasi cross product on \mathbf{H}^n .

Remarks.

1) If $X, Y \in \mathbf{H}^n$, $X \perp Y$, then

$$(3.6.5) \quad \bar{\Phi}(X, Y) = \bar{Y}X.$$

2) For all $X, Y \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n$, we have

$$(3.6.6) \quad \bar{\Phi}(X, Y) \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n.$$

Indeed, since $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Re}\bar{Y}X = 0$, we imply that $\bar{Y}X = \text{Im}\bar{Y}X$. This proves 1). The second part is obvious.

Theorem 3.6.7. $(\text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n, \bar{\Phi})$ is a calibrated algebra.

Proof. We first observe that, the quasi cross product on $\text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n$ is alternating since $\bar{\Phi}(X, X) = 0$, for all $X \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n$.

We show that

$$\langle Z, \bar{\Phi}(X, Y) \rangle = \langle Z, XY \rangle \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{H}^n.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle Z, \bar{\Phi}(X, Y) \rangle &= -\langle Z, \bar{\Phi}(Y, X) \rangle \\
&= -\langle Z, \text{Im}\bar{X}Y \rangle \\
&= -\langle Z, \bar{X}Y \rangle \\
&= \langle Z, XY \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

And by virtue of the Lemma 3.6.2, it is easy to prove that

1) If $a, b \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}$, then

$$\langle a, ab \rangle = 0.$$

2) If $a \in \text{Im}\mathbf{H}$ and $b \in \mathbf{H}$, then

$$\langle b, ba \rangle = 0.$$

Finally, by using the definition of the product XY , direct computation shows that

$$\langle \bar{\Phi}(X, Y), X \rangle = \langle XY, X \rangle = 0,$$

and

$$\langle \bar{\Phi}(X, Y), Y \rangle = \langle XY, Y \rangle = 0.$$

The theorem is proved.

Consider the trilinear form defined by

$$\Phi(X, Y, Z) = \langle X, \bar{\Phi}(Y, Z) \rangle.$$

Obviously, Φ is alternating and by virtue of Lemma 3.6.1, Remark 3.6.5 and Theorem 3.6.7, the following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 3.6.8.

1) $\|\Phi\|^* = 1$,

2) $G(\Phi) = \{\bar{\Phi}(X, Y) \wedge X \wedge Y \mid (X, Y) \in G(\bar{\Phi})\}$.

More explicitly, $G(\Phi)$ is the set of all 3-covectors $\xi \in \wedge^3(\text{Im}\mathbf{H}^n)$ of the form $\xi = \bar{\Phi}(X, Y) \wedge X \wedge Y$, in which X, Y satisfy the following conditions

1) $\sum_i x_i y_i = 0$,

2) $\bar{y}_2 x_2 \uparrow \uparrow \bar{y}_3 x_3 \uparrow \uparrow \dots \uparrow \uparrow \bar{y}_n x_n$.

3) $|x_i y_j| = |x_j y_i| \quad i, j \geq 2, \quad i \neq j$.

Thus, Φ is a calibration and is called multi-associated calibration.

Remark. 1- In [M2] F. Morgan showed that the comass of *Double Slag* and *Double Assoc* is one. But the comass of *Triple Slag* and *Triple Assoc* is not knowed (also for the *Multiple Slag* and the *Multiple Assoc*). The information about *SLAG-ASSOC* calibrations of type (k, l) here is new. All of them belong to $F^*(SLAG) = \{\Phi / G(\Phi) \supseteq G(\Phi_{SLAG})\}$.

2- Let $V = \text{Im}\mathbf{H} \times \underbrace{\text{Im}\mathbf{H} \times \dots \times \text{Im}\mathbf{H}}_k \times \underbrace{\mathbf{H} \times \dots \times \mathbf{H}}_l \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\} \cong \mathbf{R}^{3(k+1)+4\ell}$, then $\Phi|_V$ is a calibration belonging to $F^*(SLAG)$ and is called *SLAG-ASSOC* calibration of type (k, ℓ) .

Examples. Double-Slag calibration is *SLAG-ASSOC* calibration of type $(2, 0)$

Double-Assoc calibration is *SLAG-ASSOC* calibration of type $(0, 2)$.

Triple-Assoc calibration is *SLAG-ASSOC* calibration of type $(0, 3)$.

Triple-Slag calibration is *SLAG-ASSOC* calibration of type $(3, 0)$

SLAG-ASSOC calibration of type $(1, 1)$ is a calibration on $\text{Im}\mathbf{H} \times \text{Im}\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \cong \mathbf{R}^{10} \dots$

These calibrations belong to $F^*(SLAG)$. Their faces contain many *ASSOC*, *SLAG*, and CP^k faces.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank my advisor Professor Dao Trong Thi for careful reading of the first draft of this paper and for many valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [Br] R. Bryant, *Submanifolds and special structures on the octonions*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 185-232.
- [BH] _____, and R. Harvey, *Submanifolds in the hyper-Kähler geometry*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 1-31.
- [DH] J. Dadok, _____, *Calibrations on \mathbf{R}^6* , Duke Math. J. **50** (1983), 1231-1243.
- [DH. 1] _____, _____, *Calibrations and spinors*, Rice University preprint (1989).
- [DHM] _____, _____, and F. Morgan, *Calibrations on \mathbf{R}^8* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **307** (1988), 1-40.
- [Fe] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969.
- [FF] _____ and W. H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Annals of Math. **72** (1960), 458-520.
- [GMM] H. Gluck, D. Mackenzie and F. Morgan, *Volume-minimizing cycles in Grassmann manifolds*, preprint (1991).
- [GMZ] _____, F. Morgan and W. Ziller, *Calibrated geometry in Grassmann manifolds*, Comm. Math. Helv. **64** (1989), 256-268.
- [H] R. Harvey, *Calibrated geometries*, Proc. Int. Cong. Math. Warsaw (1983), 797-808.
- [H1] _____, *Spinors and calibrations*, Academic Press, New York (1990).

- [HL] _____ and H. Blaine Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. **104** (1982), 47-157.
- [HM] _____ and Frank Morgan, *The comass ball in $\wedge^3(\mathbf{R}^6)^*$* , Indiana Math. J. **35** (1986), 145-156.
- [Lr] G. Lawlor, *A sufficient condition for a cone to be area-minimizing*, Ph. D. Thesis, Stanford University, (1988).
- [M1] Frank Morgan, *Area minimizing surfaces, faces of Grassmannians, and calibrations*, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).
- [M2] _____, *Calibrations and the size of Grassmann faces*, Aequationes Math. **43** (1992), 1-13.
- [Th1] Dao Trong Thi, *On minimal currents and surfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, Soviet Math. Doklady **18** (1977), 277-278 .
- [Th2] _____, *Minimal real currents on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Math. USSR. Izvestiya **11** (1977), 807-820.
- [Th3] _____, *Minimal surfaces in compact Lie groups*, Uspekhi Math. Nauk **33** (1978), 163-164.
- [Th4] _____, *Real minimal currents in compact Lie groups*, Trudy Sem. Vektor. Tensor. Anal. No 19, Izdat. Mosk. Univ., Moscow, 1979, 112-129.