## A NOTE ON THE FIXED-POINT SET FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS

## NGUYEN HUY VIET

The aim of this note is to extend the main results of [1] on characterization of the fixed-point set in terms of the maximal total-variant subsets to the case of multi-valued mappings. Also, the invalidity of Theorem 4 of [1] is shown.

1. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS. Let X be a set  $2^X = \{B / B \subset X\}$ ,  $Y \subset X$  a subset of X and  $F: X \to 2^X$  a multivalued mapping. Let  $F_{ix}F = \{x \in X / x \in F(x)\}$  be the set of fixed points of F,  $F(Y) = \bigcup \{F(y) / y \in Y\}$ ,

$$F^{-}(Y) = \{x \in X / F(x) \land Y \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$F^{+}(Y) = \{x \in X / F(x) \subset Y\} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{Y} = X \backslash Y.$$

A subset Y of X is called total F — variant if  $Y \cap F(Y) = \emptyset$ . If  $x \in F(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , it is evident that there exist no total F — variant subsets. But this case is trivial and so up to Theorem 3 it will be assumed that there is some F — variant point. Our assumption assures that

$$\mathcal{A} = \{Y \subset X/Y \cap F(Y) = \emptyset\} \neq \emptyset.$$

 $F: X \to 2^X$  is called injective if  $F(x) \cap F(y) = \phi$  for all  $x \neq y$ .

LEMMA. If  $F: X \to 2^X$  is a multi-valued mapping then  $\mathcal{A}$  has maximal elements (with respect to set inclusion).

Proof. If  $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  is a linear ordered subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i. e., for all  $B_1$  and  $B_2 \in \mathfrak{B}$  we have  $B_1 \supset B_2$  or  $B_2 \supset B_1$ . Then, it is evident that the set  $\cup \{B, B \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}$  and contains every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Further, the conclusion of the lemma follows from the principle of maximal elements.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS.

THEOREM 1. If  $F: X \to 2^X$  is a multivalued mapping and  $Y \subset X$  a maximal total F-variant subset of X. Then

$$\overline{Y} \wedge \overline{F(Y)} \wedge \overline{F^{-}(Y)} \subseteq F_{ix}F.$$
 (1)

Proof. Let  $x \in \overline{Y} \cap \overline{F(Y)} \cap \overline{F^-(Y)}$ . Because  $x \in \overline{Y}$ , the maximality of Y implies that  $F(Y \cup \{x\}) \cap (Y \cup \{x\}) \neq \phi$  and then  $((F(Y) \cap Y) \cup (Y \cap F(x)) \cup (F(Y) \cap \{x\}) \cup (\{x\} \cap F(x)) \neq \phi$ . But  $F(Y) \cap Y = \phi$ ,  $x \in \overline{F(Y)}$ ,  $x \in \overline{F(Y)}$ . So  $\{x\} \cap F(x) \neq \phi$ , i. e.,  $x \in F_{ix}F$ .

Using Theorem 1 and de Morgan's laws we obtain the following factorization theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let  $F: X \to 2^X$  be a mapping and  $Y \subset X$  a total F-variant maximal subset of X. Then

$$X = F_{ix}F \cup Y \cup F(Y) \cup F^{-}(Y).$$

THEOREM 3. Let  $F: X \to 2^X$  be an injective multi-valued mapping and  $Y \subset X$  a maximal total F-variant subset of X. Then

$$\overline{Y} \cap \overline{F(Y)} \cap \overline{F^{-}(Y)} \subseteq F_{ix}F \subseteq \overline{Y} \cap \overline{F(Y)} \cap \overline{F^{+}(Y)}$$
 (2)

Proof. In view of (1) it suffices to prove the last inclusion. Let  $x \in F_{ix}F$ , i. e.  $x \in F(x)$ . It is clear that  $x \in \overline{Y}$ . If  $x \in F(Y)$  then  $x \in F(y)$  for some  $y \in \overline{Y}$ , and we have  $F(y) \cap F(x) \neq \phi$ . By injectivity of F,  $x = y \in Y$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x \in \overline{Y}$  Thus,  $x \in \overline{F(Y)}$ . If  $x \in F^+(Y)$ , then  $F(x) \subset Y$  and  $x \in Y$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x \in F^+(Y)$  as required.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a Hauskorff topological space,  $F: X \rightarrow 2^X$  an upper semi-continuous mapping with non-empty compact values, Y a compact maximal total F-variant subset. Then Y is open.

Proof. Let  $y \in Y$ . It is clear that  $F(y) \cap Y = \phi$ . Because F(y) and Y are both compact, we can construct an open neighbourhood  $V_1$  of y and an open G such that  $G \cap V_1 = \phi$ ,  $G \supset F(y)$  and  $G \cap Y = \phi$ . By the upper semicontinuity of F, there exists an open neighbourhood  $V_2$  of y such that  $F(V_2) \subset G$ . Because Y is compact and F is upper semi-continuous with compact values, it is well known that F(Y) is compact [2]. Then there exists a neighbourhood  $V_3$  of Y such that  $V_3 \cap F(Y) = \phi$ .

Setting  $V = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap V_3$ , we have  $F(V) \subset G$ ,  $F(V) \cap Y = \phi$ ,  $F(V) \cap V = \phi$  and  $V \cap F(Y) = \phi$ . Therefore  $Y \cup V$  is a total F — variant subset. The maximality of Y implies that  $V \subset Y$ . Thus Y is open.

THEOREM 5. Let X be a connected compact Hausdorff topological space,  $F: X \to 2^X$  an upper semi-continuous mapping with non-empty closed values. Let Y be a closed total maximal F-variant subset of X. Then  $F_{ix}F = X$ .

*Proof*, By Theorem 4, Y is open. By the connectedness of X, the only simultaneously open and closed subsets are  $\phi$  and X. By the definition of total variant subsets,  $Y \neq X$ .

Thus,  $Y = \phi$ , i.e.  $x \in F(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Remark. Theorem 5 shows that there is no mapping (except for the trivial case  $f = I_X$ ) which satisfies Theorem 4 of [1].

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Deaconescu, The fixed-point set for injective mappings, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai Mathematica XXIX, (1984), 13-15.
- [2] C. Berge, Espaces topologiques. Dunod Paris, 1966.

Received December 12, 1988

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 631 BO HO 10000 HANOI, VIETNAM