

## A REPRESENTATION THEOREM FOR SYMMETRIC STABLE RANDOM OPERATORS

DANG HUNG THANG

**Abstract.** In this paper, it is shown that every symmetric  $p$ -stable random operator has a representation of the form of a random integral with respect to an  $p$ -stable random measure. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the existence of a representation of the form of a random series.

### 1. Introduction

Random operators in Hilbert spaces were investigated by Skorokhod [6]. They often arise in a variety of theoretical and applied contexts such as linear equations with random coefficients, stochastic integrals and stochastic differential equation in Hilbert spaces. The theory of random operators acting between Banach spaces have been developed in [2], [7], [8], [9].

Let  $X, Y$  be separable Banach spaces. In [8] it was shown that every symmetric Gaussian random operator  $A$  into  $Y$  admits an expansion of the form

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n b_n x \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $(b_n)$  is a sequence in the space  $L(X, Y)$  of nonrandom linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $(\gamma_n)$  is a sequence of real-valued independent standard Gaussian random variables. The series (1.1) is a. s. convergent in  $Y$ .

A natural problem is to establish an analogous representation for symmetric  $p$ -stable (SpS) random operators. The purpose of this paper is to prove Theorem 3.2 which states that every SpS random operator can be represented as a random integral with respect to an SpS random measure. Moreover, an SpS random operator  $A$  admits a representation of the form (1.1) if and only if the subspace

of  $L_0(\Omega)$  spanned by the r.v. 's  $\{(Ax, y), x \in X, y \in Y'\}$  can be isometrically imbedded in to  $\ell_p(1 < p < 2)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries and notation

Let  $X, Y$  be two separable Banach spaces and  $Y'$  the dual space of  $Y$ . By a random mapping  $A$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  we mean a correspondence that associates to each element  $x$  in  $X$  an  $Y$ -valued random variable  $Ax$ . A random mapping  $A$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  is called a random operator if

- 1) For all  $x_1, x_2$  in  $X$  and  $t_1, t_2$  in  $R$  we have

$$A(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2) = t_1Ax_1 + t_2Ax_2 \quad a.s.$$

- 2)  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \lim_{x_n \rightarrow x} P\{\|Ax_n - Ax\| > \epsilon\} = 0$

We say that a random operator  $B$  is a representation of the random operator  $A$  if for every finite sequence  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}$  in  $X \times Y'$ , the laws of two random variables  $\{(Ax_k, y_k)\}$  and  $\{(Bx_k, y_k)\}$  are the same.

The random operator  $A$  is called an SpS random operator if for every finite sequence  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}$  in  $X \times Y'$  the law of the random variable  $\{(Ax_k, y_k)\}$  is symmetric  $p$ -stable.

Let  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measurable space. A mapping  $M : \Sigma \rightarrow L_0(\Omega)$  is said to be an SpS random measure on  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  if

- 1) For each  $A \in \Sigma, M(A)$  is a random variable with the ch. f.

$$E \exp\{itM(A)\} = \exp\{-\mu(A) | t|^p\}.$$

2) If  $\{A_n\}$  is a sequence of disjoint sets in  $\Sigma$ , then  $\{M(A_n)\}$  are independent and  $M(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(A_n)$  a.s.

In [5] Rosinski introduced a random integral of Banach space valued functions with respect to an SpS random measure  $M$ . This integral is constructed as follows: Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space. For a simple function  $f : S \rightarrow$

$E, f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \ell_{A_i}$ , where  $(A_i)$  are disjoint sets in  $\Sigma$  and  $(c_i) \subset E$ , we define

$$\int f dM = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i M(A_i)$$

A measurable function  $f : S \rightarrow E$  is said to be  $M$ -integrable if there exist simple functions  $(f_n)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mu$ -measure and the sequence  $\{\int f_n dM\}$  converges in probability. If  $f$  is  $M$ -integrable, then we put  $\int f dM = p - \lim \int f_n dM$ . This value does not depend on the choice of the approximating sequence  $(f_n)$ . The set of  $M$ -integrable functions  $f : S \rightarrow E$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_E(M)$ . Some crucial properties of this  $p$ -stable random integral are listed in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 below.

**THEOREM 2.1.** [5] 1) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_E(M)$ , then  $\int f dM$  an  $E$ -valued SpS random variable with the ch. f.

$$F(a) = \exp\left\{-\int |(f(t), a)|^p d\mu(t)\right\}, \quad a \in E'$$

2) For  $0 < r < p < 2$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}_E(M)$ , we put

$$|f|_r = [E \|\int f dM\|^r]^{1/r}.$$

Then  $|f|_r$  is a quasi-norm (a norm if  $r \geq 1$ ) on  $\mathcal{L}_E(M)$ . For  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_E(M)$  becomes a Banach space under the norm  $|f|_r$ . Moreover there exists a constant  $C$  depending only on  $r$  such that

$$[\int \|f\|^p d\mu]^{1/p} \leq C |f|_r$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{L}_E(M)$ .

3) The mapping  $f \rightarrow \int f dM$  is a linear continuous operator from  $\mathcal{L}_E(M)$  into  $L_E^0 = L^0(S, \Sigma, \mu, E)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** [5] (Characterization of  $M$ -integrable functions) A function  $f : S \rightarrow E$  is  $M$ -integrable if and only if the function  $F(a) = \exp\{-\int |(f(t), a)|^p d\mu(t)\}$ ,  $a \in E'$  is the ch. f. of an SpS measure on  $E$ .

Following Linde [4] we say that an operator  $T : E' \rightarrow L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is an  $\Lambda_p$ -operator if the function  $F(a) = \exp\{-\|Ta\|^p\}$  is the ch.f. of SpS measure on  $E$ . It was shown (see Th. 7.4.6 of [4]) that if  $T$  is an  $\Lambda_p$ -operator ( $0 < p < 2$ ), then there is a function  $f : S \rightarrow E$  such that for each  $a \in E'$ ,  $Ta(t) = (f(t), a)$  for  $\mu$ -almost  $t$ . Combining this fact and Theorem 2.2 we get

**THEOREM 2.3.** *An operator  $T : E' \rightarrow L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is an  $\Lambda_p$ -operator if and only if it is of the form*

$$Ta(\cdot) = (f(\cdot), a) \quad \text{in } L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{L}_E(M)$  and  $M$  is an SpS random measure on  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ .

### 3. Representation theorem

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be an SpS random measure on a measurable space  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(M)$  be a linear continuous operator. Then the random mapping  $B$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  given by*

$$Bx = \int Gx dM \tag{3.1}$$

is an SpS random operator.

**PROOF:** By Theorem 2.1, the mapping  $H : \mathcal{L}_Y(M) \rightarrow L_Y^0$  given by  $Hf = \int fdM$  is linear and continuous. Hence  $B = H \circ G$  is a linear continuous operator from  $X$  into  $L_Y^0$ , i.e.  $B$  is a random operator. Now for each finite sequence  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}$  in  $X \times Y'$ , the linear combination  $\sum t_k(Bx_k, y_k) = \int [\sum t_k(Gx_k, y_k)] dM$  is an SpS random variable. Consequently,  $B$  is an SpS random operator.

Of course, every random operator which has a representation of the form (3.1) is an SpS random operator. The Representation theorem states that the converse is also true.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $A$  is an SpS random operator from  $X$  into  $Y$  ( $0 < p < 2$ ). Then there exist an SpS random measure  $M$  on some measurable space  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and a linear continuous operator  $G : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_Y(M)$  such that the SpS random operator  $B$  given by (3.1) is a representation of  $A$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $[A]$  denote the closed subspace of  $L_0(\Omega)$  spanned by the random variables the  $\{(Ax, y), x \in X, y \in Y'\}$ . For each  $h \in [A]$  we put

$$\rho(h) = [-\ln E \exp\{ih\}]^{1/p}.$$

By a theorem due to Bretagnolle et al. [1] (see also the Addendum of [3],  $\rho(h)$  is a  $F$ -norm (a norm if  $p \geq 1$ ) on  $[A]$  such that  $h_n \rightarrow h$  in  $L_0(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\rho(h_n - h) \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover,  $([A], \rho)$  embeds isometrically into some  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  by an isometry  $I$ .

Let  $X \otimes Y'$  be the tensor product of  $X$  and  $Y'$ . By the property of the tensor product the bilinear mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (Ax, y)$  induces a linear mapping  $\hat{A} : X \otimes Y' \rightarrow [A]$  such that  $\hat{A}(x \otimes y) = (Ax, y)$ . Put  $T = I \circ \hat{A} : X \otimes Y' \rightarrow L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . For each  $u \in X \otimes Y'$  we have

$$E \exp\{i\hat{A}u\} = \exp\{-\|I(\hat{A}u)\|^p\} = \exp\{-\|Tu\|^p\}. \quad (3.2)$$

In particular, for  $u = x \otimes y$  we have

$$\exp\{-\|T(x \otimes y)\|^p\} = E \exp\{i(Ax, y)\}.$$

This equality shows that for each fixed  $x \in X$  the mapping  $y \rightarrow T(x \otimes y)$  from  $Y'$  into  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is an  $\Lambda_p$ -operator. By Theorem 2.3 there exist an SpS random measure  $M$  on  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and a function, denoted by  $Gx$ , belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_Y(M)$  such that for each  $y \in Y'$ ,

$$T(x \otimes y)(\cdot) = (Gx(\cdot), y) \quad \mu - a.s. \quad (3.3)$$

We claim that the mapping  $x \rightarrow Gx$  is a linear continuous operator from  $X$  into  $\mathcal{L}_Y(M)$ . Indeed, the linearity of  $G$  follows from the linearity of  $T$  and the

separability of  $Y$ . In order to prove the continuity of  $G$  we use the closed graph theorem. Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $Gx_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{L}_Y(M)$ : We have

$$(A(x_n - x), y) \stackrel{d}{=} \int (G(x_n - x), y) dM$$

for each  $y \in Y'$ . Because  $(Ax_n - Ax, y) \xrightarrow{P} 0$  and  $\int (Gx_n, y) dM \xrightarrow{P} \int (f, y) dM$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that

$$\int (f, y) dM = \int (Gx, y) dM = p - \lim \int (Gx_n, y) dM$$

for each  $y \in Y'$ . Hence  $\int Gx dM = \int f dM$ , i.e.  $Gx = f$  as desired. Put  $Bx = \int Gx dM$ . It remains to prove that  $B$  is a representation of  $A$ . Indeed, for each finite sequence  $\{(x_k, y_k)\}$  in  $X \times Y'$ , from (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp\{i \sum t_k(Bx_k, y_k)\} &= E \exp\{i \int [\sum t_k(Gx_k, y_k)] dM\} = \\ \exp\{- \int | \sum t_k(Gx_k, y_k) |^p d\mu\} &= \exp\{- \int | \sum t_k T(x_k \otimes y_k) |^p d\mu\} = \\ \exp\{- \int | Tu |^p d\mu\} &= \exp\{- \| Tu \|^p\} = \\ E \exp\{i \hat{A}u\} &= E \exp\{i \sum t_k(Ax_k, y_k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here we put  $u = \sum t_k(x_k \otimes y_k)$ )

This shows that the random variables  $\{(Ax_k, y_k)\}$  and  $\{(Bx_k, y_k)\}$  have the same law. Thus Theorem 3.2 is proved.

Now we are going to find a condition ensuring that the SpS random operator  $A$  has a representation of the form of a random series.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $(\theta_n)$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with the ch.f.  $\exp\{-|t|^p\}$  and  $(b_n)$  a sequence in  $L(X, Y)$  such that for each  $x \in X$  the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$  is a. s. convergent in  $Y$ . Then the random mapping  $B$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  given by*

$$Bx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x \tag{3.4}$$

is an SpS random operator from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Moreover, if a random operator  $A$  has a representation  $B$  of the form (3.4), then  $[A]$  embeds isometrically into  $\ell_p$ .

PROOF: Put  $B_n x = \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k b_k x$ . Clearly,  $B_n$  is a random operator for each  $n$  and we have  $Bx = p - \lim B_n x$  for all  $x \in X$ . By [7, Thm.1.3.d]  $B$  is a random operator. It is easy to check that  $B$  is an SpS random operator. Next, let  $M = [(\theta_n)]$  be the closed subspace of  $L_0(\Omega)$  spanned by the sequence  $(\theta_n)$ . It is well known that  $(M, \rho)$  is isometric to  $\ell_p$  (see [3]). Then if  $A$  has a representation  $B$  of the form (3.4),  $[A]$  embeds isometrically into  $\ell_p$  under the mapping  $\sum_{k=1}^n (Ax_k, y_k) \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^n (bx_k, y_k)$ .

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose that  $A$  is an SpS random operator ( $1 < p < 2$ ) such that  $[A]$  may be isometrically embedded into  $\ell_p$ . Then there exists a bounded sequence  $(b_n)$  in  $L(X, Y)$  satisfying

- 1) For each  $x \in X$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$  converges a.s. in  $Y$ .
- 2) The SpS random operator  $B$  from  $X$  into  $Y$  given by

$$Bx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$$

is a representation of  $A$ .

PROOF: Because  $\ell_p$  embeds into some  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  it follows that there exist sequences  $(e_n)$  in  $L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $(g_n)$  in  $L'_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and a mapping  $I : [A] \rightarrow L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that

$$Ih = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (Ih, g_n) e_n$$

and

$$\| Ih \|^p = [\rho(h)]^p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(Ih, g_n)|^p \tag{3.5}$$

Put  $T = I \circ \hat{A} : X \otimes Y' \rightarrow L_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ . From (3.2) and (3.5) we get

$$E \exp\{i \hat{A}u\} = \exp\{-\|Tu\|^p\} = \exp\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(Tu, g_n)|^p\}.$$

As we have shown in the proof of Theorem 3.2, there are an SpS random measure  $M$  on  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  and a linear continuous operator  $G$  from  $X$  into  $\mathcal{L}_Y(M)$  such that  $T(x \otimes y)(\cdot) = (Gx(\cdot), y)$   $\mu$ -a. s. . For each fixed  $g \in L'_p(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  consider the linear mapping  $Vg : X \rightarrow Y$  given by

$$Vg(x) = \int g(t)Gx(t)d\mu(t) \quad (3.7)$$

The Bochner integral (3.7) exists since  $\int \|Gx(t)\|^p d\mu(t) < \infty$ . From Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vg(x)\| &\leq \|g\| \left[ \int \|Gx(t)\|^p d\mu(t) \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \|g\| \|Gx\|_{\mathcal{L}_Y(M)} \leq C \|g\| \|G\| \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $Vg \in L(X, Y)$  and  $\|Vg\| \leq C \|g\| \|G\|$ . Put  $b_n = Vg_n$ . We have a bounded sequence  $(b_n)$  in  $L(X, Y)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (b_n x, y) &= (Vg_n(x), y) = \int (g_n(t)Gx(t), y) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int T(x \otimes y)(t)g_n(t) d\mu(t) = (T(x \otimes y), g_n). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, putting  $u = x \otimes y$ , from (3.6) it follows that

$$E \exp\{i(Ax, y)\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(T(x \otimes y), g_n)|^p\right\} = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(b_n x, y)|^p\right\}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we are ready to prove that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$  converges a.s. in  $Y$  for each  $x \in X$ . The ch.f. of the partial sum  $\sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n b_n x$  is equal to  $\exp\{-\sum_{n=1}^N |(b_n x, y)|^p\}$  and it converges to  $E \exp\{i(Ax, y)\}$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  by (3.8). Thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$  is convergent a.s. in  $Y$  by ItoNisio's Theorem.

It remains to check that the SpS random operator  $B$  given by  $Bx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n b_n x$  is a representation of  $A$ . Indeed, for every finite sequence



$\{x_k, y_k\}_1^n \subset X \times Y'$  and  $(t_k) \subset R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp \left\{ i \sum_1^n t_k (B x_k, y_k) \right\} &= E \exp \left\{ i \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n t_k (b_i x_k, y_k) \theta_i \right\} \right\} = \\ \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n t_k (T(x_k \otimes y_k), g_i) \right|^p \right\} &= \exp \left\{ - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| (T u, g_i) \right|^p \right\} = \\ E \exp \{ i \hat{A} u \} &= E \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n t_k (A x_k, y_k) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

wherc  $u$  stands for  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k (x_k \otimes y_k)$ .

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bretagnolle, D. D.Castelle and J. L. Krivine, *Lois stables et espaces  $L_p$* , Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Ser.B 2 (1966), 231-259.
- [2] N.Elezovic, *Cylindrical measures on tensor products of Banach spaces and random linear operators*, Manuscripta Math. 60 (1988), 1-20.
- [3] M. Kanter, *Linear sample spaces and stable processes*, J. functional analysis 9 (1972), 441-459.
- [4] M. Linde, *Infinitely divisible and stable measures on Banach spaces*, Teubner-texte zur mathematik Band 58 Leipzig 1983.
- [5] J. Rosinski, *Random integrals of Banach space valued functions*, Studia math. 78 (1984), 15-38.
- [6] A. V. Skorokhod, *Random linear operators (in Russian) Kiev nauk 1979*.
- [7] D. H. Thang, *Random operators in Banach spaces*, Probab. Math. Statist. 8,2 (1987), 155-167.
- [8] D. H. Thang, *Gaussian random operator in Banach spaces*, Acta Math. Vietnam. 13,1 (1988), 79-95.
- [9] D. H. Thang, *Sample paths of random linear operators in Banach spaces*, Preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 HANOI UNIVERSITY, HANOI, VIETNAM.