

# THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE FIRST KIND OPERATOR EQUATION IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES BY DISCREPANCY METHOD

NGUYEN VAN KINH

## 1. Introduction.

We consider the first kind operator equation

$$(1) \quad Ax = y_0, \quad x \in X, \quad y_0 \in R(A) \subset Y,$$

where  $X$  is a locally convex space,  $Y$  is a separated locally convex space, and  $A$  is a linear operator from  $X$  into  $Y$  which is weakly continuous and such that there exists the inverse operator  $A^{-1}$  defined on the range  $R(A)$  of  $A$ .

Given a filter base of  $y_0$  consisting of closed convex subsets  $\{V_\delta\}$ , we want to establish approximate solutions  $x_\delta$  of equation (1) such that

$$x_\delta \longrightarrow x_0,$$

where  $x_0$  is an exact solution of (1), i.e.  $x_0 = A^{-1}y_0$ .

For given  $X, Y, A, y_0$ , we associate each filter base  $\{V_\delta\}$  with the sequence of the approximate solutions  $\{x_\delta\}$  and denote the above problem by  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ . If the approximate solutions  $x_\delta$  are established by a discrepancy method and  $x_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ , then we say that this discrepancy method stabilizes the problem.

In this paper we give conditions under which a discrepancy method stabilizes any problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ .

REMARK: : The case where the space  $X$  satisfies condition (A),  $Y$  is a metrizable locally convex space, and the operator  $A$  is linear continuous has been examined in [2].

## 2. Preliminaries

Assume that the topology of  $X$  is given by a family of seminorms  $\{p_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ . We shall write  $X \equiv (X, p_\gamma, \Gamma)$ .

Let us first recall some definitions and theorems which will be needed in the next section.

DEFINITION 1. A filter  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $X$  is called a Cauchy filter if for any neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin of  $X$  there exists an element  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$x - y \in U \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A .$$

If each Cauchy filter in  $X$  is convergent, then  $X$  is called complete.

LEMMA 1 (SEE [4]). Each locally convex space  $X \equiv (X, p_\gamma, \Gamma)$  has the following properties :

a)  $X$  is isomorphic to a subspace of the product  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ , where each  $X_\gamma$  is a normed space defined by  $X_\gamma = X/p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$ .

b) The isomorphism can be defined by mapping

$$V(x) = (V_\gamma(x)) , \quad x \in X ,$$

where each  $V_\gamma$  is a canonical mapping from  $X$  onto  $X_\gamma$ .

c)  $pr_\gamma \circ V = V_\gamma$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

where  $pr_\gamma$  is a canonical projection from  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  on to  $X_\gamma$ .

LEMMA 2 (SEE [4]). The quotient space  $X/M$  is separated if and only if  $M$  is a closed subspace in  $X$ .

LEMMA 3 (SEE [4,5]). The product of weak topologies is a weak topology.

LEMMA 4 (SEE [4,5]). Suppose that  $X$  is a product of locally convex spaces  $X_\gamma$ . Then  $X$  is complete if and only if each  $X_\gamma$  is complete.

DEFINITION 2. We say that the locally convex space  $X \equiv (X, p_\gamma, \Gamma)$  satisfies the condition (A') if:

- a) The mapping  $V$  in Lemma 1 is an isomorphism from  $X$  onto  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ .
- b)  $X$  is weak complete.
- c) For each net  $\{x_\omega\} \subset X$  with  $x_\omega \xrightarrow{w} x$  and  $p_\gamma(x_\omega) \rightarrow p_\gamma(x)$ ,  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $x_\omega \rightarrow x$ .
- d) If  $p_\gamma(x_1 + x_2) = p_\gamma(x_1) + p_\gamma(x_2)$  for  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , then there exists a number  $\lambda_\gamma$  such that  $p_\gamma(x_1 - \lambda_\gamma x_2) = 0$ .

REMARK:

1. It is clear that if  $X = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ , where each  $X_\gamma$  is a Hilbert space, then the space  $X$  satisfies condition (A').

2. Any space satisfying condition (A') is separated.

COROLLARY 5 (CF. [1]). If  $X$  is a locally convex space satisfying condition (A'), then each  $X_\gamma = X/p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is an  $E$ -space.

PROOF: It follows from Lemmas 1, 3, 4.

### 3. Discrepancy method

DEFINITION 3. Suppose that  $\Omega \subset \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ , where each  $X_\gamma$  is a normed space. An element  $x \in \Omega$  is said to realize a minimum of  $\Omega$  if it satisfies the following condition :

$$(2) \quad \|pr_\gamma(x)\| = \inf_{y \in \Omega} \|pr_\gamma(y)\| \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Let us consider the problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ . Set  $\Omega_\delta^\gamma = V_\gamma[A^{-1}(V_\delta)]$  and  $\Omega_\delta = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \Omega_\delta^\gamma$ . The approximate solution of the equation (1) depending on  $V_\delta$  (established by a discrepancy method) is an element  $x_\delta \in X$  such that  $x_\delta = V^{-1}(y_\delta)$ , where  $y_\delta$  realizes a minimum of  $\Omega_\delta$ . If  $x_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ , we say that the discrepancy method stabilizes the problem.

Now we discuss the existence of approximate solutions of the equation (1) by a discrepancy method.

THEOREM 6. If  $X$  is a locally convex space satisfying condition (A'), then there exists a unique approximate solution of the equation (1) for each  $V_\delta$  corresponding to the problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ .

PROOF: For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , put

$$\mu^\gamma = \inf_{y \in \Omega_\delta} \|pr_\gamma(y)\|.$$

Then there exists a sequence  $\{y_k\} \subset \Omega_\delta$  such that

$$(3) \quad \|y_k^\gamma\| \rightarrow \mu^\gamma \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $y_k^\gamma = pr_\gamma(y_k)$ .

Since  $X_\gamma$  is an  $E$ -space and by (3), we may assume without loss of generality that

$$(4) \quad y_k^\gamma \xrightarrow{w} y_\delta^\gamma \in X_\gamma.$$

We consider the element  $y_\delta = (y_\delta^\gamma)$ . We shall prove that  $y_\delta$  realizes a minimum of  $\Omega_\delta$ . Indeed, if  $y_\delta \notin \Omega_\delta$ , then there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that

$$(5) \quad y_\delta^{\gamma_0} \notin \Omega_\delta^{\gamma_0}.$$

Since the mapping  $V_{\gamma_0} = pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V$  is linear continuous open from  $X$  onto  $X_{\gamma_0}$ , the set  $\Omega_\delta^{\gamma_0} = V_{\gamma_0}[A^{-1}(V_\delta)]$  has at least one interior point, i.e.  $\text{int}(\Omega_\delta^{\gamma_0}) \neq \emptyset$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a linear continuous functional  $f \in X_{\gamma_0}^*$  such that

$$(6) \quad f(y_\delta^{\gamma_0}) > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(y^{\gamma_0}) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad y^{\gamma_0} \in \Omega_\delta^{\gamma_0}.$$

This is a contradiction to (4). Thus

$$(7) \quad y_\delta \in \Omega_\delta.$$

From (7) it follows that

$$(8) \quad \mu^\gamma \leq \|y_\delta^\gamma\| \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

From (4) and by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, it follows that

$$(9) \quad \|y_\delta^\gamma\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|y_k^\gamma\| = \mu^\gamma.$$

From (8) and (9), it follows that

$$(10) \quad \|y_\delta^\gamma\| = \mu^\gamma \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

From (7) and (10), it follows that  $y_\delta$  is a minimum of  $\Omega_\delta$ .

The uniqueness of the minimum of  $\Omega_\delta$  follows from the fact that  $\Omega_\delta$  is convex in  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  and each  $X_\gamma$  is an  $E$ -space.

It follows that the approximate solution  $x_\delta$  of (1) uniquely exists and is defined by

$$x_\delta = V^{-1}(y_\delta).$$

DEFINITION 4. We say that the weak continuous linear operator  $A : X \rightarrow Y$ , having  $A^{-1}$ , belongs to the set  $\alpha[X, Y]$  if and only if a discrepancy method stabilizes every problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ .

Our purpose is to find conditions for  $A$  to be in  $\alpha[X, Y]$ .

Consider the problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$ . Put

$$U_\delta = \{x \in X : Ax \in V_\delta(y_0) - Ax_0\}.$$

By [4] there exists a topology  $(T)$  in  $X$  such that  $X$  together with  $(T)$  is a locally convex space. Moreover, the family  $\{U_\delta\}$  becomes a filter base of neighbourhoods of the origin in  $X$ . We denote the space by  $X_{(T)}$ .

THEOREM 7. The quotient space  $X_{(T)}/p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is separated if and only if every set  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ .

PROOF: Necessity : Suppose that  $X_{(T)}/p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is separated. By Theorem 2,  $p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is a closed subset in  $X_{(T)}$ . On the other hand,  $p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is convex in  $X_{(T)}$ . Hence  $p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is a weakly closed subset in  $X_{(T)}$ . It is not difficult to show that the operator  $A^{-1} : R(A) \rightarrow X_{(T)}$  is continuous. Hence it is weakly continuous (see [4]). It follows that  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ .

Sufficiency : Since the operator  $A$  is one to one, we have

$$(11) \quad A^{-1}[A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))] = p_\gamma^{-1}(0).$$

It is evident that  $A$  is continuous in the topology  $(T)$ . Hence it is weakly continuous.

From (11) and by the weak continuity of the operator  $A$ , it follows that  $p_\gamma^{-1}(0)$  is weakly closed in  $X_{(T)}$ . Hence it is closed in  $X_{(T)}$ . By Theorem 2 it follows that  $X_{(T)/p_\gamma^{-1}(0)}$  is separated.

The following lemmas will be used in the proof of Theorem 8.

LEMMA 1 (SEE [3]). *Suppose that  $X$  is a compact space and  $\{E_\delta\}$  is a filter in  $X$  (or a filter base). If  $\{E_\delta\}$  has a unique limit point  $x$ , then  $\{E_\delta\}$  converges to  $x$ .*

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that the mapping  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  has a closed graph and for a fixed point  $y_0 \in Y$  there exists a unique element  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Ax_0 = y_0$ , where  $X$  is a compact space and  $Y$  is a topological space. If  $\{V_\delta\}$  is a filter of neighbourhoods of  $y_0$ , then  $\{E_\delta\}$  is a convergent filter base of  $x_0$ , where  $E_\delta = A^{-1}(V_\delta)$ .*

PROOF: It is clear that  $\{E_\delta\}$  is a filter base in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a compact space,  $\{E_\delta\}$  has a limit point  $\bar{x}$  (see [3]). We shall show that  $\bar{x}$  coincides with  $x_0$ , hence by Lemma 1,  $E_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ . In fact, by definition there exists a filter  $\{Q_\gamma\}$  in  $X$  such that it exceeds  $\{E_\delta\}$  and converges to  $\bar{x}$ . (see [6]). Therefore  $\{A(Q_\gamma)\}$  exceeds  $\{V_\delta\}$ . It follows that  $\{A(Q_\gamma)\}$  also converges to  $y_0$ . We obtain

$$(12) \quad Q_\gamma \rightarrow \bar{x} \quad \text{and} \quad A(Q_\gamma) \rightarrow y_0 .$$

Since the graph of  $A$  is closed, (12) implies

$$A\bar{x} = y_0 .$$

Thus  $x_0 = \bar{x}$ , and  $E_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ .

Now we are able to formulate and prove the main result.

**THEOREM 8.** *A weak continuous linear operator  $A$  from a locally convex space  $X \equiv (X, p_\gamma, \Gamma)$  satisfying the condition  $(A')$  into a locally convex separated space  $Y$  and having  $A^{-1}$  belongs to  $\alpha[X, Y]$ , if and only if each  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ .*

**PROOF:** Necessity : Let  $A$  be a weak continuous linear operator from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Suppose that  $A^{-1}$  exists and  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ . We show that each  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ . In fact, if not, there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $A(p_{\gamma_0}^{-1}(0))$  is not weak closed in  $R(A)$ . We want to construct a problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$  so that a discrepancy method does not stabilize it. This, of course, contradicts  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ .

Let  $\{V_\delta(0)\}$  be a filter base of neighbourhoods of the origin in  $Y$  such that each  $V_\delta(0)$  is convex closed in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is separated,  $X_{(T)}$  is also separated. Since  $A(p_{\gamma_0}^{-1}(0))$  is not weakly closed in  $R(A)$ , by Theorem 7  $X_{(T)}/p_{\gamma_0}^{-1}(0)$  is not separated. It follows that

$$(13) \quad \bigcap_{\delta} V_{\gamma_0}(U_\delta) \neq \{0\},$$

where  $U_\delta = A^{-1}(V_\delta(0))$ , and  $V(x) = (V_\gamma(x))$  is the isomorphism in Theorem 1.

On the other hand, we have  $V_{\gamma_0} = pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V$ . Therefore there exists an element  $y^{\gamma_0} \neq 0$ ,  $y^{\gamma_0} \in X_{\gamma_0}$  such that

$$y^{\gamma_0} \in \bigcap_{\delta} pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(U_\delta)$$

We choose an element  $(y^\gamma) \in \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$  such that

$$(14) \quad pr_{\gamma_0} [(y^\gamma)] = -y^{\gamma_0}.$$



Let  $x_0 = V^{-1}((y^\gamma))$ , and consider the problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(0) + Ax_0\}]$ . Since  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ , a discrepancy method stabilizes the problem. Therefore the sequence of the approximate solutions  $x_\delta$  of (1) established by a discrepancy method converges to  $x_0$

$$(15) \quad x_\delta \longrightarrow x_0 .$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(16) \quad \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_\delta)\| = \inf_{x_{\gamma_0} \in V_{\gamma_0}(U_\delta) - y^{\gamma_0}} \|x_{\gamma_0}\| \leq \|y^{\gamma_0} - y^{\gamma_0}\| = 0 ,$$

$$(17) \quad \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\| = \|y^{\gamma_0}\| > 0 .$$

From (16) and (17) it follows that  $x_\delta \not\rightarrow x_0$ . This contradicts (15). Thus  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ .

Sufficiency : Suppose that  $A : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly continuous linear such that  $A^{-1}$  exists and every  $A(p_\gamma^{-1}(0))$  is weakly closed in  $R(A)$ . We show that  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ . We assume that  $A \notin \alpha[X, Y]$ . There exists a problem  $\alpha[X, Y, A, x_0, \{V_\delta(y_0)\}]$  such that it is not stabilized by a discrepancy method. Since  $X$  is an  $(A')$ -space, we have  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  and a subsequence  $\{x_{\delta_n}\}$  of the approximate solutions  $\{x_\delta\}$  (established by a discrepancy method) such that

$$(18) \quad \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_n}) - pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\| \geq \varepsilon > 0 ,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a fixed real number.

Let us consider an operator  $M_{\gamma_0} : X_{\gamma_0} \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$M_{\gamma_0} = A \circ V^{-1} \circ j_{\gamma_0} ,$$

where  $j_{\gamma_0}$  is an inclusion mapping from  $X_{\gamma_0}$  into  $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma$ , and  $V$  is the isomorphism of Theorem 1.

It is clear that the operator  $M_{\gamma_0}$  is a weakly continuous linear one to one mapping from  $X_{\gamma_0}$  into  $Y$ .

In  $R(M_{\gamma_0})$  we consider the following family of subsets

$$F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0} = M_{\gamma_0} \circ V_{\gamma_0}(U_{\delta_n}),$$

where  $U_{\delta_n} = \{x \in X : Ax \in V_{\delta_n} - Ax_0\}$ .

By [4] there exists a topology  $(T_{\gamma_0})$  in  $R(M_{\gamma_0})$  such that  $R(M_{\gamma_0})$  together with  $(T_{\gamma_0})$  becomes a locally convex space and  $\{F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}\}$  becomes a filter base of neighbourhoods of the origin in  $R(M_{\gamma_0})$ . We denote it by  $R(M_{\gamma_0})_{(T_{\gamma_0})}$ .

As a mapping from  $X_{\gamma_0}$  onto  $R(M_{\gamma_0})_{(T_{\gamma_0})}$ ,  $M_{\gamma_0}$  is linear continuous. Hence it is also weakly continuous.

Put  $h_0 = M_{\gamma_0} \circ V_{\gamma_0}(x_0)$  and  $F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}(h_0) = h_0 + F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}$ . It follows that  $h_0 \in R(M_{\gamma_0})_{(T_{\gamma_0})}$ . It is not difficult to show that  $\{F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}(h_0)\}$  is a filter base of neighbourhoods of  $h_0$  in the space  $R(M_{\gamma_0})_{(T_{\gamma_0})}$ . Therefore it is also a filter base of weak neighbourhoods of  $h_0$  in  $R(M_{\gamma_0})_{(T_{\gamma_0})}$ .

We consider the ball  $B^{\gamma_0}(x_0)$  in  $X_{\gamma_0}$  defined by

$$B^{\gamma_0}(x_0) = \{x \in X_{\gamma_0} : \|x\| \leq \|V_{\gamma_0}(x_0)\|\}.$$

Since  $X_{\gamma_0}$  is reflexive,  $B^{\gamma_0}(x_0)$  is weakly compact in  $X_{\gamma_0}$ . Put  $E_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0} = B^{\gamma_0}(x_0) \cap M_{\gamma_0}^{-1}[F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}(h_0)]$ . It is clear that  $V_{\gamma_0}(x_0) \in E_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}$ . Since  $M_{\gamma_0}$  is weakly continuous and  $\{F_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}(h_0)\}$  is a filter base of weak neighbourhoods of  $h_0$ , by Lemma 2 it follows that  $\{E_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}\}$  is a weakly convergent filter base of  $V_{\gamma_0}(x_0)$ .

It is not difficult to show that

$$\Omega_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0} \cap B^{\gamma_0}(x_0) \subset E_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0},$$

where  $\Omega_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0} = V_{\gamma_0}[A^{-1}(V_{\delta_n})]$ .

Since  $pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_n}) \in \Omega_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0} \cap B^{\gamma_0}(x_0)$ , we have  $pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_n}) \in E_{\delta_n}^{\gamma_0}$ .

By the Banach–Steinhaus theorem, it follows that

$$(19) \quad \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\| \leq \lim_k \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_{n_k}})\|.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_{n_k}})\| \leq \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\| \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Hence

$$(20) \quad \overline{\lim}_k \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_{n_k}})\| \leq \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\|.$$

From (19) and (20) it follows that

$$\lim_k \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_{n_k}})\| = \|pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0)\|.$$

Since  $X_{\gamma_0}$  is an  $E$ -space, we have

$$\lim_k pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_{\delta_{n_k}}) = pr_{\gamma_0} \circ V(x_0).$$

This equality contradicts (18). Thus  $x_\delta \rightarrow x_0$ .

We end the paper by giving an example to show the existence of an operator  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ .

EXAMPLE: Let  $X \equiv Y$  be the product of Hilbert spaces  $X_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and  $A_\gamma : X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\gamma$  weak continuous linear one to one operators. The operator  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is defined by

$$A[(x_\gamma)] = (A_\gamma(x_\gamma)), \quad (x_\gamma) \in \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma.$$

It is not difficult to show that  $A \in \alpha[X, Y]$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author thanks Professor Nguyen Minh Chuong very much for suggesting the problems treated in this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V.P. Tanana, *The approximate solution of the first kind operator equation and geometric properties of Banach spaces*, Izv. Vuzov. Mathematics, 7, 1971, 81–93 (in Russian).
- [2] V.P. Tanana, *The approximate solution of the first kind operator equation in locally convex spaces*, Izv. Vuzov. Mathematics, 9, 1973, 70–77 (in Russian).
- [3] V.K. Ivanov, *Ill-posed problem in topological spaces*, Sibir. Math. J. 10 (1969), 1065–1074 (in Russian).
- [4] A.P. Robertson and W. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge, 1964.
- [5] N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de mathématiques. Livre V. Espaces vectoriels topologiques*, Hermann-Paris, 1959 (in Russian).
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de mathématiques. Livre III. Topologie générale*, Hermann-Paris, 1968 (in Russian).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, HANOI, VIETNAM