ON THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

LE NGOC LANG and NGO VAN LUOC

I. INTRODUCTION

Let V and H be real separable Hilbert spaces such that V is densely coninuously and compactly imbedded into H. Identifying H and its dual space we btain $V \subset H \subset V^*$ where V^* is the dual space of V. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$, and $\|\cdot\|_*$ the norm in V, H and V^* , respectively, and by (.,.) the pairing etween V^* and V as well as the scalar product in H. Let S = [0, T] be a finite nterval of the real axis. For an arbitrary Hilbert space X we denote by L^2 (S, X) and C(S, X) the usual spaces of the quadratically integrable and the continuous unctions on S with values in X, respectively. Let us define

$$\mathcal{V} = L^{2}(S, V), \quad \mathcal{H} = L^{2}(S, H), \quad \mathcal{V}^{*} = L^{2}(S, \mathcal{V}^{*}),$$

$$\langle f, u \rangle = \int_{S} (f(t), u(t)) dt, \quad f \in \mathcal{V}^{*}, \quad u \in \mathcal{V},$$

$$Y = \mathcal{V} \wedge C(S, H), \quad \|u\|_{Y} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{2} + \|u\|_{C(S, H)}, \quad u \in \mathcal{Y},$$

$$W = \{u \in \mathcal{V} : u' \in \mathcal{V}^{*}\}, \|u\|_{w}^{2} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}}^{2} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{V}^{*}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{W}.$$

where u' is the derivative of $u \in \mathcal{D}$ in the sense of distributions on S with alues in V.

We consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} u' + A(u, u) + B(u, u) = 0 \\ u(0) = a \in H, u \in W \end{cases}$$
 (1. 1)

there A and B are operators with the following properties

- (I) $A \in (H \times V \rightarrow V^*)$,
- (II) $A(.,v) \in (H \rightarrow V^*)$ is continuous for each $v \in V$,
- (III) $\forall u \in H \parallel A(u, o) \parallel_* \leq M(\mid u \mid + 1), M = \text{const} > 0$,
- (IV) $\forall v_1, v_2 \in V$, $u \in H$, $(A(u, v_1) A(u, v_2), v_1 v_2) \ge m \|v_1 v_2\|^2$, m = const > 0,
- $(V) \ \forall \ v_1, v_2 \in V, u \in H, \ \| \ A(u, v_1) A(u, v_2) \|_* \leq M \| v_1 v_2 \|$

$$I_{1}) B \in (y \times y \to \mathcal{O}^{*}), \langle B(u, v), w \rangle = \int_{S} b((u, t), v(t), w(t)) dt,$$

e

e

$$H_1$$
) $b \in (V \times V \times V \rightarrow R)$ 3 — linear, $b(x,y,y) = 0 \ \forall x, \forall y \in V$,

$$|b(x, y, z)| \leq M_{1}(|x| ||x|| |y| ||y||)^{\frac{1}{2}} ||z||,$$

$$|b(x, y, z)| \leq K(z) |x| |y| \forall x, y \in V, z \in V,$$

re M₁ is a positive constant and K(z) is bounded.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the existence and uniqueness olutions for Problem (1. 1). In the case where the operator A depends only one variable (A(u,v) = Cv) Problem (1.1) was proved by Galerkin's method ?]. In this paper the solution existence will be shown by using the fixed it theorem of Schauder.

~{

It is to be noticed that the space W defined above is continuously imbedinto C(S, H) (see e.g. [4]) so that the initial condition $u(o) = a \in H$ in (1.1) tes sense. For the investigation in the next sections we also note that W is pactly imbedded into \mathcal{H} (see[5]). Furthermore, the function A(u, v) defined A(u, v)) $(l) = A(u(l)), v(l)), u \in \mathcal{H}, v \in \mathcal{V}$, belongs to \mathcal{V}^* (see [1]).

The paper consists of three sections. After the introduction (Section I) we we the existence and the uniqueness of solutions for Problem (1.1) in Section nd Section III, respectively.

Problem (1.1) has many applications in the hydrodynamics (see also [2]). application to the Marangoni equation will be given in a subsequent paper.

II. THE EXISTENCE THEOREM

THEOREM 2.1. Let (I)-(V) and (I_1), (II $_1$) be satisfied. Then the initial value oblem (1.1) has a solution.

Proof. 1) By virtue of the Conditions (IV) and (V) we have for all $x, y \in V$, $) \in H$ and $t \in S$

$$(A(u(t), x) - A(u(t), y), x - y) \ge m \|x - y\|^2,$$

d

$$|| A(u(t), x) - A(u(t), y) ||_{*} \leq M || x - y ||^{2}.$$

From Theorem 1 in [2] it follows that for each $u \in \mathcal{H}$ (arbitrary, but fixed) e initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} v' + A(u,v) + B(v,v) = 0 \\ v(0) = a \in H, v \in W \end{cases}$$
 (2.1)

is a unique solution. We denote by Su this solution. It is clear that $S \in (\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H})$. 2 = 36). We shall prove by the fixed point theorem of Schauder that the perator S has a fixed point and, consequently, Problem (1.1) has a solution. 2) We first show that the operator $S \in (\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H})$ is continuous. It is easy to see that for any fixed element $v \in \mathcal{U}$ the mapping $[s, u] \to A(u, v(s))$ satisfies be Cara theodory condition and

$$|| A(u, v(s)) ||_{*} \leq || A(u, v(s)) - A(u, o) ||_{*} + || A(u, o) ||_{*}$$

$$\leq M || v(s) ||_{*} + || A(u, o) ||_{*}$$

$$\leq M (|| v(s) ||_{*} + || u ||_{*} + 1).$$

herefore, it follows from Krasnoselski's Theorem on the continuity of the emyzki operator that the operator $A(.,v) \in (\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{U}^*)$ is continuous [6].

Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a sequence converging to u in \mathcal{H} . Let $v_n = Su_n$, v = Su, hen, for all $t \in S$ we have

$$O = \int_{0}^{t} ((v' + A(u, v) + B(v, v) - v'_{n} - A(u_{n}, v_{n}) - B(v_{n}, v_{n})(s), v(s) - v_{n}(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |(v - v_{n})(t)|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \{(A(u(s), v(s)) - A(u_{n}(s), v(s)), v(s) - v_{n}(s)) + (A(u_{n}(s), v(s)) - A(u_{n}(s), v_{n}(s)), v(s) - v_{n}(s)) + (B(v - v_{n}, v)(s), v(s) - v_{n}(s))\}ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t) - v_{n}(t)|^{2} + m \int_{0}^{t} |v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{2} ds - \int_{0}^{t} |A(u(s), v(s)) - v_{n}(s)|^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2} |v(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} |v(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{\frac{3}{2}} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t) - v_{n}(t)|^{2} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} |v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2m} ||A(u(s), v(s)) - a(u_{n}, v)|^{2} - C \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} ||v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{\frac{3}{2}} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} ||v(t) - v_{n}(t)|^{2} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{\frac{3}{2}} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} ||v(t) - v_{n}(t)|^{2} + \left(\frac{m}{2} - \delta\right) \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s) - v_{n}(s)|^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2m} ||A(u(s), v(s))|^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2m} ||a(u($$

 $\frac{1}{2m} \| A(u, v) - A(u_n, v) \|_{v^*}^2 - C_0(\delta) \int_{0}^{1} \| v(s) \|^2 |v(s) - v_n(s)|^2 ds,$

17

(2.2)

ere $\delta = \text{const} > 0$ is arbitrary such that $\frac{m}{2} - \delta > 0$ and C_0 (δ) is a posiconstant depending only on δ .

From the inequality (2.2) it followss that for all $t \in S$ we have

$$|v(t) - v_n(t)|^2 \le C(n) + \int_0^t k(s) |v(s)| |v_n(s)|^2 ds,$$

ere $C(n):=\frac{1}{m}\|A(u,v)-A(u_n,v)\|_{\mathcal{O}_{k}^{*}}^{2}\rightarrow 0$ as $n\rightarrow\infty$ and k(s):=

(δ) $||v(s)||^2 \in L^1$ (s). Using Gronwall's lemma we obtain from the last quality

$$\|v-v_n\|_{C(S,H)} \to 0 \text{ if } n \to \infty.$$
 (2,3)

4

(2.5)

view of (2.2) we also have

$$\frac{m}{2} - \delta \int_{0}^{T} \|v(s) - v_{n}(s)\|^{2} ds \leq \frac{1}{2} C(n) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} k(s) \|v(s) - v_{n}(s)\|^{2} ds.$$

This inequality shows that

$$||v - v_n||_{\mathcal{O}}^2 \le C C(n) + C ||v - v_n||_C (S, H).$$

Hence $\|v-v_n\| \rightsquigarrow 0 \ (n \rightarrow \infty)$, and consequently;

$$\parallel v - v_n \parallel_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0 \text{ if } n \to \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

3) Now we prove the compactness of the operator S. Let v be the solution of Problem (2.1) for $u \in \mathcal{H}$ (i.e. v = Su). Then we

$$0 = \int_{0}^{t} ((v' + A(u, v) + B(v, v)) (s), v (s)) ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} ((v + A(u(s), v(s)) - A(u(s), o) + A(u(s), o), v(s)) ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |a|^{2} + m \int_{0}^{t} |v(s)|^{2} ds - \int_{0}^{t} ||A(u(s), o)||_{x} ||v(s)|| ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |a|^{2} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s)||^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2m} \int_{0}^{t} ||A(u(s), o)||_{x}^{2} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |a|^{2} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s)||^{2} ds - \frac{1}{2m} \int_{0}^{t} ||A(u(s), o)||_{x}^{2} ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |v(t)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |a|^{2} + \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{t} ||v(s)||^{2} ds - C(1 + \int_{0}^{t} |u(s)||^{2} ds), C = \text{const} > 0.$$

From this inequality it follows that

$$|v(t)|^2 \le C (1 + ||u||_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \qquad C = \text{const} > 0.$$
 (2.6)

his implies

$$\|v\|_{C(S, H)}^{2} \leq (1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H})_{\bullet}}^{2}$$
 (2.7)

sing the inequality (2.5) we obtain

$$\frac{m}{2} \|v\|^2_{\mathcal{U}} \leq C(1 + \|u\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

i. e

$$\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C(1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2).$$
 (2.8)

n the other hand,

$$\|v^*\|_{\mathcal{O}^*} = \|-A(u,v) - B(v,v)\|_{\mathcal{O}^*}$$

$$\leq \|A(u,v) - A(u,o)\|_{\mathcal{O}^*} + \|A(u,o)_{\mathcal{O}^*} + \|B(v,v)\|_{\mathcal{O}^*}$$

$$\leq M \|v\|_{\mathcal{O}^*} + C(1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^*}) + \|B(v,v)\|_{\mathcal{O}^*}.$$

or all w ∈ W we have

om this it follows that

$$\parallel B(\boldsymbol{v},\,\boldsymbol{v}) \parallel_{\,\boldsymbol{v}} = M_{1} \parallel \boldsymbol{v} \parallel_{\,C(S,\,\boldsymbol{H})} \parallel \boldsymbol{v} \parallel_{\,\boldsymbol{V}} \, .$$

ing this inequality and (2.7), (2.8) we have

$$||B(v,v)|| \le C(1+||u||^2) \quad C = \text{const} > 0.$$
 (2.9)

ace v is a solution of the equation (2. 1) we see that

$$\|v'\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{i^*}} \leq \|B(v,v)\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{i^*}} + \|A(u,u) - A(u,o)\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{i^*}} + \|A(u,o)\|_{\mathcal{Q}_{i^*}}.$$

om the last inequality and (III), (V), (2.8) and (2.9) we obtain

$$\|v'\|_{\mathscr{H}} \le C(1+\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}}+\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}}^2), C = \text{const} > 0$$
 (2.10)

e inequalities (2.8) and (2.10) give the following estimate

$$\|v\|_{W} \le C(1 + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}), C = \text{const} > 0.$$

nce, the operator S maps a bounded subset of the space $\mathcal H$ into a bounded set of the space W. Since W is compactly imbedded into $\mathcal H$ it follows that operator S is compact.

We now prove that $S(E) \subset E$ for a suitable set $E \subset \mathcal{H}$.

From (2.5) we have for all $t \in S$

$$|v(t)|^2 \leq \lambda (1 + \int_{s}^{t} ||u(s)||^2 ds), \lambda = \text{const} > \theta.$$

This implies

$$|v(t)|^{2} dt \leq \int_{s}^{-2\lambda t} (1 + \int_{o}^{t} |u(s)|^{2} ds) dt$$

$$\leq -\frac{1}{2} e^{-2\lambda t} (1 + \int_{o}^{t} |u(s)|^{2} ds) \Big|_{o}^{T} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{s}^{-2\lambda t} |u(t)|^{2} dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{e}^{-2\lambda t} |u(t)|^{2} dt$$

Let E be the set defined by

$$E = \{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{e}^{-2\lambda t} |u(t)|^2 dt \leq 1 \}.$$

is clear that E is a closed bounded convex subset of the space \mathcal{H} and rthemore $S(E) \subset E$. By the fixed point theorem of Schauder it follows then at the operator S has a fixed point. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

III. UNIQUENESS THEOREM

In the previous Section, under assumptions (I) — (V) and (I_I), (I_{I_I}) we we proved the existence of a solution of the initial value Problem (1.1). In this ection we shall show the uniqueness of this solution if the operator A satisfies certain regularity condition.

We make the following assumption:

I) For each solution u of Problem (1. 1), the function $\varphi_{\delta}(u)$ defined by

$$\varphi_{\delta}(u) = \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{z=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|z|} \left(\| A(u+z, u) - A(u, u) \|_{*} - \delta \| z \| \right) \right\}$$

 $u \in v, \delta \in R$,

itisfies the condition

$$\varphi_{mo}(u(\cdot)) \in L^2(S) \text{ for } m_o < m$$

where m is the constant in the condition (IV).

In the sequel we need the following

LEMMA 3.1. Under the assumption (IV) we have for all $m_o \in (0, m)$:

$$(A(u+z, u+z) - A(u, u), z) \ge m_1 ||z||^2 - \rho(u) |z|^2 \forall u, z \in V,$$
where

$$\mathbf{m}_1 := \frac{m - m_o}{2}, \ \rho(u) := \frac{1}{2(m - m_o)} (\varphi_{mo}(u))^2$$

Proof. See [1], Lemma 1.2

Remark 3.1. The assumption (VI) is equivalent to the following

$$\rho(u(.)) \in L^1(S) \tag{3.1}$$

THEOREM 3.1. Let the conditions (I) - (VI) and (II_1) , (II_2) be satisfied. hen the initial value problem (1.1) has a unique solution.

Proof. Let u be a solution of the Problem (1.1), which satisfies the Contion (3. 1) and let \overline{u} be another solution of the Problem (1.1). We set $z = \overline{u} - u$.

Using Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1 we have for all $t \in S$

$$0 = \int_{0}^{t} (\overline{u}' + A(\overline{u}, \overline{u}) + B(\overline{u}, \overline{u}) - u' - A(u, u) - B(u, u))(s), z(s))ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} ([z' + A(u + z, u + z) - A(u, u) + B(z, \overline{u})](s), z(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} |z(t)|^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} \{m_{1} || z(s) ||^{2} - \rho(u(s)) || Z(s)|^{2} - \rho(u(s)) || Z(s)|| Z(s)|$$

$$-M(|z(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \overline{u}(s)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot ||\overline{u}(s)||^{\frac{1}{2}} ||z(s)||^{\frac{3}{2}} \} ds$$

d consequently

$$|z(t)|^2 + C_1 \int_0^t ||z(s)||^2 ds \le \int_0^t k(s) |z(s)|^2 ds,$$
 (3.2)

Here $C_1 = 2(m_1 - \delta)$ and the constant $\delta > 0$ is chosen so that $m_1 - \delta > 0$, d

$$k(s) = 2 (C(\delta) | \overline{u}(s) |^2 | \overline{u}(s) |^2 + \rho (u(s))).$$

ice
$$\rho(u(.)) \in L^{1}(S)$$
 and $\int_{0}^{\infty} ||\overline{u}|(s)||^{2} ||\overline{u}(s)||^{2} ds \leq ||\overline{u}||_{C(S,H)}^{2} + ||\overline{u}||_{v}^{2} < \infty$,

have then $k(s) \in L^{1}(S)$.

By Gronwall's lemma the inequality (3.2) implies that z = 0. This completes proof of Theorem 3.1.

REFERENCES

Le Ngoe Lang, On the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the equation $u + A(u, u) \ni f$ (in Vietnamese). Computer Science and Cybernetics. (To appear). H. Gajewski and Gröger., On a projection iteration method for some evolutions equations related to Navier—Slocks equations. Proc. of the Summer School on nonlinear Operators. Berlin, 1975.

- · Serrin, The initial value problem for the Navier Stockes equations. In nonlinear problems, ed. by R. E. Langer, 1963, 69 98.
- I. Gajewski, K. Gröger, K. Zacharices, Nichtlineare Operatorgleichungen und Operator differenlialgleichungen, Berlin, 1974.
- K. Gröger, Ein Kompakiheissütz für Folgen von Näherungslösungen von Evolutionseleichungen, Nach. Math., 72 (1976), 16 - 19.
- 1.A. Krasnosenskii, Topological Methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations (in Russian), M., 1956.

Received April 27, 1985

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P. O. BOX 631, BO HO, HANOI, VIETNAM