

ON A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF KRASNOSELSKII AND ITS APPLICATIONS

LE HOAN HOA

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space and let K be a bounded closed convex subset of X . A well-known theorem of Krasnoselskii [8] states that if U is a contraction on K (i. e. $\|Ux - Uy\| \leq k \|x - y\|$ for $0 < k < 1$) and F is a compact operator on K such that

$$Ux + Fy \in K \text{ for every } x, y \text{ in } K \quad (*)$$

then $U + F$ has a fixed point. Krasnoselskii's theorem has been extended by Nashed and Wong [9] to the case U is either a φ -contraction or a bounded linear operator such that U^p is a φ -contraction for some p .

Our aim in this paper is to present another variant to Krasnoselskii's theorem and its applications to the existence of periodic solutions of differential equations on a Banach space. In our version, K is the closure of an unbounded open convex subset of X , F is a bounded-compact operator and the condition (*) is to be replaced by the following weaker one

$$Ux + Fx \in K \text{ for every } x \text{ in } K \quad (**)$$

We shall consider operators that are quasibounded in the following sense (Gramas [5])

$$\limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx\| / \|x\| < \infty.$$

If T is a quasibounded operator, we put

$$|T| = \limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx\| / \|x\|.$$

Then $|T|$ is called the quasinorm of T . If T is bounded linear then $|T|$ is precisely equal to the norm of T as a bounded linear operator.

The remainder of the paper is divided into two sections. Section 1 is devoted to a fixed point theorem of the Krasnoselskii type. Section 2 is devoted to its applications to the existence of periodic solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces.

1. A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF KRASNOSELSKII TYPE

Throughout this paper, X denotes a real Banach space, G denoted an unbounded convex open set in X and $\text{Cl}(G)$ its closure.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let φ be a continuous real-valued function on the positive real number such that

$$0 < \varphi(r) < r \quad \text{for} \quad r > 0.$$

An operator $U : \text{Cl}(G) \rightarrow X$ is said to be a φ -contraction (Boyd and Wong [2]) if $\|Ux - Uy\| \leq \varphi(\|x - y\|)$ for every x, y in $\text{Cl}(G)$.

DEFINITION 1.2. A continuous operator $F : \text{Cl}(G) \rightarrow X$ is said to be bounded-compact if subset $F(A)$ is relatively compact for each bounded subset A of $\text{Cl}(G)$ such that $F(A)$ is bounded.

We have the following theorem

THEOREM 1. Let G be an unbounded convex open set in X and let $O \in G$. Let $U : \text{Cl}(G) \rightarrow X$

be either a φ -contraction or the restriction to $\text{Cl}(G)$ of a bounded linear operator U' on X such that $(U')^p$ is a φ -contraction for some $p \geq 1$. Let

$$F : \text{Cl}(G) \rightarrow X$$

be a bounded-compact operator. Put $T = U + F$ and suppose that T maps $\text{Cl}(G)$ into itself. If $|T| < 1$ then T has a fixed point.

Remarks. 1) Note that if in addition G is bounded and T maps $\text{Cl}(G)$ into itself then F is compact. This case has been studied by Ang-Hoa (see Theorem 1 [1]).

2. This theorem is to be compared with Theorem 4 of Browder - Nussbaum [3] and with Corollary 6 of Hale and Lopes [6], and it is a generalization of Theorem 1 part (ii) of Ang-Hoa (loc. cit.).

For the proof of Theorem 1, we shall need some properties of the Browder-Nussbaum degree [3]. Let G be a domain in X and H, F be mappings of $\text{Cl}(G)$ into X satisfying the following conditions:

(a) For each fixed v in $\text{Cl}(G)$, the mapping

$$S_v : \text{Cl}(G) \rightarrow X$$

defined by $S_v u = Hu + Fv$ is a homeomorphism G onto an open subset G_v of X , mapping $\text{Cl}(G)$ homeomorphically onto $\text{Cl}(G_v)$.

(b) The mapping $v \rightarrow S_v$ is a locally compact mapping of $\text{Cl}(G)$ into the space of homeomorphisms of $\text{Cl}(G)$ into X with the topology of uniform convergence on $\text{Cl}(G)$.

Let $Tu = Hu + Fu$ for u in $\text{Cl}(G)$. Suppose $T^{-1}(O)$ is a compact subset of G . Then $\text{deg}(T, G, O)$ is defined. (In fact, the Browder - Nussbaum degree is defined for more general operators, but this simplified version is all that we shall need).

The following proposition is implicitly contained in the Browder - Nussbaum paper (loc. cit.).

PROPOSITION 1.1. (i) If $\text{deg}(T, G, 0) \neq 0$, then there exists an x in G such that $Tx = 0$.

(ii) Let A, B be continuous mappings of $\text{Cl}(G) \times [0, 1]$ into X such that $A(\cdot, t)$ and $B(\cdot, t)$ are continuous uniformly with respect to t in $[0, 1]$, and for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the map $A_t(\cdot) \equiv A(\cdot, t)$ is a homeomorphism of G onto an open set G_t of X , taking $\text{Cl}(G)$ homeomorphically onto $\text{Cl}(G_t)$ and the map $B_t(\cdot) \equiv B(\cdot, t)$ is a locally compact operator of $\text{Cl}(G)$ into X (i. e. each point x of $\text{Cl}(G)$ has a neighborhood N such that $B_t(N)$ is relatively compact). Suppose that for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the pair A_t, B_t satisfies condition (b) above. Suppose further that for each t , the set $(A_t + B_t)^{-1}(0)$ is compact and

$$(A_t + B_t)^{-1}(0) \cap \partial G = \phi$$

where G denotes the boundary of G . Then

$$\text{deg}(A_0 + B_0, G, 0) = \text{deg}(A_1 + B_1, G, 0).$$

We shall also need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1.1. Let U, F satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Then for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ the map $H_t = I - tU$ is a homeomorphism of G onto open subset of X , taking $\text{Cl}(G)$ homeomorphically onto $\text{Cl}(H_t(G))$.

Proof. We have

$\|x - y\| - \varphi(\|x - y\|) \leq \|H_t(x) - H_t(y)\| \leq \|x - y\| + \varphi(\|x - y\|)$ which show that H_t is a homeomorphism of $\text{Cl}(G)$ onto a closed subset of X . We shall show that $H_t(G)$ is an open subset of X . Let $x_0 \in G$, and let $r > 0$ be such that the closed ball $B(x_0, r)$ is contained in G . Put $\rho = \sup\{\varphi(s) : 0 \leq s \leq r\}$. Then $\rho < r$. For v with $\|v\| < r - \rho$ define the map V on the closed ball $B(0, r)$ as follows.

$$Vh = tU(x_0 + h) - y_0 + v$$

where $y_0 = tU(x_0)$. We shall show that V maps $B(0, r)$ into itself. Indeed

$\|Vh\| \leq \|tU(x_0 + h) - tU(x_0)\| + \|v\| + t\varphi(\|h\|) + \|v\| \leq \rho + r - \rho = r$. Since, it is clear that V is a φ -contraction, V has a fixed point h by a theorem of Boyd and Wong (loc. cit.) i.e.

$$h = tU(x_0 + h) - y_0 + v$$

or

$$x_0 + h - tU(x_0 + h) = x_0 - y_0 + v.$$

We have proved that the open ball $B(x_0 - y_0, r - \rho)$ is contained in the image of $B(x_0, r)$ under H_t . It follows that G has an open image under H_t as claimed.

The case U is the restriction to $\text{Cl}(G)$ of a bounded linear operator U' such that $(U')^p$ is a φ -contraction for some $p < 1$ is handled in a similar way.

LEMMA 1.2. Let U, F, T satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1. Then for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the set $(I - tT)^{-1}(0)$ is compact.

Remark. Note that T can map some bounded sets onto unbounded sets.

Proof. Since $|T| < 1$, there exists for each k with $|T| < k < 1$ an r such that $\|Tx\| < k\|x\|$ for all x in $Cl(G)$ with $\|x\| \geq r$. This follows that for all x satisfying

$$x = tT(x) \text{ with } 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

we must have $\|x\| \leq r$. Put

$$A_t = (I - tT)^{-1}(0)$$

then A_t is closed and bounded. We have furthermore

$$tF(A_t) = (I - tU)A_t$$

which shows that $F(A_t)$ is bounded for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $A_0 = \{0\}$. It follows from the bounded-compactness of F that $F(A_t)$ is relatively compact.

Since $I - tU$ is homeomorphism for each $0 \leq t \leq 1$, by Lemma 1.1, and since

$$A_t = (I - tU)^{-1}tF(A_t)$$

the set A_t is compact.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since F is bounded-compact, F is locally compact. If $I - T$ does not vanish on the boundary ∂G of G , then, since $0 \in G$ and since G is convex, $I - tT$ does not vanish on ∂G for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Consider the homotopy $I - tT$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. From Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and Proposition 1.1 it follows that

$$\deg(I - T, G, 0) = \deg(I, G, 0) = 1.$$

Hence T has a fixed point in G . The proof is complete.

COROLLARY 1. Let U be either a ϕ -contraction on X or a bounded linear operator on X such that some iterate U^p , $p \geq 1$, is a ϕ -contraction. Let F be a bounded-compact operator on X . Put $T = U + F$.

If $|T| < 1$ then $R(I - T) = X$, where R denotes the range of a map.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1 for $G = X$. Indeed, if y is any point of X , then the operator $T + y$ satisfies $|T + y| < 1$. Hence, by Theorem 1, $T + y$ has a fixed point, say x , which clearly satisfies

$$x - Tx = y.$$

2. APPLICATIONS

As an application of the previous result, we shall consider the w -periodic equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x + f(t, x), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

where the unknown x is a function on $[0, \infty]$ to a real Banach space X and $A(t)$ and f satisfy the conditions:

(A₁) $\{A(t)\}$ is a family of bounded linear operators on X , continuous and periodic with period ω in t .

(A₂) The map $f: [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous in (t, x) , periodic with period in t and f is compact, i.e. f maps bounded subsets of $[0, \infty) \times X$ into relatively compact subsets of X .

(A₃) For any given φ in X , the equation (I) has a unique solution $x(\varphi)(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$x(\varphi)(0) = \varphi.$$

We shall begin with the following

THEOREM 2. Let X be a real Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$. Let:

- (i) $\{A(t)\}$ be a family of bounded linear operators on X and continuous in t .
- (ii) $f : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ be compact,
- (iii) $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} |f(t, x)| / |x| = 0$ uniformly in t on each bounded interval of $[0, \infty)$.

Consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} A(t) \times (t) + f(t, x), t \geq 0 \\ x(0) = \varphi. \end{cases} \quad (II)$$

Then:

(a) The Problem (II) has a solution on $[0, a]$ for $a > 0$ arbitrary.

(b) If we suppose in addition that

(iv) For any given φ in X , the Problem (II) has a unique solution $x(\varphi)$ on $[0, a]$.

Then, the map $\varphi \rightarrow x(\varphi)$ is continuous, and furthermore, the map

$$T : [0, a] \times X \rightarrow X$$

defined by $T(t, \varphi) = x(\varphi)(t)$ is continuous in (t, φ) .

Proof. The Problem (II) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$x(t) = \varphi + \int_0^t [A(s) x(s) + f(s, x(s))] ds, \quad t \geq 0$$

Let E be the Banach space of continuous functions on $[0, a]$ to X with norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \{|x(t)|, t \in [0, a]\}.$$

We define the operators $U, F : E \rightarrow E$ by

$$\begin{cases} U(x)(t) = \int_0^t A(s)x(s)ds, \\ F(x)(t) = \int_0^t f(s, x(s))ds + \varphi, \text{ for all } t \in [0, a]. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Then U is a bounded linear operator and

$$\|U^n\| \leq (ma)^n/n! \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (5)$$

where

$$m = \sup \{\|A(t)\|, t \in [0, a]\}. \quad (5')$$

For the proof of Theorem 2, we need some lemmas

LEMMA 2.1. Let E be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$ and let U be a bounded linear operator on E such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|U^n\|)^{1/n} = \alpha < 1.$$

Then there exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|_1$ on E such that

$$\|U\|_1 < 1.$$

Proof. For k such that $\alpha < k < 1$, there is n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$

$$(\|U^n\|)^{1/n} < k \text{ or } \|U^n\| < k^n.$$

We put

$$\|x\|_1 = \sum_0^{\infty} \|U^n x\|, \quad x \in E. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm on E and there is $K > 1$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_1 \leq K \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Furthermore, for $x \neq 0$, we have

$$\|x\|_1 = \|x\| + \|Ux\|_1$$

or
$$\|Ux\|_1 = \|x\|_1 - \|x\| \leq (1 - 1/K) \|x\|_1.$$

Hence $\|U\|_1 < 1$. The proof is complete.

Let U be defined by (4), then from (5) we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|U^n\|)^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{ma}{(n!)}^{1/n} = 0.$$

Hence there exists an equivalent norm on E such that

$$\|U\|_1 < 1. \quad (7)$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let F be defined by (4). Then F is compact and $\|F\|_1 = 0$ where $\|F\|_1$ is the quasinorm of F corresponding to the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on E defined in (6).

Proof. We first prove that F is continuous. Let $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ in E . We claim that:

(a) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist n_0 and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t, t' \in [0, a]$, $|t - t'| < \delta$ and $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\|x_n(t) - x_n(t')\| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Indeed, since x is uniformly continuous on $[0, a]$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all $t, t' \in [0, a]$, $|t - t'| < \delta$,

$$\|x(t) - x(t')\| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, there is n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$

$$\|x_n(t) - x(t)\| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, a].$$

For all $n \geq n_0$ and $|t - t'| < \delta$ we get

$$\|x_n(t) - x_n(t')\| \leq \|x_n(t) - x(t) + x(t) - x(t')\| + \|x(t') - x_n(t')\| < \varepsilon.$$

(b) The set $B = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_n(s)/s \in [0, a] \\ n \in N \end{array} \right\}$

is relatively compact in X .

Indeed, consider a sequence $(x_{n_k}(s_k))_{k \in N}$ in B . We can assume that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = s$. We prove that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}(s_k) = x(s).$$

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, by (a), there are n_0 and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $|t-t'| < \delta$ and $n_k \geq n_0$

$$|x_{n_k}(t) - x_{n_k}(t')| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = s$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$ there exists $k_0 \geq n_0$ such that for all $k \geq k_0$ $|s_k - s| < \delta$ and $|x_{n_k}(t) - x(t)| < \varepsilon/3$ for all $t \in [0, a]$. Consequently, for $k \geq k_0$, we have $|x_{n_k}(s_k) - x(s)| \leq |x_{n_k}(s_k) - x_{n_k}(s)| + |x_{n_k}(s) - x(s)| < \varepsilon$.

This implies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}(s_k) = x(s)$ and hence the set B is relatively compact.

$$(c) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = F(x).$$

Let B be the set defined by (b). Put

$$A = \text{Cl } B \cup x([0, a]).$$

Then the set A is compact and f is uniformly continuous on $[0, a] \times A$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for all $|x-y| < \delta$

$$|f(s, x) - f(s, y)| < \varepsilon/(1+a).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$

$$|x_n(s) - x(s)| < \delta \text{ for all } s \in [0, a].$$

Consequently

$$|F(x_n)(t) - F(x)(t)| \leq \int_0^t |f(s, x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s))| ds$$

$$< t\varepsilon/(1+a) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } t \in [0, a]$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n) = F(x)$. Hence F is continuous on E . Now, we

prove that F is compact. Let Ω be a bounded set in E . Put

$$\mathcal{B} = \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ \frac{f(s, x(s))}{x \in \Omega} \mid s \in [0, a] \right\}$$

where $\overline{\text{co}}$ denotes the closed convex hull of a set. Then by the compactness of f , the set \mathcal{B} is compact in X . It follows that the family of functions $\{F(x)/x \in \Omega\}$ is equicontinuous. Furthermore, for all $t \in [0, a]$, we have

$$\{F(x)(t)/x \in \Omega\} \subset \mathcal{B}$$

which implies that the set $\{F(x)(t)/x \in \Omega\}$ is relatively compact in X for all t in $[0, a]$. Hence the set $F(\Omega)$ is relatively compact in E . Thus F is compact.

Finally, we prove $\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \|F(x)\| / \|x\| = 0$. From (iii) and the compactness of f , for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that
 (8) $|f(t, \varphi)| \leq N + \varepsilon / (1 + a) |\varphi|$ for all $\varphi \in X$ and $t \in [0, a]$.
 Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)(t)| &= \left| \int_0^t f(s, x(s)) ds + \varphi \right| \\ &\leq Na + \varepsilon \|x\| + |\varphi|, \text{ for all } t \text{ in } [0, a]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|F| = \lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \|F(x)\| / \|x\| = 0.$$

Since the norms $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_1$ are equivalent, we have also $|F|_1 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2. a) We have, by (7) and Lemma 2.2

$$|U + F|_1 \leq \|U\|_1 + \|F\|_1 = \|U\|_1 < 1.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1, the operator $U + F$ has a fixed point, say x , which is precisely a solution of (II) on $[0, a]$.

b) We prove that the map $\varphi \rightarrow x(\varphi)$ is continuous.

Let $\varphi_n \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$, we put

$$x_n = x(\varphi_n) \text{ and } x = x(\varphi).$$

This means that x_n and x are solutions of the integral equations

$$x_n(t) = \varphi_n + \int_0^t [A(s)x_n(s) + f(s, x_n(s))] ds,$$

$$x(t) = \varphi + \int_0^t [A(s)x(s) + f(s, x(s))] ds.$$

The remainder of the proof is split into a number of steps as follows.

Step 1. Let Ω be a bounded set in X . Then the set

$$B = \{x(\varphi)(s) / s \in [0, a], \varphi \in \Omega\}.$$

is bounded in X . Here $x(\varphi)$ is a solution of (II) satisfying the initial condition $x(\varphi)(0) = \varphi$.

Indeed, let m, N, ε be as in (5') and (8), we have

$$|x(\varphi)(t)| \leq |\varphi| + \int_0^t |A(s)x(\varphi)(s)| ds + \int_0^t |f(s, x(\varphi)(s))| ds$$

$$\leq |\varphi| + \int_0^t m |x(\varphi)(s)| ds + \int_0^t [N + \varepsilon / (1 + a) |x(\varphi)(s)|] ds$$

$$\leq (|\varphi| + Na) + (m + \varepsilon / (1 + a)) \int_0^t |x(\varphi)(s)| ds.$$

By Gronwall's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha(\varphi)(t)| &\leq (|\varphi| + Na)e^{ma+2} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ in } [0, a] \\ &\leq (M + Na)e^{ma+2} \end{aligned}$$

where $M = \sup \{ |\varphi| \mid \varphi \in \Omega \}$. Hence B is bounded in X as claimed.

Step 2. Let $C = \{x_n \mid n \in N\}$. Then C is relatively compact in E .

We recall that the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on E is defined as follows: for any bounded set A in E with norm $|\cdot|_I$

$$\alpha(A) = \inf \left\{ d > 0 \mid A \text{ is covered by a finite number of sets with diameters } \leq d \right.$$

The number $\alpha(A)$ satisfies:

- $\alpha(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A$ is relatively compact.
- If $A \subset B$, $\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$.
- $\alpha(A + B) \leq \alpha(A) + \alpha(B)$

For all $n \in N$, we have

$$x_n = (U + F)(x_n) + (\varphi_n - \varphi)$$

where U, F are defined in (4) and $\varphi_n - \varphi$ are constant functions.

Now, since $\|U\|_I < 1$ and F is compact, hence $T = U + F$ is a $\|U\|_I$ -set contraction, i. e.

$$\alpha(T(\Omega)) \leq \|U\|_I \alpha(\Omega) \text{ for all bounded set } \Omega.$$

Let $A = \{\varphi_n - \varphi \mid n \in N\}$, then, by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$, A is relatively compact in E .

We have $C \subset T(C) + A$ which implies that

$$\alpha(C) \leq \alpha(T(C)) \leq \|U\|_I \alpha(C) \text{ with } \|U\|_I < 1.$$

It follows that $\alpha(C) = 0$. Thus C is relatively compact in E .

Now, we claim that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Indeed, from Step 2, the set $C = \{x_n \mid n \in N\}$ is relatively compact. Therefore there exists a convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in N}$. Put $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = y$.

Since $x_{n_k} = (U + F)x_{n_k} + (\varphi_{n_k} - \varphi)$ for all k and since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n_k} = \varphi$, we have

$$y = (U + F)(y).$$

This means that y is a solution of (II). Since the problem (II) has a unique solution, we have $x = y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}$.

Thus, every convergent subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_n$ has the same limit x . Since the set C is relatively compact, it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

Finally, we prove that T is continuous in (t, φ) . Let $t_n \in [0, a]$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t$ and $\varphi_n \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$. Put $x_n = x(\varphi_n)$ and $x = x(\varphi)$. then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$.

We have

$$|x_n(t_n) - x(t)| \leq |x_n(t_n) - x(t_n)| + |x(t_n) - x(t)|$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(t_n) = x(t)$. This means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(t_n, \varphi_n) = T(t, \varphi).$$

Hence T is continuous in (t, φ) . The proof is complete.

Now, we consider the ω -periodic equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = A(t)x(t) + f(t, x(t)), \quad t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

where $A(t)$ and f satisfy the conditions (A_1) , (A_2) , (A_3) .

Suppose further that

$$(A_4) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f(t, x)|}{|x|} = 0 \text{ uniformly on } [0, \omega].$$

From Theorem 2, we know that under conditions (A_1) , (A_2) , (A_4) , for any φ in X the equation (1) has a solution $x(\varphi)$ on $[0, \omega]$ satisfying $x(\varphi)(0) = \varphi$, and if in addition we assume (A_3) , then the map $\varphi \rightarrow x(\varphi)$ is continuous.

For $t \geq 0$, let $T(t): X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $T(t)(\varphi) = x(\varphi)(t)$.

From Theorem 2 and the condition (A_3) , since $A(t)$ and f are periodic with period ω , we have that the map $(t, \varphi) \rightarrow T(t)(\varphi)$ is continuous and $T(t + \omega) = T(t)T(\omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Let $U(t, s)$ be the evolution operator associated with (1), i. e. $U(t, s)$ is a family of bounded linear operators on X into itself defined for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, strongly continuous in (t, s) and satisfying the conditions:

$$- U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r), \quad U(s, s) = I \text{ (the identity map),}$$

$$- \frac{\partial U(t, s)x}{\partial t} = A(t)U(t, s)x,$$

$$- \frac{\partial U(t, s)x}{\partial s} = -U(t, s)A(s)x.$$

Then we have

$$x(\varphi)(t) = U(t, 0)\varphi + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(\varphi)(s))ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Consequently

$$x(\varphi)(\omega) = U(\omega, 0)\varphi + \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s)f(s, T(s)\varphi)ds$$

or

$$T(\omega)\varphi = U(\omega, 0)\varphi + \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s)f(s, T(s)\varphi)ds$$

Note that, since $A(t)$ is periodic with period

$$U(t + \omega, 0) = U(t, 0) \cdot U(\omega, 0) \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Now, put $T_1 = T(\omega)$, $U_1 = U(\omega, 0)$ and

$$F_1(\varphi) = \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s)f(s, T(s)\varphi)ds. \quad (10)$$

Then we have $T_1 = U_1 + F_1$.

An ω -periodic solution of the equation (I) will be a solution $x(\varphi)(t)$ for which φ is a fixed point of T .

We recall that the family $\{A(t)\}$ is said to be stable if there exist constants $a \geq 1$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$\|U(t, 0)\| \leq ae^{-bt} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

We have the following theorem

THEOREM 3. *Let the equation (I) satisfy the conditions (A_1) , (A_2) , (A_3) , (A_4) . Suppose that the family $\{A(t)\}$ is stable. Then the equation (I) has an ω -periodic solution.*

Proof. The proof is split into a number of steps:

Step 1. The operator F_1 defined by (10) is compact. Let $\varphi_n \in X$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n = \varphi$.

Then, by Theorem 2, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(\varphi_n) = x(\varphi)$ in $E = \{x: [0, \omega] \rightarrow X \text{ is continuous}\}$

with norm sup. This means that the sequence $(T(\cdot)(\varphi_n))_n$ is uniformly convergent to $T(\cdot)(\varphi)$ on $[0, \omega]$. From (b) in the proof of Lemma 2.2 the set

$$A \equiv \text{Cl} \left\{ T(s)(\varphi_n)/s \in [0, \omega] \right\} \cup \left\{ T(s)(\varphi)/s \in [0, \omega] \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

is compact which implies that f is uniformly continuous on $[0, \omega] \times A$. This follows that the sequence $(f(\cdot, T(\cdot)(\varphi_n)))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to $f(\cdot, T(\cdot)(\varphi))$ on $[0, \omega]$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_1(\varphi_n) = F_1(\varphi)$. This shows that F_1 is continuous.

Let Ω be a bounded set in X . Then, by the proof of the part (b) of Theorem 2, the set

$$B \equiv \{T(s)(\varphi)/s \in [0, \omega], \varphi \in \Omega\}$$

is bounded in X .

Since f is compact, the set $f([0, \omega] \times B)$ is relatively compact in X .

Consequently the set

$$D \equiv U(\omega, \cdot) ([0, \omega] \times f([0, \omega] \times B))$$

is compact.

Since $U(\omega, s) f(s, T(s)\varphi) \in D$ for all $s \in [0, \omega]$ and $\varphi \in \Omega$ we have

$$F_1(\varphi) = \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s) f(s, T(s)\varphi) ds \in \omega D \text{ for all } \varphi \in \Omega.$$

This shows that $F_1(\Omega)$ is relatively compact and hence F_1 is compact.

Step 2. There exists an equivalent norm $|\cdot|_1$ on X such that $\|U_1\|_1 < 1$.

Proof. Since $\{A(t)\}$ is stable, there exist $a \geq 1$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$\|U(n\omega, 0)\| = \|U_1^n\| \leq ae^{-nb} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|U_1^n\|)^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{1/n} \cdot e^{-b\omega} = e^{-b\omega} < 1.$$

This shows that, by Lemma 2.1, there is an equivalent norm $|\cdot|_1$ on X defined by

$$|x|_1 = |x| + \sum_1^\infty U_1^n x.$$

For this norm, we have $\|U_1\|_1 < 1$.

Step 3. $|F_1|_1 = 0$.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, from (A_3) , there exists $N > 0$ such that $|f(t, \varphi)| \leq N + \varepsilon |\varphi|$ for all $\varphi \in X$ and $t \in [0, \omega]$

Put $m = \sup \{ \|U(t, s)\|, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq \omega \}$,

From (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x(\varphi)(t)| &< m|\varphi| + m \int_0^t [N + \varepsilon |x(\varphi)(s)|] ds \\ &= m[|\varphi| + N] + m\varepsilon \int_0^t |x(\varphi)(s)| ds \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by Gronwall's inequality

$$|x(\varphi)(t)| \leq m[|\varphi| + N\omega] e^{m\varepsilon\omega} \text{ for all } t \text{ in } [0, \omega].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} -|F_1(\varphi)| &\leq \int_0^\omega U(\omega, s) f(s, x(\varphi)(s)) ds \\ &\leq m \int_0^\omega [N + \varepsilon |x(\varphi)(s)|] ds \\ &\leq mN\omega + \omega m^2 \varepsilon [|\varphi| + N\omega] e^{m\varepsilon\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $|F_1| \leq m^2 \omega \varepsilon e^{m\varepsilon\omega}$.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $|F_1| = 0$.

Since the norms $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_1$ are equivalent, we also have $|F_1|_1 = 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We have $\|T_1\|_1 \leq \|U_1\|_1 + \|F_1\|_1 < 1$. Hence, by Theorem 1, T_1 has a fixed point φ and the solution $x(\varphi)$ is precisely a periodic solution of the equation (1). The proof is complete.

Remark. The condition (A_3) of Theorem 3 in this paper is weaker than the condition (A_2) of Theorem 2 in [7].

Remark. The referee pointed out (rightly) in the first version of the manuscript that the uniform continuity of the solution operator with respect to the initial data as contained in (A_3) could be replaced by the weaker condition of continuity of the solution operator. In the present revised version of the paper, it is shown in the proof of Theorem 3 that even the continuity condition can be dispensed with. (The continuity of the solution operator follows directly from the conditions of the problem.).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Ang and L. H. Hoa, *On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and triangle contractive operators*, Fund. Math. 120 (1984), 78-93.
- [2] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, *On nonlinear α contractions*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1969), 458-464.
- [3] B. E. Browder and R. D. Nussbaums, *The topology degree for noncompact nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1968), 671-676.
- [4] J. Cronin, *Equations with bounded nonlinearities*, Jour. Diff. Eq. 14 (1973), 581-596.
- [5] A. Granas, *The theory of compact vector field and some of its applications to topology of functional spaces I*, Dissertation Math.30 (1962), 1-93.
- [6] J. K. Hale and O. Loipes, *Fixed point theorems and dissipative process*, Jour. Diff. Eq. 13 (1973), 391-402.
- [7] L. H. Hoa, *On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and its applications*, Preprint Series Hanoi - № 2 (1986), 1-17.
- [8] M. A. Krasnoselskii, *Two remarks on the method of successive approximation*. Uspehi Math. Nauk. 10 (1955), 123-127 (in Russian).
- [9] M. Z. Nashed and J. S. W. Wong, *Some variants of fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii and applications to nonlinear integral equations*, Jour. Math. Mechanics 18 (8) (1963), 767-777.

Received June 17, 1984
Revised May 15, 1988.

PEDAGOGICAL INSTITUTE OF HO CHI MINH CITY