

ON LOCAL REDUCTION NUMBERS AND \mathfrak{a} -INVARIANTS OF REES ALGEBRAS OF GOOD FILTRATIONS

DUONG QUOC VIET

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring of $\dim A = d > 0$ with an infinite residue field k . Let I be an ideal of A with $\text{ht}(I) > 0$. The ideal J is called a reduction of I if $J \subseteq I$ and there exists an integer n such that $I^{n+1} = JI^n$. The least non-negative integer n with this property is called the reduction number of I with respect to J and we denote it by $r_J(I)$. The reduction number of I is defined by

$$r(I) := \min\{r_J(I) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } I\},$$

where J is said to be a minimal reduction of I if it is not properly contained in any other reduction of I . Let

$$\ell(I) := \dim G(I)/\mathfrak{m}G(I).$$

We call this number the analytic spread of I . The analytic spread $\ell(I)$ is equal to the minimum number of generators of every minimal reduction of I (cf. [15]). It is well-known that

$$\text{ht}(I) \leq \ell(I) \leq \dim A$$

and the difference

$$\text{ad}(I) := \ell(I) - \text{ht}(I)$$

is called the analytic deviation of I . In the case $\text{ad}(I) = 0$, the ideal I is called equimultiple. The study on ideals with positive analytic deviation as a separate class is initiated by Huckaba and Huneke in [8], [9], [10]. To determine when the Rees algebra

$$R(I) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n t^n$$

is a Cohen-Macaulay ring in terms of the associated graded ring

$$G(I) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I^n / I^{n+1})$$

and the reduction number of I is an interesting problem. The case of equimultiple ideals was investigated by Goto-Shimoda [7], Grothe-Herrmann-Orbanz [6], Trung-Ikeda [21], Viet [22], Hoa-Zarzuela [12]. But the most general result was obtained by Hoa (see [11], Theorem 5.4). Next, one is interested in the case of ideals having small analytic deviation in Cohen-Macaulay rings. For example, Goto-Huckaba [4] and, independently, Viet [23] have proved that if A is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, I is an ideal of A with $\text{ad}(I) = 1$ and I is generically a complete intersection ideal then $R(I)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if $G(I)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and $r(I) \leq \text{ht}(I)$. Trung has extended this result to ideals having analytic deviation one or two (see [20], Theorem 1.3). In [2] Aberbach-Huneke-Trung have given the criterion for the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees Algebras of ideals having arbitrary analytic deviation in Cohen-Macaulay rings (see also [13], [16], [17]). The theorem of Aberbach-Huneke-Trung states:

Theorem [A-H-T]. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay ring of $\dim A > 0$ and I an ideal of A with $\text{ht}(I) > 0$. Then $R(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) $r(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1$ for every prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ with $\ell(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

In this paper, we will generalize the theorems of Goto-Shimoda [7], Hoa [11], Aberbach-Huneke-Trung [2] to a similar criterion for the Cohen-Macaulay property of Rees algebras of good filtrations in Noetherian local rings, see Theorem 4.1.

A family $F := \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of ideals of A is called a filtration if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $I_0 = A, I_1 \neq A$,
- (ii) $I_n \supseteq I_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$,
- (iii) $I_n I_m \subseteq I_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$.

Let $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a filtration of A . We call the graded rings

$$R(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n t^n$$

and

$$G(F) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I_n / I_{n+1})$$

the Rees algebra and the associated ring of F , respectively.

Let I be an ideal of A . F is called an I -good filtration if $II_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $I_{n+1} = II_n$ for all $n \gg 0$. F is called a good filtration if it is an I -good filtration for some ideal I of A [12]. Note that F is a good filtration if and only if it is an I_1 -good filtration. An ideal $J \subseteq I_1$ is a minimal reduction of a good filtration F if F is a J -good filtration and it is not properly contained in any ideal $I \subseteq I_1$ such that F is an I -good filtration. A good filtration $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called equimultiple if I_1 is an equimultiple ideal.

Let $J \subseteq I_1$ be a minimal reduction of a good filtration F . The reduction number of F with respect to J is the number

$$r_J(F) := \min\{r \mid I_{n+1} = JI_n \text{ for all } n \geq r\}.$$

The reduction number of F is the number

$$r(F) := \min \{r_J(F) \mid J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } F\} \quad [12].$$

For every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, we set $F_{\mathfrak{p}} := \{I_n A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}_{n \geq 0}$ and call it the local filtration of F with respect to \mathfrak{p} and $r(F_{\mathfrak{p}})$ the local reduction number of F with respect to \mathfrak{p} .

Throughout this paper we will assume that F is a good filtration of A such that the Rees algebra $R(F)$ is Noetherian with $\dim R(F) = d + 1$. It is well-known that if $I_1 \not\subseteq \sqrt{0_A}$ then $R(F)$ is a Noetherian ring with $\dim R(F) = \dim A + 1$.

We denote by R the Rees algebra $R(F)$, by M the maximal graded ideal of $R(F)$, and by R^+ the ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of positive degree of $R(F)$.

Recall that the a^* -invariant of R is defined by

$$a^*(R) := \min\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [H_M^i(R)]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n > a \text{ and } i \leq d + 1\}.$$

Set

$$L_i(F) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid \ell(I_{1\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq i\}; \quad i \leq \ell(I_1).$$

The number

$$r_i(F) := \max\{-1, r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in L_i(F)\} + i, \quad i \leq \ell(I_1),$$

is called the i -th local reduction number of F .

A ring A is said to have Serre condition (S_k) if

$$\text{depth } A_{\mathbf{p}} \geq \min\{k, \text{ht}(\mathbf{p})\}$$

for every $\mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ (see [14]). Inspired by this definition, we say that the Rees algebra $R(F)$ satisfies Serre condition (S_k^*) if

$$\text{depth } R(F)_{\mathbf{P}} \geq \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), k\}$$

for all $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + R^+(F)$, $\mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } A$.

The relations between the local reduction numbers of a good filtration F and the a^* -invariant of the Rees algebra $R(F)$ satisfying Serre condition (S_ℓ^*) can be described as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a good filtration of A with $\ell = \ell(I_1)$, $R := R(F)$ satisfies Serre condition (S_ℓ^*) and $\text{depth } R^+(F) > 0$. Let J be a minimal reduction of I_1 . Then*

- (i) $\max\{r_{\ell-1}(F) + 1, r_J(F)\} = r_\ell(F)$.
- (ii) $\max\{r_{\ell-1}(F) + 1, a^*(R) + \ell\} = r_\ell(F)$.

Using Theorem 3.3 we can prove the following result which generalizes the case of Cohen-Macaulay rings in [2] and the case of equimultiple filtrations in [11].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a good filtration with $\dim R(F) = d + 1$. Then $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $[H_M^i(G(F))]_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1, i = 0, \dots, d - 1$.
- (ii) $r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) - 1$ for every prime $\mathbf{p} \supseteq I_1$ with $\ell((I_1)_{\mathbf{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p})$.

The paper is divided into three parts. In Section 2 we collect several facts about minimal reductions of ideals generated by homogeneous elements of positive degree and about generalized Cohen-Macaulay rings with respect to an ideal. In Section 3 we introduce the notion of local reduction numbers of graded factor algebras of Rees algebras and we relate the local reduction numbers to the a^* -invariant of a Rees algebra which satisfies Serre condition (S_ℓ^*) (Theorem 3.3). Section 4 gives the proof of the main theorem and some applications.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we give some results and notions which will be needed in this paper.

Let $S := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} S_n$ be a Noetherian graded algebra over a Noetherian local ring S_0 with an infinite residue field. We denote by S^+ the ideal generated by all homogeneous elements of positive degree of S . A sequence x_1, \dots, x_r of homogeneous elements of S is called $[t_1, \dots, t_r]$ -regular if

$$[(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_i]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})_n$$

for all $n \geq t_i, i = 1, \dots, r$ [2], [18]. If all t_1, \dots, t_r are finite then x_1, \dots, x_r is called a filter-regular sequence [19]. A minimal reduction of S^+ is an ideal J generated by $\ell(S^+)$ homogeneous elements of S of degree 1 such that $J_n = S_n$ for some positive integer n . The reduction number $r_J(S^+)$ of S^+ with respect to J is the minimum number n for which $J_{n+1} = S_{n+1}$ [20]. According to [19] every minimal reduction of S^+ can be minimally generated by a filter-regular sequence of S .

Let J be a minimal reduction of S^+ . We denote by $S(J)$ the least number t such that there exists a homogeneous minimal generating set x_1, \dots, x_ℓ of J which is $[t+1, \dots, t+\ell]$ -regular of S [2].

Set

$$a^*(S) := \min\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [H_M^i(S)]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n > a \text{ and } i \leq \dim S\}.$$

In the same way as in the proof of [20, Corollary 2.3] and [2, Corollary 2.9] we get some relations between $S(J), r_J(S^+), \ell(S^+)$ and $a^*(S)$ as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *Let S be a Noetherian graded algebra and $J \subseteq S_1$ be a minimal reduction of S^+ . Then*

- (i) $a^*(S) \leq S(J)$ if and only if $r_J(S^+) \leq \ell(S^+) + S(J)$.
- (ii) For any integer $b > S(J)$, $a^*(S) = b$ if and only if $r_J(S^+) = \ell(S^+) + b$.
- (iii) $\max\{S(J), a^*(S)\} = \max\{S(J), r_J(S^+) - \ell(S^+)\}$.
- (iv) If Y is a minimal reduction of S^+ such that $r(S^+) = r_Y(S^+)$ then

$$r_J(S^+) \leq \max\{S(J) + \ell(S^+), r(S^+), S(Y) + \ell(S^+)\}.$$

Proof. Since $J \subseteq S_1$ is a minimal reduction of S^+ , there exists a homogeneous minimal system of generators x_1, \dots, x_ℓ such that x_1, \dots, x_ℓ is a filter-regular sequence [19]. We get

$$[(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) : x_i]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})_n$$

for all $n \geq i + S(J)$, $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. From [20, Theorem 2.2] we obtain (i) and (ii). Next, we prove (iii). If $a^*(S) \leq S(J)$ then $r_J(S^+) \leq \ell(S^+) + S(J)$ by (i) so both sides are equal to $S(J)$. If $a^*(S) > S(J)$ then by (ii), $r_J(S^+) - \ell(S^+) = a^*(S)$ and the equality holds. By (iii) we get

$$a^*(S) \leq \max\{S(Y), r_Y(S^+) - \ell(S^+)\} = \max\{S(Y), r(S^+) - \ell(S^+)\}$$

and

$$r_J(S^+) \leq \max\{S(J) + \ell(S^+), a^*(S) + \ell(S^+)\}.$$

From this it follows that

$$r_J(S^+) \leq \max\{S(J) + \ell(S^+), S(Y) + \ell(S^+), r(S^+)\}. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.2.

- (i) $r_J(S^+) \leq \max\{S(J), a^*(S)\} + \ell(S^+)$.
- (ii) $a^*(S) \leq \max\{S(J), r_J(S^+) - \ell(S^+)\}$.

An important invariant which is closely related to the reduction number is the so-called a -invariant. The notion of a -invariant is introduced by Goto and Watanabe [5]. For a Noetherian graded ring S over a local ring, the a -invariant of S is defined by

$$a(S) := \max\{n; [H_M^d(S)]_n \neq 0\},$$

where $d = \dim S$ and M is a maximal graded ideal of S and

$$a_i(S) := \max\{n, [H_M^i(S)]_n \neq 0\},$$

$i = 0, \dots, \dim S$.

Remark 2.3.

- (i) $a^*(S) = \max\{a_i(S) \mid i \leq \dim S\}$.
- (ii) $a_d(S) = a(S)$.

(A, \mathbf{m}) is called a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with respect to an ideal I if $H_{\mathbf{m}}^i(A)$ is annihilated by some powers of I for $i = 0, \dots, \dim A - 1$ [21].

Proposition 2.4 ([21], Lemma 2.1). *Suppose that A is a homomorphic image of a regular local ring. Then A is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring respect to I iff for every prime ideal $\mathbf{p} \not\subseteq I$, $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\dim A_{\mathbf{p}} = d - \dim(A/\mathbf{p})$.*

From the proof of [22, Lemma 1.2] we easily get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. *$R(F)$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with respect to $R^+(F)$ iff $G(F)$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with respect to $G^+(F)$. In this case, A is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with respect to I_1 .*

3. ON THE PROPERTIES OF REES ALGEBRAS SATISFYING SERRE CONDITION (S_{ℓ}^*)

Throughout this section, let (A, \mathbf{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of $\dim A = d > 0$ with an infinite residue field A/\mathbf{m} and $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration such that $\dim R(F) = d + 1$. Let S be a graded factor algebra of $R(F)$ by a homogeneous ideal.

For every prime ideal \mathbf{p} of A we denote by $S_{\mathbf{p}}$ the localization of S at the multiplicative closed set $A \setminus \mathbf{p}$. It can be verified that if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + R^+(F)$ then $\dim S_{\mathbf{p}} = \dim S_{\mathbf{P}}$ and $R(F)_{\mathbf{p}} = R(F_{\mathbf{p}}), G(F)_{\mathbf{p}} = G(F)_{\mathbf{P}}$.

Set

$$h = \text{ht}(I_1), R = R(F), G = G(F), \ell = \ell(S^+),$$

$$L_i(S) := \{\mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid \ell(S_{\mathbf{p}}^+) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) \leq i\}; i \leq \ell(S^+),$$

$$L_i(F) := \{\mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid \ell((I_1)_{\mathbf{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) \leq i\}; i \leq \ell(I_1).$$

Definition 3.1. Let S be as above. The number

$$r_i(S) = \max \{-1; r(S_{\mathbf{p}}^+) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in L_i(S)\} + i, i \leq \ell(S^+)$$

is called the i -th local reduction number algebra of S with respect to $R(F)$. We call the invariant

$$r_i(F) = \max \{-1, r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) \mid \mathbf{p} \in L_i(F)\} + i, i \leq \ell(I_1)$$

the i -th local reduction number of F .

Remark 3.2. (i) Since $r(R_{\mathbf{p}}^+) = r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) = r(G_{\mathbf{p}}^+)$ for every $\mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, we have

$$r_i(R) = r_i(G) = r_i(F) \text{ for all } i \leq \ell(I_1).$$

(ii)

$$r_{i+1}(S) = \max\{r_i(S) + 1, r(S_{\mathbf{p}}^+) | \mathbf{p} \in L_{i+1}(S) \setminus L_i(S)\}, i \leq \ell(S^+) - 1.$$

(iii)

$$r_i(S_{\mathbf{p}}^+) \leq r_i(S), i \leq \ell(S_{\mathbf{p}}^+).$$

(iv)

$$r_i(F) = \begin{cases} i - 1, & 0 \leq i \leq h \\ \max\{i - 1, r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) + i | \ell(I_{1\mathbf{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) \leq i, \\ I_1 \subseteq \mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec } (A)\}, & h \leq i \leq \ell(I_1). \end{cases}$$

Our approach is based on an idea of Aberbach, Huneke, Trung [2] which links the local reduction numbers of an ideal with the a -invariant of the associated graded ring under the assumption that the rings A and $G(I)$ are Cohen-Macaulay. We see that the relations between the local reduction numbers of a good filtration and the a^* -invariant of its Rees algebra can be described as follows.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a good filtration of A with $\ell(I_1) = \ell$, $R := R(F)$ satisfies Serre condition (S_{ℓ}^*) and $\text{depth } R^+(F) > 0$. Let J be a minimal reduction of I_1 . Then*

- (i) $\max\{r_{\ell-1}(F) + 1, r_J(F)\} = r_{\ell}(F)$.
- (ii) $\max\{r_{\ell-1}(F) + 1, a^*(R) + \ell\} = r_{\ell}(F)$.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is based on the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose that $R(F)$ satisfies (S_{ℓ}^*) and $J \subseteq [R(F)]_1$ is a minimal reduction of $R^+(F)$. Further, assume that $\text{depth } R^+(F) > 0$. Then*

- (i) *For any filter-regular sequence x_1, \dots, x_{ℓ} of R which generates J , x_1, \dots, x_{ℓ} is $[r_0(R) + 1, \dots, r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1]$ -regular.*
- (ii) $r_J(R^+) \leq r_{\ell}(R)$.

Let us consider the following conditions

$$(C_i) : [(x_1, \dots, x_i) : x_{i+1}]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_i)_n \text{ for all } n \geq r_i(R) + 1, 0 \leq i < \ell.$$

$$(C_\ell) : r_J(R^+) \leq r_\ell(R).$$

In the same way as in the proof of [2, Theorem 3.2], we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let R, J, ℓ be as in Proposition 3.4 and $J = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$. Fix i such that $0 \leq i < \ell$. Assume that the sequence x_1, \dots, x_ℓ satisfies (C_j) for all $0 \leq j < i$. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathfrak{p} + R^+$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ with $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) > i$. Then*

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(x_1, \dots, x_i)_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n = 0$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2, k < \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\} - i$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{P} = \mathfrak{p} + R^+$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$. Since $R(F)$ satisfies the condition (S_ℓ^*) , it follows that

$$\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\}.$$

This immediately induces

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathfrak{p}})] = 0 \text{ for } k < \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\}.$$

Hence the conclusion holds for $i = 0$. We do by induction on i . Now let $i > 0$ and let $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) > i$. Set

$$J_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i), i = 1, \dots, \ell \text{ and } J_0 = 0.$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (J_{i-1} : x_i)/J_{i-1} \rightarrow R/J_{i-1} \rightarrow R/(J_{i-1} : x_i) \rightarrow 0$$

yields the following exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} [H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(J_{i-1})_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n &\rightarrow [H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(J_{i-1} : x_i)_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n \\ &\rightarrow [H_{\mathbf{P}}^{k+1}(J_{i-1} : x_i/(J_{i-1})_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis we have

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(J_{i-1})_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n = 0$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-2}(R) + 2, k < \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\} - (i - 1)$. Further, since (C_{i-1}) is satisfied,

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^t((J_{i-1} : x_i/(J_{i-1})_{\mathfrak{p}})]_n = 0$$

for all t and $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 1$. Since $r_{i-2}(R) + 2 \leq r_{i-1}(R) + 1$ and using the above exact sequence we get

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathbf{p}}/(J_{i-1} : x_i)_{\mathbf{p}})]_n = 0$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 1, k < \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\} - (i - 1)$. Now, we consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow [R/J_{i-1} : x_i](-1) \xrightarrow{x_i} R/J_{i-1} \rightarrow R/J_i \rightarrow 0.$$

By localizing at \mathbf{p} it is easy to derive the following exact sequences.

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathbf{p}}/(J_{i-1})_{\mathbf{p}})]_n \rightarrow [H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathbf{p}}/(J_i)_{\mathbf{p}})]_n \rightarrow [H_{\mathbf{P}}^{k+1}(R_{\mathbf{p}} : x_i/(J_{i-1})_{\mathbf{p}})]_{n-1}.$$

Hence we conclude that

$$[H_{\mathbf{P}}^k(R_{\mathbf{p}}/(J_i)_{\mathbf{p}})]_n = 0$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$ and $k < \min\{\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}), \ell\} - i$. Thus, this result holds for every $i < \ell$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let R, J, ℓ be as in Proposition 3.4. Assume that (C_j) holds for all $0 \leq j < i < \ell$. Then*

$$[U_i \cap V_i]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_i)_n$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$, where U_i denotes the intersection of primary components of (x_1, \dots, x_i) whose associated primes contain $R^+(F)$ and have the height at most i and $V_i = \cup_{n \geq 0} [(x_1, \dots, x_i) : R^{+n}]$.

Proof (cf. [2], Lemma 3.5). For every prime ideal $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + R^+$ of R , let $U(\mathbf{P})$, resp. $U_0(\mathbf{P})$, the intersection of primary components of (x_1, \dots, x_i) whose associated primes are contained, res. properly contained, in \mathbf{P} . Then

$$U_0(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{p}}/U(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{p}} = H_{\mathbf{P}}^0(R_{\mathbf{p}}/(x_1, \dots, x_i)_{\mathbf{p}}).$$

When $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) > i$, we get $\min\{\text{ht}\mathbf{P}, \ell\} > i$. Thus, by Lemma 3.5,

$$[U(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{p}}]_n = [U_0(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{p}}]_n$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$. Consequently, $[U(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{q}}]_n = [U_0(\mathbf{P})_{\mathbf{q}}]_n$ for any prime ideal $\mathbf{q} \subseteq \mathbf{p}$ and $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$. By [2, Lemma 3.3] we deduce that

$$[U(\mathbf{P})]_n = [U_0(\mathbf{P})]_n$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$. For every integer $j \geq i$, let W_j be the intersection of primary components of (x_1, \dots, x_i) whose associated primes contain R^+ and have the height $\leq j$. It is a plain fact that

$$W_j = \bigcap_{\mathbf{P} \supseteq R^+, \text{ht}(\mathbf{P})=j} U(\mathbf{P}) \cap V_i,$$

$$W_{j-1} = \bigcap_{\mathbf{P} \supseteq R^+, \text{ht}(\mathbf{P})=j} U_0(\mathbf{P}) \cap V_i.$$

Since $U(\mathbf{P})_n = U_0(\mathbf{P})_n$ for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$, we get

$$[W_j \cap V_i]_n = [W_{j-1} \cap V_i]_n$$

for all $n \geq r_i(R) + 2$ and $j > i$. By the above results we have

$$[W_{d+1} \cap V_i]_n = [W_d \cap V_i]_n = \dots = [W_i \cap V_i]_n$$

for all $n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2$. Observe further, that

$$W_{d+1} \cap V_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i) \text{ and } W_i = U_i.$$

Hence

$$[U_i \cap V_i]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_i)_n \text{ for all } n \geq r_{i-1}(R) + 2. \quad \square$$

Proof of Proposition 3.4. Set $J_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$. Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 we do by induction on the dimension of A . Let $d = \dim A = 1$. In this case we have $\ell = 1$ and $J = (x_1)$. Since $R(F)$ satisfies (S_1^*) and $\text{depth } R^+ > 0$, (C_0) holds. In this case F is a \mathfrak{m} -primary filtration, so $r_1(R) = r(R^+) = r_J(R^+)$ [12, Proposition 3.2]. Thus, (C_1) holds. Now let $d > 1$. We will prove by induction on i that (C_i) holds whenever $i < \ell$. The case $i = 0$, then by $\text{depth } R^+ > 0$ it follows that (C_0) holds. The case $i \geq 1$, by the inductive hypothesis then (C_j) holds for all $0 \leq j < i$. Hence, using Lemma 3.6 we obtain

$$(x_1, \dots, x_i)_n = [U_i \cap V_i]_n \text{ for all } n \geq r_i(R) + 1.$$

Let $\mathbf{P} \in \text{Ass}(R/U_i)$ and $\mathbf{P} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathbf{P} \supseteq R^+$ and $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) \leq i < \ell$, it follows that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with $\dim A_{\mathfrak{p}} < d$. Hence, by the inductive hypothesis we get

$$r_{J_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}^+) \leq r_k(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq r_k(R) < r_i(R)$$

for $k := \ell(R_{\mathbf{P}}^+) \leq \dim A_{\mathbf{P}} < i$. Note that $J_{\mathbf{P}} = (J_i)_{\mathbf{P}}$. Hence $r_{(J_i)_{\mathbf{P}}} < r_i(R)$. Thus,

$$(J_i)_{\mathbf{P}}[R_{\mathbf{P}}]_n = (J_i)_{\mathbf{P}}[R_{\mathbf{P}}]_{n+1}$$

for all $n \geq r_i(R)$. From this it follows that

$$[(U_i)_{\mathbf{P}}]_n = [R_{\mathbf{P}}]_n \text{ for all } n \geq r_i(R) + 1 \text{ and } \mathbf{P} \in \text{Ass}(R/U_i).$$

By [2, Lemma 3.3], this yields

$$[U_i]_n = [R]_n \text{ for all } n \geq r_i(R) + 1.$$

Using this formula, it is easy to see that for every $n \geq r_i(R) + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [(x_1, \dots, x_i) : x_{i+1}]_n &= [(U_i \cap V_i) : x_{i+1}]_n \\ &= I_n \cap [V_i : x_{i+1}]_n = I_n \cap [V_i]_n \\ &= [U_i \cap V_i]_n = (x_1, \dots, x_i)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (C_i) holds. This fact implies

$$S(J) \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) - \ell + 1.$$

Let Y be a minimal reduction of R^+ such that $r_Y(R^+) = r(R^+)$. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, (iv),

$$r_J(R^+) \leq \max\{S(J) + \ell(R^+), S(Y) + \ell(R^+), r(R^+)\}.$$

Since $S(J) \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) - \ell + 1$ and $S(Y) \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) - \ell + 1$, it follows that

$$\max\{S(J) + \ell(R^+), S(Y) + \ell(R^+), r_J(R^+)\} \leq \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r(R^+)\}.$$

Using this fact and $r_J(R^+) \geq r(R^+)$, it follows that

$$r_J(R^+) \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1.$$

Since $r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1 \leq r_{\ell}(R)$ we get $r_J(R^+) \leq r_{\ell}(R)$ and (C_{ℓ}) holds. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. From Proposition 3.4 we get

$$S(J) \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) - (\ell - 1).$$

Applying Remark 3.2 to R , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_\ell(R) &= \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r(R_{\mathbf{p}}^+) | \mathbf{p} \in L_\ell(R) \setminus L_{\ell-1}(R)\} \\ &\leq \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r(R^+)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$r_\ell(R) = \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r(R^+)\}.$$

By (i) and (ii) of Remark 3.2 we have

$$r_\ell(R) = \max\{r_{\ell-1}(F) + 1, r(F)\}.$$

Hence (i) of Theorem 3.3 holds. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, (iii),

$$\max\{S(J) + \ell, a^*(R) + \ell\} = \max\{S(J) + \ell, r_J(R^+)\}.$$

From this equality we get

$$\max\{S(J) + \ell, r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, a^*(R) + \ell\} = \max\{S(J) + \ell, r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r_J(R^+)\}.$$

Since $S(J) + \ell \leq r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1$, it follows that

$$\max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, a^*(R) + \ell\} = \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r_J(R^+)\},$$

for every J . The above facts show that

$$\max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, a^*(R) + \ell\} = \max\{r_{\ell-1}(R) + 1, r(R^+)\} = r_\ell(R).$$

Replacing $r_i(R)$ and $r(R^+)$ by $r_i(F)$ and $r(F)$, respectively we get (ii) of Theorem 3.3. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is now completed.

4. COHEN-MACAULAY PROPERTY OF REES ALGEBRAS

First, in this section we will derive criteria for the Cohen-Macaulay property of Rees algebra $R(F)$ of a good filtration $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in terms of local cohomology modules of the associated graded ring $G(F)$ and the local reduction numbers of F .

Let M denote the maximal graded ideal of $R(F)$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (A, \mathbf{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with $\dim A = d > 0$ and $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of A with $\text{ht}(I_1) > 0$. Set $\ell := \ell(I_1)$. Then $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $[H_M^i(G(F))]_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1, i < d$.
- (ii) $r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) - 1$ for every prime $\mathbf{p} \supseteq I_1$ with $\ell((I_1)_{\mathbf{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p})$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By [22, Theorem 1.1], $[H_M^i(G)]_n = 0$ for $n \neq -1, i < d$. If $I_1 \subseteq \mathbf{p} \in \text{Spec} A$ and $\text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) = \text{ht}(I_1)$ then $F_{\mathbf{p}}$ is $\mathbf{p}A\mathbf{p}$ -primary and $R(F_{\mathbf{p}})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By [22, Corollary 2.2] we get $r(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) - 1$. Now let $\ell(R_{\mathbf{p}}^+) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) > \text{ht}(I_1)$. We assume by induction that (ii) holds for $I_1 \subseteq \mathbf{q} \in \text{Spec} A$ and $\ell((I_1)_{\mathbf{q}}) = \text{ht}(\mathbf{q}) < \text{ht}(\mathbf{p})$. Set $\ell(F_{\mathbf{p}}) = \ell_{\mathbf{p}}$. Applying Theorem 3.3 we get

$$r_{\ell_{\mathbf{p}}}(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \max\{r_{\ell_{\mathbf{p}}-1}(F_{\mathbf{p}}) + 1, a^*(R_{\mathbf{p}}) + \ell_{\mathbf{p}}\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\ell_{\mathbf{p}}-1}(F_{\mathbf{p}}) &\leq \max\{r(F_{\mathbf{q}}) - \text{ht}(\mathbf{q}) + \ell_{\mathbf{p}} - 1 \mid \ell(I_1)_{\mathbf{q}} = \text{ht}(\mathbf{q}) \\ &\leq \ell - 1, I_1 \subseteq \mathbf{q} \subseteq \mathbf{p}; \ell_{\mathbf{p}} - 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, $r(F_{\mathbf{q}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathbf{q}) - 1$ for every $I_1 \subseteq \mathbf{q} \in \text{Spec} A$ and $\text{ht}(\mathbf{q}) < \text{ht}(\mathbf{p})$. Replacing the filtration F by the filtration $F_{\mathbf{p}}$, we get

$$r_{\ell_{\mathbf{p}}-1}(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \ell_{\mathbf{p}} - 2.$$

Combining this inequality, Theorem 3.3 (ii) and the inequality $a^*(R_{\mathbf{p}}) < 0$ yields

$$r_{\ell_{\mathbf{p}}}(F_{\mathbf{p}}) \leq \ell_{\mathbf{p}} - 1 = \text{ht}(\mathbf{p}) - 1.$$

Thus, (ii) holds.

(\Leftarrow) Consider the exact sequences

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^+ \rightarrow R \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow R^+(-1) \rightarrow R \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

We have by (1), $[H_M^i(R^+)]_n \simeq [H_M^i(R)]_n$ for all $n \neq 0$ and for all i . By (2) and the hypothesis on G we have an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow [H_M^i(R^+)]_{n+1} \rightarrow [H_M^i(R)]_n$$

for all $n < -1$ and $i \leq d$. Thus, for all $n < -1$ and $i \leq d$, $[H_M^i(R)]_{n+1}$ can be considered as a submodule of $[H_M^i(R)]_n$. Since $[H_M^i(G)]_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1$ and $i < d$, using [22, Lemma 1.2] we can conclude that $R(F)$ is a generalized Cohen-Macaulay ring with respect to R^+ . Thus, by [21, Lemma 2.2],

$$[H_M^i(R)]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \ll 0, i = 0, \dots, d.$$

One can use the same argument as in the proof for [21], Lemma 3.1 to get

$$[H_M^i(R)]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0 \text{ and } i < d, a(R) < 0.$$

From the above results we obtain

$$H_M^i(R) = 0, i = 0, \dots, d - 1$$

and

$$[H_M^d(R)]_n = 0 \text{ for all } n < 0.$$

Since $\text{depth } R \geq d$ and (3), it follows that $\text{depth } R^+ > 0$. Next, we prove by induction on dimension d of A . If $d = 1$ then F is an \mathfrak{m} -primary. From (ii) it follows that $r(F) \leq 0$. Thus, by [22, Corollary 2.2], $a(G) < 0$. Combining this inequality with the condition (i) we deduce that $R(F)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Let $\mathbf{P} = \mathfrak{p} + R^+$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} A$. If $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq I_1$ then $R_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}[t]$. Since $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, by Proposition 2.5, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. If $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1$ and $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) = \ell$ then $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \ell \leq d$. By the inductive hypothesis, $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Thus, $R_{\mathbf{P}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for every $\mathbf{P} = \mathfrak{p} + R^+$, $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec} A$ and $\text{ht}(\mathbf{P}) \leq \ell$. From this fact and $\text{depth } R \geq d \geq \ell$, it follows that $R(F)$ satisfies (S_{ℓ}^*) . By (ii), $r_{\ell}(F) \leq \ell - 1$. Using this inequality and Lemma 3.3 we get $a^*(R) < 0$ and then $a_d(R) < 0$. Since $[H_M^d(R)]_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$, it follows that $[H_M^d(R)] = 0$. Thus, $[H_M^i(R)] = 0$, $i \leq d$, it follows that $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. \square

The following immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 is a generalization of [2, Theorem 5.1] for Rees algebras of good filtrations.

Theorem 4.2. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay ring and $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of A with $\text{ht}(I_1) > 0$. Then $R(F)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $G(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.
- (ii) $r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1$ for every prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1$ with $\ell((I_1)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) By [22, Corollary 2.1] we get $G(F)$ a Cohen-Macaulay ring. The condition (ii) follows by Theorem 4.1 (ii).

(\Leftarrow) Since $G(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, it follows that the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Thus, $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring by Theorem 4.1. \square

Applying Theorem 4.1 and using the same argument as in the proof of [2, Theorem 5.6], we get the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with $\dim A = d > 0$ and $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of A with $\text{ht}(I_1) > 0$. Set $\ell := \ell(I_1)$. Then $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $[H_M^i(G)]_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1, i < d$.
- (ii) $r(F_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) - 1$ for every prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1$ with $\ell((I_1)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) < \ell(I_1)$.
- (iii) $r_J(F) \leq \ell(I_1) - 1$ for some (or every) minimal reduction J of I_1 .

The following theorem is a generalization of [11, Theorem 5.4] for Rees algebras of good filtrations.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring with $\dim A = d > 0$ and $F = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ an equimultiple filtration of A with $\dim R(F) = d + 1$. Then $R(F)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) $[H_M^i(G(F))]_n = 0$ for all $n \neq -1, i < d$,
- (ii) $r(F) \leq \text{ht}(I_1) - 1$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) The conditions (i) and (ii) follow from Proposition 4.3.

(\Leftarrow) Since $\ell(I_1) = \text{ht}(I_1)$,

$$\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq \text{ht}(I_1) = \ell(I_1)$$

for every prime $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1$. Thus,

$$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A \mid I_1 \supseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) < \ell(I_1)\} = \emptyset.$$

From this it follows that (ii) of Proposition 4.3 holds. Since $r(F) \leq \text{ht}(I_1) - 1$, there exists a minimal reduction J of I_1 such that $r_J(F) \leq \ell(I_1) - 1$. This implies that the condition (iii) of Proposition 4.3 holds. Hence $R(F)$ a Cohen-Macaulay ring.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to N. V. Trung, N. T. Cuong, L. T. Hoa for many discussions on the results of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. I. Aberbach and C. Huneke, *An improved Briancon-Skoda theorem with applications to the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees rings*, Math. Ann. **297** (1993), 343-369
2. I. Aberbach, C. Huneke and N. V. Trung, *Reduction numbers, Briancon-Skoda theorems and the depth of Rees rings*, Math. Ann. **97** (1995), 403-431.
3. N. T. Cuong, P. Schenzel and N. V. Trung, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Modun*, Math. Nachr. **85** (1978), 57-73.
4. S. Goto and S. Huckaba, *On graded rings associated with analytic deviation one ideals*, Amer. J. Math. **116** (1994), 905-919.
5. S. Goto and K. Watanabe, *On graded rings I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **30** (1978), 179-213.
6. A. Grothe, M. Herrmann, and U. Orbanz, *Graded rings associated to equimultiple ideals*, Math. Z. **186** (1984), 531-566.
7. S. Goto and Y. Shimoda, *On the Rees algebras of Cohen-Macaulay local ring*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **68**, Marcel-Dekker, New York, (1979), 201-231.
8. S. Huckaba, *Reduction numbers for ideals of higher analytic spread*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **102** (1987), 49-57.
9. S. Huckaba and C. Huneke, *Rees algebras of ideals having small analytic deviation*, Amer. Math. **114** (1992), 367-403.
10. S. Huckaba and C. Huneke, *Rees algebras of ideals having small analytic deviation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **339** (1993), 373-402.
11. L. T. Hoa, *Reduction numbers of equimultiple ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **109** (1996), 111-126.
12. L. T. Hoa and S. Zarzuela, *Reduction number and a -invariant of good filtrations*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 5635-5656.
13. B. Johnston and D. Katz, *Castelnuovo regularity and graded rings associated to an ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 723-734.
14. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin, 1970.
15. S. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Soc. **50** (1954), 145-158.
16. A. Simis, B. Ulrich, and W. Vasconcelos, *Cohen-Macaulay Rees algebras and degrees of polynomial relation*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995), 421-444.
17. Z. Tang, *Rees rings and associated graded rings of ideal having higher analytic deviation*, Comm. Algebra **22** (1994), 4855-4898.
18. N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponents and degree bound for the defining equation of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Soc. **101** (1987), 229-234.
19. N. V. Trung, *Filter-regular sequences and multiplicity of blow-up rings of ideals of the principal class*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. **33** (1993), 665-683.
20. N. V. Trung, *Reduction number, a -invariant, and Rees algebras of ideals having small analytic deviation*, in: "Commutative Algebra", World Scientific, Singapore, 1994, 245-262.
21. N. V. Trung and S. Ikeda, *When is the Rees algebra Cohen-Macaulay?* Comm. Algebra **17** (12) (1989), 2893-2922.

22. D. Q. Viet, *A note on the Cohen-Macaulayness of Rees algebras of filtrations*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 221-229.
23. D. Q. Viet, Ph.D. Thesis, Institute of Mathematics, Hanoi, 1992

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
HANOI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY
DAI CO VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM