ON THE CARDINALITY OF THE GROUP OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED EXTENSION FIELDS

T. SOUNDARARAJAN

Introduction

1990-1990 and restrict that the particular of the Lorentzian Section 1, they therefore the contract of the con

A. Charnow [C] proved that if E is an algebraically closed field, then $|\operatorname{Aut} E| = 2^{|E|}$. Independently, W. Wieslaw [W] has obtained the same result when |E| > c. These results significantly generalized an earlier result of the author [S]. Naturally one may raise the following problem: Let E be an algebraically closed extension field of a field F and G the group of all automorphisms of E over F. Determine |G|. In this paper we will solve this problem. We obtain the following results:

- 1) If E is algebraic over F, then $|G| = 2^m$, where m = 0, 1 or infinite. Conversely, given any m = 0, 1 or infinite, we can find a pair E, F with $|G| = 2^m$.
- 2) If E is transcendental over F, let d be the transcendence degree of E over F. There are two cases:
 - (a) F is finite: Then |G| = c if d is finite and $|G| = 2^d$ if d is infinite.
 - (b) F is infinite: Then $|G| = 2^d$ if $d \ge |F|$ and $|G| = 2^{|F|}$ if d < |F|.

The author is extremely thankful to the referee for suggesting the proof in the case of characteristic 2 in (b) and thus enabling to present complete results.

en andre andre andre andre and an anti-series of the series and the series of the analysis of the series and the series and the analysis of the series and the series and the series and the series and the series are also as the series and the series are also as the series are

1. E algebraic over F

PROPOSITION 1. Let E be algebraic over F. Then $|G| = 2^m$ with m = 0, 1 or infinite cardinal. Conversely, given m = 0, 1 or infinite cardinal, there exists a pair E, F with E algebraic over F such that $|G| = 2^m$.

PROOF: If G is finite and $\neq e$, then by Artin-Schreier theorem F is real closed and hence |G|=2. Suppose that G is infinite. Then E is an infinite algebraic extension of F. By the infinite Galois theory [B, p.188] G is a compact totally disconnected group. Hence $|G|=2^m$, m being the weight of G. See [HR, 9.15]. Conversely, if m=0, we can take $E=F=\mathbb{C}$, and if m=1, $E=\mathbb{C}$, $F=\mathbb{R}$. Let m now be infinite. We choose a pure transcendental extension $F=\mathbb{Q}(B)$ with |B|=m and let E be the algebraic closure of F. Then |E|=|F|=m (see [J, p.143]) and hence $|G|\leq 2^m$. We claim that $|G|\geq 2^m$. Consider the extension $F_1=F(\{\sqrt{x}:x\in B\})$. Then F_1 is an algebraic separable normal extension of F and $G(F_1/F)\simeq \Pi\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $|G(F_1/F)|=2^m$. Since $G(F_1/F)$ is the quotient group $G/G(E/F_1)$, we get $|G|\geq 2^m$. Now the proposition follows.

Transcendental over Ferral and the second se

Entropy was for sit in solid

Throughout this section, E is a transcendental extension of F with transcendence degree d. Moreover, we will denote by B a transcendence base of E over F.

PROPOSITION 2. Let F be a finite field. Then

- |G| = c if d is finite. The first constant |G| = c if d is finite.
 - 2) $|G| = 2^d$ if d is infinite.

PROOF: (1) If d is finite, $|F(B)| = \mathcal{H}_0 = |E|$, hence $|G| \leq c$. On the other hand, $G \supset G(E/F(B))$ and E is a countably generated algebraic extension of F(B). If E were a finite extension of F(B), then by Artin-Schreier theorem F(B) would be a real closed field and hence the characteristic of F(B) would be zero. But the characteristic of F(B) = the characteristic of $F = p \neq 0$, a

contradiction. So E must be an infinite algebraic extension of F(B). Hence by Proposition 1, $|G(E/F(B))| = 2^{X_0} = c$ and $|G| \ge c$. From this (1) follows.

(2) If d is infinite, |F(B)| = d = |E|. Hence $|G| \le 2^d$. On the other hand, every permutation of B extends to an element of G and so $|G| \ge 2^d$. Thus, $|G| = 2^d$ follows.

PROPOSITION 3. Suppose that F is infinite. Then $|G| = 2^d$ if $d \ge |F|$.

PROOF: E is an algebraic closure of F(B) and so every permutation of B extends to an element of G. Hence we get $|G| \geq 2^d$. On other hand, we easily have |F(B)| = d, hence |E| = d (See [J, p.143]). So $|G| \leq 2^d$. From this the proposition follows.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that F is infinite and d < |F|. Then $|G| < 2^{|F|}$.

PROOF: Since d < |F|, we have |F(B)| = |F|d = |F|. Hence |E| = |F| (See [J, p.143]). Thus $|G| \le 2^{|E|} = 2^{|F|}$.

PROPOSITION 5. Suppose that F is infinite and d < |F|. Then $|G| = 2^{|F|}$.

PROOF: By Lemma 4, we have $|G| \leq 2^{|F|}$. To prove $|G| \geq 2^{|F|}$ let $x \in B$ and F_1 be the algebraic closure of $F(B \setminus \{x\})$ in E and $K = F_1(x)$.

Suppose that the characteristic of $F \neq 2$. Consider the extension

$$L = K(\{\sqrt{x+a} : a \in F \setminus \{0\}\}).$$

Claim: If $a_1,...,a_n$ are distinct elements from F and $y_i = \sqrt{x + a_i}$ for i = 1,...,n, then $y_1,...,y_n$ are linearly independent over K.

It is enough to prove that $[K(y_1,...,y_n):K]=2^n$. We prove this by induction on n. If n=1, it is easy. Let us now assume the claim whenever less than n elements are involved.

Consider y_n . If $y_n \in K(y_1,...,y_{n-1})$, then $y_n = b_2 + c_2y_{n-1}$, where $b_2, c_2 \in K(y_1,...,y_{n-2})$. If $c_2 = 0$, then $y_n \in K(y_1,...,y_{n-2})$ in which case we are through by applying the induction hypothesis to the (n-1) elements $y_1,...,y_2$ and y_n . So we can assume that $c_2 \neq 0$. If $b_2 \neq 0$, then $b_2c_2 \neq 0$ and hence $2b_2c_2 \neq 0$ since the characteristic of $K \neq 2$. Squaring $y_n = b_2 + c_2y_{n-1}$ gives $x + a_n = b_2^2 + c_2^2(x + a_{n-1}) + 2b_2c_2y_{n-1}$. This yields $y_{n-1} \in K(y_1,...,y_{n-2})$ and hence

$$[K(y_1,...,y_{n-1}):K] = [K(y_1,...,y_{n-2}):K] = 2^{n-2},$$

contradicting the induction hypothesis. Thus we are left with the situation

$$y_n = c_2 y_{n-1}, c_2 \in K(y_1, ..., y_{n-2}), c_2 \neq 0.$$

Now $c_2 = b_3 + c_3 y_{n-2}$, b_3 , $c_3 \in K(y_1, ..., y_{n-3})$. If $c_3 = 0$, then $y_n \in K(y_1, ..., y_{n-3}, y_{n-1})$, contradicting the induction hypothesis. So $c_3 \neq 0$. If $b_3 \neq 0$, then $y_n^2 = c_2^2 y_{n-1}^2$ gives $x + a_n = c_2^2 (x + a_{n-1})$. From this we get $y_{n-2} \in K(y_1, ..., y_{n-3})$, contradicting the induction hypothesis. So $b_3 = 0$ and we are left with the situation $y_n = y_{n-1} y_{n-2} c_3$, $c_3 \neq 0$, $c_3 \in K(y_1, ..., y_{n-3})$. We continue this process. Either we get a contradiction or we come to the situation (in at most n-1 stages): $y_n = y_{n-1} y_{n-2} ... y_1 c_n$, $c_n \neq 0$, $c_n \in K$ and hence

$$c_n = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}, \ (f(x), g(x)) = 1, \ f(x), g(x) \in F[x].$$

Squaring we get

$$(x + a_n) = (x + a_{n-1})|(x + a_{n-2}) \cdot \cdots \cdot \frac{f(x)^2}{g(x)^2}$$

Using unique factorization, we get $x + a_n$ divides both f(x) and g(x), contradicting the assumption (f(x), g(x)) = 1. Therefore $[K/y_1, ..., y_n : K] = 2^n$. Thus the claim follows.

Now $\{\sqrt{x+a}: a \in F \setminus \{0\}\}$ is a linearly independent set over K. Then L is an algebraic separable normal extension of K and $G(L/K) \simeq \Pi \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $|G(L/K)| = 2^{|F|}$. Since E is an algebraic closure of L, each element of

G(L/K) extends to an element of $G(E/K) \subset G$. Hence $|G| \ge 2^{|F|}$ when the characteristic of $F \ne 2$.

Suppose that the characteristic of F = 2. Consider the extension

$$M = K(\{\sqrt[3]{x+a} : a \in E \setminus \{0\}\}).$$

Claim. If $a_1, ..., a_n$ are distinct elements of $F \setminus \{0\}$ and $z_i = \sqrt[3]{x + a_i}$ for i = 1, ..., n, then $1, z_n, z_n^2$ are linearly independent over $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})$. It is enough to prove that $[K(z_1, ..., z_n) : K] = 3^n$. We prove this by induction on n.

Suppose that n=1. Then z_1 is a root of the polynomial $z^3-(x+a_1)$ over K. If this polynomial is reducible in K[Z], it has a root in K. But $\bar{F_1}$ being algebraically closed contains all the cube roots of unity. Hence all the roots of this polynomial will be in K. Hence $z_1 \in K$. But $x+a_1 \to x$ yields an automorphism of K. Hence we get $\sqrt[3]{x} \in K$. This is a contradiction. Thus $Z^3-(x+a_1)$ is irreducible in K[Z] and hence $[K(z_1]:K]=3$.

Let us now assume the claim whenever less than n elements are involved. Suppose that the polynomial $Z^3 - (x + a_n)$ is reducible in $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})[Z]$. Then it has a root in $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})$ and since F_1 contains all the roots of unity, we get $z_n \in K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})$. Therefore $z_n = b_2 + c_2 z_{n-1} + d_2 z_{n-1}^2$, where $b_2, c_2, d_2 \in K(z_1, ..., z_{n-2})$. Since $1, z_{n-1}, z_{n-1}^2$ are linearly independent over $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-2})$, the equation $z_n^3 = (b_2 + c_2 z_{n-1} + d_2 z_{n-1}^2)^3$ gives the relations

$$b_2^3 + c_2^3(x + a_{n-1}) + d_2^3(x + a_{n-1})^2 = x + a_n,$$

$$b_2^2c_2 + d_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2)(x + a_{n-1}) = 0,$$

$$b_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2) + c_2d_2^2(x + a_{n-1}) = 0.$$

Case (1): $\ddot{b}_2 \neq 0$.

If $c_2 = 0$, then (**) gives $b_2^2 d_2 = 0$, hence $d_2 = 0$. If $d_2 = 0$, then (*) gives $b_2^2 c_2 = 0$, hence $c_2 = 0$. In this case, $z_n \in K(z_1, ..., z_{n-2})$ and we get a contradiction by applying the induction hypothesis to the (n-1) elements $z_1, ..., z_{n-2}$ and z_n . So we have $c_2 \neq 0$ and $d_2 \neq 0$.

Eliminating $(x + a_{n-1})$ from (*) and (**) we get $d_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2)b_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2) = c_2d_2^2b_2^2c_2,$ $(b_2d_2 + c_2^2)^2 = c_2^2b_2d_2,$ $c_2^4 + b_2^2d_2^2 = c_2^2b_2d_2,$ $c_2^4 = b_2d_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2).$

Hence

$$x + a_{n-1} = \frac{b_2(b_2d_2 + c_2^2)}{c_2d_2^2} = \frac{c_2^4}{c_2d_2^3} = (\frac{c_2}{d_2})^3.$$

Thus $z_{n-1}^3=(\frac{c_2}{d_2})^3$. Hence $z_{n-1}=\frac{c_2}{d_2}$ or $w\frac{c_2}{d_2}$ or $w^2\frac{c_2}{d_2}$ where $w^3=1$. Now $w\in F_1$ and $\frac{c_2}{d_2}\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-2})$. Thus $z_{n-1}\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-2})$. This contradicts the induction hypothesis for the n-1 elements $z_1,...,z_{n-2}$ and z_{n-1} .

Case (2):
$$b_2 = 0$$
.

Then $c_2d_2^2(x+a_{n-1})=0$. But $x+a_{n-1}\neq 0$. Hence $c_2=0$ or $d_2=0$.

Thus we are left with the situation $z_n=c_2z_{n-1}$ or $z_n=d_2z_{n-1}^2$, where $c_2\neq 0,\ d_2\neq 0$ and $c_2,d_2\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-2})$. We have $z_n=z_{n-1}^{\epsilon_1}e_2$, where $\epsilon_1=1$ or $2,\ e_2\neq 0,\ e_2\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-2})$. Now $e_2=b_3+c_3z_{n-2}+d_3z_{n-2}^2$, where $b_3,c_3,d_3\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-3})$.

As above we may assume that $b_3=0$ and either $c_3=0$ or $d_3=0$. Thus we may assume that $z_n=z_{n-1}^{\epsilon_1}z_{n-2}^{\epsilon_2}e_3$ where $\epsilon_2=1$ or 2 and $e_3\in K(z_1,...,z_{n-3}),\ e_3\neq 0$. Continuing this process (in atmost n-1 stages), we come to the situation $z_n=z_{n-1}^{\epsilon_1}z_{n-2}^{\epsilon_2},...,z_1^{\epsilon_{n-1}},\ e_n\neq 0,\ e_n\in K$ and hence $e_n=\frac{f(x)}{g(x)},\ (f(x),g(x))=1,\ f(x),g(x)\in F_1[x]$ and $\epsilon_1=1$ or 2 for all i with $1\leq i\leq n-1$. Taking cubes on both sides we get

$$(x+a_n) = (x+a_{n-1})^{\epsilon_1} (x+a_{n-2})^{\epsilon_2} ... (x+a_1)^{\epsilon_{n-1}} \frac{f(x)^3}{g(x)^3}$$

Using unique factorization, we get $x + a_n$ divides both f(x) and g(x), contradicting (f(x), g(x)) = 1.

We have proved that $Z^3 - (x + a_n)$ is irreducible in $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})[Z]$ and hence $[K(z_1, ..., z_n) : K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})] = 3$. So we get $[K(z_1, ..., z_n) : K] =$

 3^n . Thus $1, z_n, z_n^2$ are linearly independent over $K(z_1, ..., z_{n-1})$. Now M is an algebraic separable normal extension of K and from the above considerations we easily have $G(M/K) \simeq \Pi \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $|G(M/K)| = 3^{|F|}$. Since E is an algebraic closure of M, each element of G(M/K) extends to an element of $G(E/K) \subset G$. Hence $|G| \geq 3^{|F|} = 2^{|F|}$ when the characteristic of F = 2.

Therefore $|G| = 2^{|F|}$ and the proof of Proposition 5 is complete.

REMARK: Our paper [S1] determined the center of G.

REFERENCES

- [B]. N. Bourbaki, Algebra II, Hermann, Paris, 1959.
- [C]. A. Charnow, The automorphisms of an algebraically closed field, Canad. Math. Bull 18 (1970), 95-97.
- [HR]. E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, Abstract harmonic analysis I, Grundlehren series, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1963.
 - [J]. N. Jacobson, Lectures in abstract algebra, vol. 3, Van Nostrand, 1964.
 - [S]. T. Soundararajan, On the automorphisms of the complex numbered field, Math. Mag. 40 (1967), 213.
- [S1]. T. Soundararajan, Groups of automorphisms of algebraically closed extension field, Maths. Today I (1983), 17-24.
- [V]. B.L. Vander Waerden, Algebra I, Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York, 1970.
- [W]. W. Wieslaw, On some characterisations of the complex number field, Colloq. Math. XXIV (1972), 139-145.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS MADURAI KAMARAJ UNIVERSITY MADURAI 625 021 INDIA