

## ON $k$ -SURFACES MINIMIZING A FUNCTIONAL WITH A CONVEX LAGRANGIAN IN $R^n$

TRAN VIET DUNG

### 0. Introduction

The problem of minimal currents and surfaces in Riemannian manifolds was studied by A.T. Fomenko [1], Dao Trong Thi [2], H. Federer, W.H. Fleming [3], and others.

The aim of this paper is to investigate some properties of  $k$ -surfaces minimizing a functional given by a lagrangian. In the case when  $k = 1$ , minimal curves were described in [4].

### §1. Preliminaries

Let  $R^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space,  $\Lambda_k R^n$  and  $\wedge^k R^n$  be the vector spaces of  $k$ -vectors and  $k$ -covectors on  $R^n$  respectively. Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold. Denote by  $E^k M$  and  $E_k M$  the vector spaces of differential  $k$ -forms and  $k$ -currents. Consider a functional  $J$  on  $E_k M$ . A  $k$ -current  $S$  is called absolutely minimal with respect to  $J$  if  $J(S) \leq J(S')$  for any  $k$ -current  $S'$  such that  $S - S'$  is closed.

A lagrangian  $L$  of degree  $k$  is a mapping  $L : \Lambda_k M \rightarrow R$  such that its restriction to each fibre  $\Lambda_k M_x$  is positively homogeneous, where  $M_x$  is the tangent space to  $M$  at  $x$ . Each lagrangian  $L$  of degree  $k$  on  $M$  defines a positively homogeneous functional  $J$  on  $E_k M$  by the formula

$$J(S) = \int L(\vec{S}_x) d \|S\|(x), \quad S \in E_k M,$$

---

Received by the editors August 2, 1990

where the  $k$ -vector  $\vec{S}_x$  is defined by  $S, \|S\|$  is the variational measure given by  $S$  (see [2]),  $\|\vec{S}_x\| = 1$ .

Each oriented compact variety  $V$  in  $M$  can be identified with a  $k$ -current  $[V]$  by the formula

$$[V](\varphi) = \int_V \varphi, \quad \varphi \in E^k M.$$

Then the oriented tangent space  $V_x$  at  $x$  can be identified with the  $k$ -vector  $[\vec{V}]_x$ .

In this paper, assume that the lagrangian  $L$  is parallel, i.e. not dependent on  $x$ . Put

$$C_L = \{\xi \in \wedge_k R_x^n, L(\xi) \leq 1\},$$

and

$$S_L = \{\xi \in \wedge_k R_x^n, L(\xi) = 1\}.$$

We also assume that  $C_L$  is a convex polyhedron of dimension  $\binom{n}{k}$  in the space  $\wedge_k R_x^n = \wedge_k R^n = R^{\binom{n}{k}}$ . This lagrangian is called convex polyhedral. For a set  $Z$  in a finite dimensional Euclidean space  $R^N$ , a hyperplane  $H^*$  is called supporting at  $x \in Z$  if there is a linear form  $\omega$  on  $R^N$  such that  $\omega(\xi) = h$  for every  $\xi \in H^*$  and  $\omega(\xi) \leq h$  for every  $\xi \in Z$ , where  $h \in R$ . Then the set  $H = H^* \cap Z$  is a face of  $Z$ . For any  $Z$  in  $R^N$  denote by  $CZ$  the set

$$CZ = \{t\xi; \xi \in Z, t > 0\}.$$

From Theorem 3.6 and 3.7 in [2] we have

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $J$  be a functional on  $E_k R^n$  given by a convex polyhedral lagrangian  $L$ . The  $k$ -current  $S$  is absolutely minimal with respect to*

$J$  if and only if there is a face  $H$  of  $C_L$  such that  $\vec{S}_t \in CH$  for almost every  $t \in R^n$  in the sense of the measure  $\|S\|$ .

The  $k$ -surfaces minimizing  $J$  in the class of all  $k$ -currents with the same boundary are described by Theorem 1. Next we shall find conditions for the minimality of  $k$ -surfaces in the class of all oriented compact  $k$ -surfaces with the same boundary.

## §2. On minimal $k$ -surfaces

Given a lagrangian  $L$  of degree  $k$  in  $R^n$  as above. Denote by  $G(k, n)$  the set of all oriented  $k$ -planes passing through the origin in  $R^n$ . Each  $k$ -plane of them can be identified with a simple  $k$ -vector in  $R^n$ , the norm of which equals to unit. Thus,  $G(k, n)$  is contained in the unit sphere in the space  $R^{\binom{n}{k}} = \wedge_k R^n$ . Put

$$CG(k, n) = \{t\xi; t > 0, \xi \in G(k, n)\}.$$

If  $1 < k < n - 1$ , then the set  $CG(k, n) \cap C_L$  is not convex in  $\wedge_k R^n$ . By using faces of the set  $CG(k, n)$  we can obtain a sufficient condition for the minimality of  $k$ -surfaces in  $R^n$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $J$  be the function on  $E_k R^n$  given by the lagrangian  $L$ . Let  $S$  be a compact oriented  $k$ -surface of dimension  $k$  in  $R^n$ . If there is a face  $H$  of  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  such that  $\vec{S}_x \in CH$  for every  $x \in S$ , then  $S$  is minimizing the function  $J$  in the class of all compact oriented  $k$ -surfaces with the same boundary.*

**PROOF:** Let  $H$  be a face of  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  defined by a hyperplane  $H^*$  in  $R^{\binom{n}{k}}$ . We shall show that  $H^*$  does not contain the origin  $0$  in  $R^{\binom{n}{k}}$ . First of all, assume that  $0 \in H^*$ . There are two cases.

1) There is a  $k$ -vector  $\xi \in (CG(k, n) \cap S_L) \setminus H^*$ . Then there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $-t\xi \in CG(k, n) \cap S_L$ . Assume that  $\omega(\xi) = h$  is the equation of  $H^*$  and  $\omega(\xi) \leq h$  for every  $\xi \in CG(k, n) \cap S_L$ . Since  $0 \in H^*$ , it follows  $h = 0$ . For a point  $\xi \in (CG(k, n) \cap S_L) \setminus H^*$  we have  $\omega(\xi) < 0$ . Then for  $t > 0$ ,  $\omega(-t\xi) = -t\omega(\xi) > 0$ . It follows  $-t\xi \notin CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  for any  $t > 0$ . That is a contradiction.

2) There is no  $k$ -vector in  $(CG(k, n) \cap S_L) \setminus H^*$ . Then  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L \subset H^*$ . It follows that  $CG(k, n) \cap C_L \subset H^*$  and  $CG(k, n) \subset H^*$ . But in  $CG(k, n)$  there are  $\binom{n}{k}$  linearly independent  $k$ -vectors and  $\dim H^* = \binom{n}{k} - 1$ . Hence we obtain a contradiction.

Thus, we can assume that  $H^*$  does not contain the origin 0 in  $R^{\binom{n}{k}}$ . Hence, there is a linear form  $\omega$  on  $R^{\binom{n}{k}}$  such that  $H^*$  has the equation  $\omega(\xi) = 1$  and  $\omega(\xi) \leq 1$  for every  $\xi \in CG(k, n) \cap S_L$ . Then  $\omega(\xi) = L(\xi) = 1$  for every  $\xi \in H$ . It follows that  $\omega(\xi) \leq L(\xi)$  for every  $\xi \in CG(k, n)$  and equality holds if and only if  $\xi \in CH$ .

Denote by  $\bar{\omega}$  the constant-coefficient differential  $k$ -form corresponding to  $\omega$ , i.e.  $\bar{\omega}_x = \omega$  for every  $x \in R^n$ . It is easy to see that  $\bar{\omega}$  is closed, hence exact, i.e. there is a differential  $(k-1)$ -form  $\theta$  such that  $d\theta = \bar{\omega}$ .

If  $H$  is a face of  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  that satisfies the assumption of the theorem, then

$$(1) \quad \omega(\vec{S}_x) = L(\vec{S}_x)$$

for every  $x \in S$ . Hence

$$(2) \quad \int_S \bar{\omega} = \int_S L.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3) \quad \int_S L = \int_S L(\vec{S}_x) d\|S\|(x) = J(S),$$

and

$$(4) \quad \int_S \bar{\omega} = \int_S d\theta.$$

It follows that

$$(5) \quad J(S) = \int_S d\theta$$

By the Stokes's theorem, we have

$$(6) \quad \int_S d\theta = \int_{\partial S} \theta.$$

Let  $S'$  be an arbitrary compact oriented  $k$ -surface which has the same boundary with  $S$ . Then

$$(7) \quad J(S') = \int_{S'} L = \int_{S'} L(\vec{S}'_x) d\|S'\|(x).$$

From the inequality  $L(\xi) \geq \bar{\omega}(\xi)$  it follows

$$(8) \quad \int_{S'} L \geq \int_{S'} \bar{\omega}.$$

By the Stokes' theorem, we have

$$(9) \quad \int_{S'} \bar{\omega} = \int_{\partial S'} \theta = \int_{\partial S} \theta = J(S).$$

From (7), (8), (9) it follows

$$J(S') \geq J(S)$$

Thus, the minimality of  $S$  is proved and the proof of the theorem is completed.

By the above theorem, for a face  $H$  of the set  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  there is a class of minimal  $k$ -surfaces which satisfy the assumption of the theorem. Denote it by  $F(H)$ . We shall describe  $F(H)$ .

REMARK: If  $L$  is the norm in  $R^{\binom{n}{k}}$  induced by the Euclidean norm in  $R^n$  then  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L = G(k, n)$  and we obtain a condition for the volume-minimality of a  $k$ -surface.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  be two noncollinear simple  $k$ -vectors. The straight line  $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$  consists of simple  $k$ -vectors if and only if there exist linearly independent vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}$  in  $R^n$  such that

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge e_k, \\ \xi_2 &= e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge e_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: 1) Assume that  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  have the form (11). For any  $\xi \in \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$ ,  $\xi = t\xi_1 + (1-t)\xi_2$ , where  $t \in R$ . It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= te_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge e_k + (1-t)e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge e_{k+1} \\ &= e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{k-1} \wedge (te_k + (1-t)e_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\xi$  is a simple  $k$ -vector.

2) Now assume that the straight line  $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$  consists of simple  $k$ -vectors. Denote by  $V(\xi_1)$ ,  $V(\xi_2)$  the vector spaces associated to  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  respectively, i.e.

$$e \in V(\xi_i) \iff e \wedge \xi_i = 0; \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Assume that  $\dim V(\xi_1) \cap V(\xi_2) = 1$ . Then there exist vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  in  $V(\xi_1)$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{2k-1}$  in  $V(\xi_2)$  such that the system  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k, \dots, e_{2k-1}\}$  is linearly independent and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k, \\ \xi_2 &= e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_1 \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{2k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption,  $\frac{1}{2}\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_2$  is a simple  $k$ -vector. It follows that  $\xi_1 + \xi_2$  is a simple  $k$ -vector. Assume that  $\xi_1 + \xi_2 = f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_k$ , where  $f_i \in R^n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Let us choose vectors  $e_{2k-1+1}, \dots, e_n$  such that the system  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  is a basis of the space  $R^n$ . We have

$$f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} e_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

and

$$(14) \quad f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_k = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{1i_1} & \cdots & x_{1i_k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{ki_1} & \cdots & x_{ki_k} \end{bmatrix} e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

On the other hand,

$$(15) \quad f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_k = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k + e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_1 \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2k-1}.$$

Since the system  $\{e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}\}$  is linearly independent, by (14), (15) all coefficients of  $e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_k}$  in (14) are equal to zero except the coefficients of  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k$  and  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_1 \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2k-1}$ .

Now assume that  $1 < k - 1$ , then

$$(16) \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k-1} & x_{1k+i} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{k1} & \cdots & x_{kk-1} & x_{kk+i} \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

Consider  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{2k-1} \in R^k$  given by  $\alpha_j = (x_{1j}, \dots, x_{kj})$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1$ . If the system  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}\}$  is linearly dependent then the system  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_k\}$  is also linearly dependent. Hence

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{k1} & \cdots & x_{kk} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

and it follows that the coefficient of  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k$  in (14) equals to zero. This is a contradiction to (15).

If the system  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}\}$  is linearly independent, then

$$(16) \quad \alpha_{k+i} = t_{i1}\alpha_1 + \cdots + t_{ik-1}\alpha_{k-1}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

It easy to see that the system  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_{2k-1}$  is linearly dependent. It follows

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1\ell} & x_{1k+1} & \cdots & x_{12k-1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{k1} & \cdots & x_{k\ell} & x_{kk+1} & \cdots & x_{k2k-1} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Thus, the coefficient of  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_1 \wedge e_{k+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{2k-1}$  in (14) equals to zero. That is a contradiction to (15). Hence we obtain  $\ell = k-1$  and the proof of the lemma is completed.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  be linearly independent simple  $k$ -vectors and  $\langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle$  be the  $m$ -plane in  $R^{(n)}$  defined by  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ . Assume that  $\langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle$  consists of only simple  $k$ -vectors. Denote by  $V(\xi_0), \dots, V(\xi_m)$  the vector spaces associated to  $\xi_0, \dots, \xi_m$  respectively. Then

$$\dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \cdots + V(\xi_m)] \leq k + m.$$

PROOF: Since  $\langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle$  consists of only simple  $k$ -vectors, the straight line  $\langle \xi_i, \xi_j \rangle$  consists of only simple  $k$ -vectors. By Lemma 1,

$$(19) \quad \dim V(\xi_i) \cap V(\xi_j) = k - 1 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

On the other hand,

$$\dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1)] = \dim V(\xi_0) + \dim V(\xi_1) -$$



$$-dim V(\xi_0) \cap V(\xi_1) = k + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + V(\xi_2)] &= dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1)] + \\ &dim V(\xi_2) - dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1)] \cap V(\xi_2). \end{aligned}$$

It follows  $dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + V(\xi_2)] \leq k + 2$ . Analogously, we obtain

$$dim [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_m)] \leq k + m.$$

Thus, the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3. Let  $H$  be an  $m$ -dimensional face of  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  which is contained in an  $m$ -plane. Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  be linearly independent  $k$ -vectors in  $H$ . Then for every  $\xi \in H$ ,

$$(20) \quad V(\xi) \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_m)].$$

PROOF: By the assumption, it is easy to see that  $H \subset \langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \rangle$ . Denote by  $\langle \xi_0, \dots, \xi_i \rangle$  the  $i$ -plane defined by  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_i$ . We shall show by induction that for any  $\xi \in \langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_i \rangle$ ,

$$V(\xi) \subset [V(\xi_0) + \dots + V(\xi_i)]$$

For  $i = 1$ , by Lemma 1 we have

$$(21) \quad V(\xi) \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1)].$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$(22) \quad V(\xi) \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_{m-1})].$$

for every  $\xi \in \langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-1} \rangle$ .

Let  $\xi'$  be a  $k$ -vector in  $H$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\langle \xi_m, \xi' \rangle \cap \langle \xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{m-1} \rangle = \xi$  then  $V(\xi) \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_{m-1})]$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 1

$$V(\xi') \subset [V(\xi_m) + V(\xi)].$$

Hence we obtain

$$V(\xi') \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_m)].$$

Thus, the proof of the lemma is completed.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $H$  be an  $m$ -dimensional face of  $CG(k, n) \cap S_L$  which is contained in an  $m$ -plane. Let  $S$  be a minimal  $k$ -surface corresponding to  $H$  (i.e.  $S \in F(H)$ ). Assume that  $S$  is arcwise connected. Let  $\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$  be linearly independent  $k$ -vectors in  $H$  and  $W$  be the  $(k+m)$ -plane in  $R^n$  defined by vector space  $V(\xi_0) + \dots + V(\xi_m)$  and a point  $x_0 \in S$ . Then  $S \subset W$ .

**PROOF:** Since  $S$  is contained in  $F(H)$ ,  $\vec{S}_x \in CH$  for every  $x \in S$ , where  $\vec{S}_x$  is  $k$ -vector defined by the tangent space  $S_x$  at  $x \in S$ . By Lemma 3 we have

$$V(\vec{S}_x) \subset [V(\xi_0) + V(\xi_1) + \dots + V(\xi_m)]$$

Let  $y$  be an arbitrary point on  $S$ . Since  $S$  is arcwise connected, there is a differentiable curve  $\gamma$  passing through  $x_0, y$ . Then every tangent vector to  $\gamma$  is contained in  $V(\xi_0) + \dots + V(\xi_m)$ . Hence  $\gamma$  is contained in  $W$ .

The theorem is proved.

### §3. On the convexity of $C_L$

In the case when  $C_L$  is convex, every  $k$ -plane  $K$  in  $R^n$  is minimal with respect to  $J$ . Actually, from the convexity of  $C_L$  it follows that for each

$\xi \in S_L$  there is a face  $H$  containing  $\xi$ . Obviously,  $\vec{K}_x$  is a fixed  $k$ -vector for every  $x$ . There exists  $t > 0$  such that  $t\vec{K}_x \in S_L$ . Hence there is a face  $H$  of  $C_L$  such that  $\vec{K}_x \in CH$ . By Theorem 1, the  $k$ -plane  $K$  is minimal.

In the case when  $C_L$  is not convex, the above statement is not true. For example, assume that  $k = 1$ . Since  $C_L$  is not convex, there are two points  $a \in S_L$ ,  $b \in S_L$  such that the straight segment  $[a, b]$  is not contained in  $C_L$ . Hence there is a point  $\xi \in [a, b] \setminus C_L$ . Then there is a point  $\xi_1$  in  $[0, a]$  such that  $[\xi, \xi_1] \parallel [0, b]$ . Let  $\xi_2$  be a point in  $[0, b]$  such that  $[\xi, \xi_2] \parallel [0, a]$ . Then  $\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ . Moreover  $\xi_1 = t_1 a$ ,  $\xi_2 = (1 - t_1)b$ , where  $t_1 \in R$ . Denote by  $[0, \xi_2, \xi]$  the broken line passing through  $0, \xi_2, \xi$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J([0, \xi_2, \xi]) &= J([0, \xi_2]) + J([\xi_2, \xi]) \\
 &= L(\xi_2 - 0) + L(\xi - \xi_2) = L(\xi_2) + L(\xi_1) \\
 (24) \quad &= L(t_1 a) + L((1 - t_1)b) = t + (1 - t) = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, putting  $\xi' = [0, \xi] \cap S_L$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (25) \quad J([0, \xi]) &= L(\xi), \\
 J([0, \xi']) &= L(\xi') = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\xi' = t\xi$ ,  $0 < t < 1$  it follows

$$(26) \quad L(\xi') = tL(\xi).$$

From (25) we have

$$(27) \quad L(\xi) > 1.$$

From (24), (25), (27) we obtain

$$(28) \quad J([0, \xi]) > J([0, \xi_2, \xi]).$$

Thus, the broken line  $[0, \xi_2, \xi]$  is shorter than the straighty segment  $[0, \xi]$ . Hence  $[0, \xi]$  is not minimal with respect to the functional  $J$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author wishes to thank Dao Trong Thi for his suggestion and advice.

#### REFERENCES

1. Dao Trong Thi and A.T. Fomenko, *Minimal surfaces and Plateau's problem*, Nauka 1987.
2. Dao Trong Thi, *Global minimal currents and surfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. Vietnamica 10 (1985), 296-332.
3. H. Federer and W.H. Fleming, *Normal and integral currents*, Ann. Math. 72 (1958), 458-520.
4. Tran Viet Dung, *On curves minimizing polyhedral functionals in  $R^n$* , Acta Math. Vietnamica 15 (1990), 103-110.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 631 BO HO, HANOI, VIETNAM