

IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREMS FOR SET-VALUED MAPS

NGUYEN DONG YEN

Let P be a topological space, X and Y be Banach spaces, and $F: P \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a set-valued map. Then the set-valued map $G: P \rightarrow X$

$$G(p) := \{x \mid 0 \in F(p, x)\}$$

will be called the *implicit map* defined by the inclusion $0 \in F(p, x)$. The purpose of this paper is to study the behaviour of $G(\cdot)$ near a given point (p_0, x_0) satisfying the condition $0 \in F(p_0, x_0)$. To this end, we shall use some results from P. H. Sach's theory of prederivatives of set-valued maps as developed in [9].

In the first part of the paper we are concerned with the lower semicontinuity property of implicit maps which is closely related to the stability of a system of inequalities (see for example [7]). From Theorem 2.1 to be proved in this part we recover a result established by H. Methlouthi [6]. This theorem is also near to the implicit function theorem of S.M. Robinson [7], but does not imply the latter.

In the second part of the paper we are interested in the pseudo-Lipschitz property of set-valued maps introduced in [1]. This property was established in [1] and [2] for the inverse of set-valued maps taking values in a finite-dimensional space. Our result (Theorem 3.1) differs from [1, 2] in that it is derived for Banach spaces by using prederivatives [9] instead of the Clarke tangent cones to the graphs of set-valued maps. Moreover, a sufficient condition for the pseudo-Lipschitz property of implicit maps will be obtained. As a by-product, we shall also have a result on the stability of perturbed nonsmooth inequalities which can be interpreted as the stability of the feasible set of a nonsmooth mathematical programming problem.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1. Given a set-valued map $F: X \rightarrow Y$ from a topological space X into a Banach space Y , we denote its graph and support function by $\text{gr } F$ and $C_F(y^*, \cdot)$, respectively. Recall that $\text{gr } F := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in F(x)\}$,

$C_F\{(y^*, x) := \sup \{ \langle y^*, y \rangle \mid y \in F(x) \}$, where y^* belongs to the dual space Y^* of Y and $\langle y^*, y \rangle$ denotes the canonical pairing between Y and Y^* .

In what follows, the symbols $d[a, M]$, $\bar{B}(a, \delta)$ and $N(a)$ will denote the distance from a point a to a set M , the closed ball with radius δ centered at a and the collection of all open neighbourhoods of a , respectively.

DEFINITION 1.1. [3]. We say that F is *lower semicontinuous* (l.s.c.) at $x_0 \in X$ if for every $y_0 \in F(x_0)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $U \in N(x_0)$ such that $\forall x \in U \exists y \in F(x)$ satisfying $\|y - y_0\| < \varepsilon$. F is *upper semicontinuous* (u.s.c.) at $x_0 \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $U \in N(x_0)$ such that $F(x) \subset F(x_0) + \varepsilon \bar{B}_Y(0, 1)$ for all $x \in U$. F is u.s.c. (resp. l.s.c.) on a set $A \subset X$ if it is u.s.c. (resp. l.s.c.) at every point of A . When X is a Banach space we say that F is *positively homogeneous* if $F(\lambda x) = \lambda F(x)$ for any $\lambda \geq 0$ and $x \in X$.

2. Now suppose that both X and Y are Banach spaces and denote by \mathcal{L} the collection of all set-valued maps $t: X \rightarrow Y$ that are positively homogeneous and u.s.c. on X [9].

DEFINITION 1.2. [9]. The *Banach constant* of a $t \in \mathcal{L}$ is the number

$$p(t) := - \sup_{y^* \in S^*} \inf_{x \in \bar{B}_X(0, 1)} c_t(y^*, x), \quad (1.1)$$

where S^* is the unit sphere in Y^* and $c_t(y^*, x) = \sup \{ \langle y^*, y \rangle \mid y \in t(x) \}$.

Remark 1.1. $p(t)$ is a finite real number which coincides with the ordinary Banach constant $C(t)$ of t if t is a bounded linear map. If t is generated by a convex compact set Δ of bounded linear operators from X into Y (so that $t(h) = \{Ah \mid A \in \Delta\}$), then $p(t) = \inf \{C(A) \mid A \in \Delta\}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. [9]. A map $t \in \mathcal{L}$ is called a *prederivative* of a set-valued map $F: X \rightarrow Y$ at a point $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{gr } F$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $U \in N(x_0)$ such that: $\forall x \in U \exists y \in F(x)$ satisfying

$$\langle y^*, y - y_0 \rangle - c_t(y^*, x - x_0) \leq \varepsilon \|x - x_0\| \quad (1.2)$$

for all y^* from the unit ball B^* of Y^* .

Remark 1.2. Assume that F is single-valued, then a linear continuous map t is a prederivative of F at $(x_0, F(x_0))$ iff it is the Frechet derivative of F at x_0 .

Remark 1.3. A linear continuous map A is a prederivative of a set-valued map F at (x_0, y_0) iff A is a lower-derivative of F at (x_0, y_0) in the sense of H. Methlouthi [6]. In this case we simply call A a linear prederivative of F at (x_0, y_0) .

DEFINITION 1.4. [9]. A positively homogeneous upper semicontinuous function $g: X \rightarrow R$ is an *upper ε -approximation* of a function $f: X \rightarrow R$ at a point $x_0 \in X$ if there is $U \in N(x_0)$ such that

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \leq g(x - x_0) + \varepsilon \|x - x_0\|$$

for all $x \in U$.

The following two lemmas will play a fundamental role in our proofs.

LEMMA 1.1, [9]. Assume that $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is a set-valued map, and $t \in \mathcal{L}$ is a prederivative of F at $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{gr } F$. Suppose that the norm-functional in Y is Frechet differentiable at every point different from the origin. Let there be given a point $y' \notin F(x_0)$ such that y_0 is the closest point to y' in $F(x_0)$. Denote by \tilde{y}^* the Frechet derivative at $y' - y_0$ of the norm-functional in Y . Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, the function $c_t(\tilde{y}^* \dots)$ is an upper ε -approximation of $f(\cdot) = d[y', F(\cdot)]$ at x_0 .

LEMMA 1.2. [5]. Let V be a complete metric space, $f: V \rightarrow R_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a lower semicontinuous real valued function. For every point $x_0 \in V$ satisfying $f(x_0) \leq \varepsilon$ and every $\lambda > 0$ there exists a point $\bar{x} \in V$ such that $f(\bar{x}) \leq f(x_0)$, $d(\bar{x}, x_0) \leq \lambda$ and $f(\bar{x}) \leq f(x) + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} d(x, \bar{x})$ for every $x \in V$.

2. LOWER SEMICONTINUITY OF IMPLICIT SET-VALUED MAPS

Let P be a topological space, X and Y be two Banach spaces and $F: P \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a set-valued map. Assume that the norm-functional in Y is Frechet differentiable at every point different from the origin. Throughout this section we suppose that $0 \in F(p_0, x_0)$ and, for any $(p, x) \in P \times X$, there is a point $y \in F(p, x)$ satisfying $\|y\| = d[0, F(p, x)]$.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose the following:

(a) For every $x \in X$ the map $F(\cdot, x)$ is l. s. c. on P and for every $p \in P$ the map $F(p, \cdot)$ is u. s. c. on X ;

(b) There exist neighbourhoods A and B of p_0 and x_0 , respectively, such that for each $w = (p, x, y) \in \text{gr } F$, where $(p, x) \in A \times B$, the map $F(p, \cdot)$ has a prederivative t_w at (x, y) and $\rho(t_w) \geq \gamma$, for some $\gamma > 0$.

Then, there exist neighbourhoods U and V of p_0 and x_0 , respectively, such that

1. $\tilde{G}(p) := \{x \in V \mid 0 \in F(p, x)\}$ (2.1)
is nonempty for all $p \in U$;

2. The map $\tilde{G} : U \rightarrow V$ is l. s. c. on U . (2.2)

Besides, if F is l. s. c. at (p_0, x_0) , then there are neighbourhoods $\tilde{U} \in N(p_0)$, $\tilde{V} \in N(x_0)$ such that

$$3. \quad d[x, G(p)] \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} d[0, F(p, x)], \quad (2.3)$$

where $(p, x) \in \tilde{U} \times \tilde{V}$ and $G(p) = \{x \in X \mid 0 \in F(p, x)\}$.

Proof. Select a number $\delta > 0$ such that $\overline{B}(x_0, \delta) \subset B$. Since $0 \in F(p_0, x_0)$ and $F(\cdot, x_0)$ is l. s. c. on P there is $A_1 \in N(p_0)$ such that: $\forall p \in A_1 \exists y_p \in F(p, x_0)$ satisfying $\|y_p\| < \gamma\delta$.

For each $p \in U := A \cap A_1$, consider the restriction of the function $v_p(x) = d[0, F(p, x)]$ to the ball $\overline{B}(x_0, \delta)$. From the u. s. c. property of $F(p, \cdot)$ it follows that $v_p(\cdot)$ is a lower semicontinuous real valued function. Besides, $v_p(x) \geq 0$ and $v_p(x_0) = d[0, F(p, x_0)] \leq \|y_p\| < \gamma\delta$. Hence, $v_p(x_0) < \gamma'\delta$ for some $\gamma' \in (0, \gamma)$. We set $\varepsilon = \gamma - \gamma'$. By Lemma 2.2 there is a point $\bar{x} \in \overline{B}(x_0, \delta)$ such that

$$v_p(\bar{x}) \leq v_p(x_0), \quad \|\bar{x} - x_0\| < \delta, \quad (2.4)$$

$$v_p(\bar{x}) \leq v_p(x) + \gamma' \|x - \bar{x}\|, \quad \forall x \in \overline{B}(x_0, \delta). \quad (2.5)$$

We now show that $v_p(\bar{x}) = 0$, i.e. $0 \in F(p, \bar{x})$. Indeed, otherwise we could find a point $\bar{y} \in F(p, \bar{x})$ such that $0 \neq \|\bar{y}\| = d[0, F(p, \bar{x})]$. By assumption (b) there is a prederivative t of $F(p, \cdot)$ at (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) such that

$$p(t) \geq \gamma. \quad (2.6)$$

According to Lemma 2.1, if we denote by \tilde{y}^* the Frechet derivative of the norm-functional in Y at \bar{y} then $c_t(\tilde{y}^*, \cdot)$ is an upper $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ -approximation of $v_p(x)$ at \bar{x} . Hence, using (2.4), for sufficiently small $\mu > 0$ we have $\overline{B}(\bar{x}, \mu) \subset \overline{B}(x_0, \delta)$ and

$$v_p(x) \leq v_p(\bar{x}) + c_t(\tilde{y}^*, x - \bar{x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x - \bar{x}\| \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x \in \overline{B}(\bar{x}, \mu)$.

Combining (2.5) with (2.7) yields

$$c_t(\tilde{y}^*, x - \bar{x}) + \left(\gamma' + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \|x - \bar{x}\| \geq 0 \quad (\forall x \in \overline{B}(\bar{x}, \mu)). \quad (2.8)$$

Dividing both sides of (2.8) by $\|x - \bar{x}\|$ and taking account of the fact that t is

positively homogeneous, we get $c_i(\tilde{y}^*, x) + \left(\gamma + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 0$, for every x from the unit sphere S of X .

Then

$$\inf_{x \in S} c_i(\tilde{y}^*, x) + \left(\gamma + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \geq 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Noting that $\tilde{y}^* \in S^*$ and that the inclusion $x \in \overline{B}_X(0, 1)$ in (1.1) can be replaced by $x \in S$, we obtain from (2.6)

$$0 \geq \gamma + \inf_{x \in S} c_i(\tilde{y}^*, x). \quad (2.10)$$

Upon adding (2.9) and (2.10) we then have $\gamma + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \geq \gamma$, which is a contradiction.

We have thus proved that for each $p \in U$ there is a point \bar{x} such that $\|\bar{x} - x_0\| < \delta$ and $0 \in F(p, \bar{x})$. Now it is clear that (2.1) holds if we set $V = \{x \in X \mid \|x - x_0\| < \delta\}$.

To prove (2.2) we take arbitrary $p \in U$, $x \in \tilde{G}(p)$. We have to show that, for every $\tau > 0$, there exists $U' \in N(p)$ such that; $\forall p' \in U' \exists x' \in \tilde{G}(p')$ satisfying $\|x' - x\| \leq \tau$. Pick $\delta' \in (0, \tau)$ such that $\overline{B}(x, \delta') \subset \overline{B}(x_0, \delta)$. Arguing as above one can find $U' \in N(p)$ such that: $\forall p' \in U' \exists x' \in \overline{B}(x_0, \delta')$ satisfying $0 \in F(p', x')$. From this it follows that $x' \in \tilde{G}(p')$ and $\|x' - x\| < \tau$.

Turning to the proof of (2.3) we note that by the lower semicontinuity of F at (p_0, x_0) there exist neighbourhoods $\tilde{U} \in N(p_0)$, $\tilde{V} \in N(x_0)$ such that $\tilde{U} \subset U$, $\tilde{V} \subset \overline{B}(x_0, \frac{\delta}{2})$ and $d[0, F(p, x)] < \frac{\gamma\delta}{2}$ ($\forall (p, x) \in \tilde{U} \times \tilde{V}$). (2.11)

Given any $(p, x) \in \tilde{U} \times \tilde{V}$ we set $\alpha = d[0, F(p, x)]$. All we have to show is that

$$d[x, G(p)] \leq \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since $\alpha < \frac{\gamma\delta}{2}$, by (2.11) we can pick $\lambda \in \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\delta}, \gamma\right)$. Defining $v_p(z) = d[0, F(p, z)]$

for all $z \in X$, one has $v_p(x) = \alpha < \frac{\alpha\gamma}{\lambda}$. Repeating the proof of (2.1) with x and

$\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$ playing the role of x_0 and δ , we find $\bar{x} \in X$ such tha

$$\|x - \bar{x}\| < \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \text{ and } 0 \in F(p, \bar{x}).$$

This means that $\bar{x} \in \tilde{G}(p)$, since

$$\|x_0 - \bar{x}\| \leq \|x_0 - x\| + \|x - \bar{x}\| < \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \leq \delta.$$

Therefore, $d[x, \tilde{G}(p)] \leq \|x - \bar{x}\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$. By letting $\lambda' \rightarrow \gamma$ we obtain (2.12).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following proposition is a « local version » of Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. *The conclusions of Theorem 2.1 still hold if we replace condition (b) by the following one:*

(c) *The map F is l.s.c at (p_0, x_0) and there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that, for every $w = (p, x, y) \in \text{gr } F$ in some neighbourhood of $(p_0, x_0, 0)$, one can find a prederivative t_w of $F(p, \cdot)$ at (x, y) such that $p(t_w) \geq \gamma$.*

We shall further need

DEFINITION 2.1. For $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ the excess of t_1 over t_2 is the number

$$e(t_1, t_2) = \sup_{x \neq 0} \sup_{a \in t_1(x)} \frac{d[a, t_2(x)]}{\|x\|}.$$

We say that a prederivative (resp. a linear prederivative) t of $F(p_0, \cdot)$ at (x_0, p_0) has property (C) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighbourhood W of (p_0, x_0, y_0) such that for every $(p, x, y) \in W \cap \text{gr } F$ there exists a prederivative (resp. a linear prederivative) t' of $F(p, \cdot)$ at (x, y) satisfying $e(t', t) < \varepsilon$.

It can easily be proved that $p(t_2) \leq p(t_1) + e(t_1, t_2)$.

In the remainder of this section we suppose that F has compact values in Y .

REMARK 2.1. It is a simple matter to show that condition (b) of Theorem 2.1 can be replaced by any one of the following conditions:

(b₁) F is u.s.c. at (p_0, x_0) and for every $y \in F(p_0, x_0)$ there is a prederivative t of $F(p_0, \cdot)$ at (x_0, y) having property (C) and satisfying the inequality $p(t) > 0$.

(b₂) F is u.s.c. at (p_0, x_0) and for every $y \in F(p_0, x_0)$ there is a surjective linear map which is a prederivative of $F(p_0, \cdot)$ at (x_0, y) having property (C).

(b₃) F is u.s.c. at (p_0, x_0) and for every $y \in F(p_0, x_0)$ there is a linear prederivative t of $F(p_0, \cdot)$ at (x_0, y) having property (C). In addition, the map t_{w_0} ,

where $w_0 = (p_0, x_0, 0)$, is invertible and $\|t_{w_0}^{-1}\| \cdot \|t_w - t_{w_0}\| < 1$ for each $w = (p_0, x_0, y)$, $y \in F(p_0, x_0)$.

Obviously, (b₃) \Rightarrow (b₂) \Rightarrow (b₁). The implicit function theorem given in [6] is proved under assumptions (a) and (b₃). It should be noted that the argument used in the proof of Methlouthi [6] requires the upper semicontinuity of F at (p_0, x_0) , but this condition was not formulated in [6].

Remark 2.2. Condition (c) may be replaced by the following one:

(c') The map F is l.s.c. at (p_0, x_0) and there is a prederivative t of $F(p_0, \cdot)$ at $(x_0, 0)$ having property (C) and satisfying the inequality $p(t) > 0$.

Before going further we recall the notion of pseudo-Lipschitz maps.

DEFINITION 3.1, [1]. A set-valued map $G : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be pseudo-Lipschitz around $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{gr } G$ with modulus $k > 0$ if there exist a neighbourhood U of x_0 and neighbourhoods V, V_1 of y_0 such that $G(x) \cap V$ is nonempty for all $x \in U$ and

$$G(x) \cap V \subset G(x') \cap V_1 + k \|x - x'\| \bar{B}(0, 1) \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, x' \in U$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. If G is pseudo-Lipschitz around $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{gr } G$ then there are neighbourhoods U and V of x_0 and y_0 , respectively, such that $\tilde{G}(\cdot) := G(\cdot) \cap V$ is l.s.c. on U .

Proof. Since G is pseudo-Lipschitz, there are $U \in N(x_0), V \in N(y_0), k > 0$ such that $G(x)$ is nonempty for all $x \in U$ and (3.1) holds with $V_1 = V$. Let \tilde{G} be defined as above. Take a point $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{gr } \tilde{G}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we select $\delta > 0$ such that $k\delta < \varepsilon$ and $\bar{B}(\bar{y}, k\delta) \subset V$. Now, for every $x \in \bar{B}(\bar{x}, \delta) \cap U$ we have from (3.1) that $\bar{y} \in G(x) + k \|x - \bar{x}\| \bar{B}(0, 1)$. Thus, there is $y \in G(x)$ such that

$$\bar{y} \in y + k \|x - \bar{x}\| \bar{B}(0, 1) \subset y + k\delta \bar{B}(0, 1).$$

From this it implies that $y \in G(x) \cap V, \|\bar{y} - y\| < \varepsilon$. Hence \tilde{G} is l.s.c. on U .

The main result of this section deals with the pseudo-Lipschitz property of implicit maps. Since this property is stronger than lower semicontinuity, to obtain it we have to impose an additional requirement on the map $F(p, x)$ under consideration. Namely, we shall suppose this map to be locally Lipschitz with respect to the first variable. Let us state the result.

Given Banach spaces P, X, Y and a set-valued map $F : P \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every $(p, x) \in P \times X$ there is $y_p \in F(p, x)$ satisfying $\|y_p\| = d[0, F(p, x)]$. As in the previous section we assume $0 \in F(p_0, x_0)$ and the norm-functional in Y has Frechet derivative at every point different from the origin.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that

(a) For every $p \in P, F(p, \cdot)$ is u.s.c. on X ;

(b) There are $\gamma > 0$ and a neighbourhood $A \times B$ of (p_0, x_0) such that for every $w = (p, x, y) \in (A \times B \times Y) \cap \text{gr } F$ there is a prederivative t_w of $F(p, \cdot)$ at (x, y) with $p(t_w) \geq \gamma$;

(c) There is $l > 0$ such that

$$F(p, x) \subseteq F(p', x) + l \|p - p'\| \bar{B}(0, 1) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $p, p' \in A$ and $x \in B$,

Then, there are neighbourhoods U and V of p_0 and x_0 , respectively, such that $\bar{G}(p) := \{x \in V \mid 0 \in F(p, x)\}$ is nonempty for all $p \in U$ and $\bar{G}: U \rightarrow V$ is pseudo-Lipschitz around (p_0, x_0) with modulus $k = \frac{2l}{\gamma}$.

Proof. Choose $\delta > 0$, $U \in N(p_0)$ such that $\bar{B}(x_0, \delta l) \subset B$ and $\text{diam } U := \sup \{\|p - p'\| \mid p, p' \in U\} < \frac{\gamma \delta}{4}$. Setting $V = \{x \mid \|x - x_0\| < l\delta\}$ we shall show that U and V are the desired neighbourhoods. Observe that if the inequality

$$d[x, \bar{G}(p')] \leq k \|p - p'\| \quad (3.3)$$

holds for arbitrary $p, p' \in U$ and $x \in \bar{G}(p) \cap V_1$, where $V_1 = \{x \mid \|x - x_0\| < \frac{l\delta}{2}\}$, then the theorem follows. Indeed, from (3.3) we have $\bar{G}(p) \cap V_1 \subset \bar{G}(p') \cap V + k \|p - p'\| \bar{B}(0, 1)$, for all $p, p' \in U$. Besides, the proof of Theorem 2.1 shows that $\bar{G}(p) \cap V_1$ is nonempty for all p in a neighbourhood $U' \subset U$ of p_0 .

To prove (3.3), we consider the function

$$v(z) = d[0, F(p', z)] + \varepsilon \|z - x\|.$$

Since $\text{diam } U < \frac{\gamma \delta}{4}$ we can take ε such that

$$\frac{2 \|p - p'\|}{\delta} < \varepsilon < \frac{\gamma}{2}.$$

Clearly, the function $v(\cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous because $F(p', \cdot)$ is u.s.c. Let $\alpha = v(x) = d[0, F(p', x)]$. By (3.2) we get

$$\alpha = d[0, F(p', x)] = d[0, F(p', x)] - d[0, F(p, x)] \leq l \|p - p'\| < \frac{l\varepsilon \delta}{2}.$$

Lemma 1.2 shows that there is $\bar{x} \in \bar{B}(x_0, \delta l)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\bar{x}) &\leq v(x), \\ \|\bar{x} - x\| &< \frac{l\delta}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v(\bar{x}) \leq v(z) + \varepsilon \|z - \bar{x}\|, \quad \forall z \in \bar{B}(x_0, \delta l),$$

which implies

$$f(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon \|x - \bar{x}\| < f(x), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\bar{x} - x\| < \frac{l\delta}{2}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$f(\bar{x}) \leq f(z) + 2 \|z - \bar{x}\|, \quad \forall z \in \bar{B}(x_0, \delta l), \quad (3.6)$$

where $f(\cdot) = d[0, F(p', \cdot)]$.

Since $\|\bar{x} - x_0\| \leq \|\bar{x} - x\| + \|x - x_0\| < \frac{l\delta}{2} + \frac{l\delta}{2} = l\delta$ we con-

clude that $0 \in F(p', \bar{x})$. Indeed, suppose the contrary. Then there is $\bar{y} \in F(p', \bar{x})$ such that $0 \neq \|\bar{y}\| = d[0, F(p', \bar{x})]$. For $\bar{w} = (p', \bar{x}, \bar{y})$ there is a prederivative t of $F(p', \cdot)$ at (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) with $p(t) \geq \gamma$. Let \hat{y}^* denote the Frechet derivative of the norm-functional in Y at \bar{y} . According to Lemma 1.1 the function $c_l(\hat{y}^*, \cdot)$ is an upper $\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \varepsilon\right)$ -approximation of f at \bar{x} . Since $\|\bar{x} - x_0\| < l\delta$ we find $\mu > 0$ such that $\bar{B}(\bar{x}, \mu) \subset \bar{B}(x_0, \delta l)$ and

$$f(z) \leq f(\bar{x}) + c_l(\hat{y}^*, z - \bar{x}) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \varepsilon\right) \|z - \bar{x}\|$$

for all $z \in \bar{B}(\bar{x}, \mu)$. Combining this with (3.6) we have

$$c_l(\hat{y}^*, z - \bar{x}) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \|z - \bar{x}\| \geq 0$$

for all $z \in \bar{B}(\bar{x}, \mu)$, which implies

$$\inf_{z \in S} c_l(\hat{y}^*, z) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \geq 0,$$

where S denotes the unit sphere in Y . We have thus arrived at a contradiction because $p(t) \geq \gamma$ and $\varepsilon < \frac{\gamma}{2}$. Hence $\bar{x} \in \hat{G}(p')$. Using (3.4) we obtain

$$\|\bar{x} - x\| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot f(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \{d[0, F(p', x)] - d[0, F(p, x)]\} \leq \frac{l}{\varepsilon} \|p - p'\|$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow \frac{\gamma}{2}$ we have (3.3), as desired.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.1 still holds if instead of (b) we suppose the following conditions: The map F is l.s.c. at (p_0, x_0) and for each $w = (p, x, y) \in \text{gr } F$ near $(p_0, x_0, 0)$ one can find a prederivative t_w of $F(p, \cdot)$ at (x, y) such that $p(t_w) \geq \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

Suppose $F: X \rightarrow Y$ is a set-valued map such that for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ there is a point $\bar{y} \in F(x)$ satisfying $\|\bar{y} - y\| = d[y, F(x)]$.

THEOREM 3.2. (Inverse function theorem). Assume that F is continuous on X and $y_0 \in F(x_0)$. Let the following condition be satisfied: There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for every $w = (x, y) \in \text{gr } F$ near (x_0, y_0) one can find a prederivative t_w of F at (x, y) satisfying $p(t_w) \geq \gamma$. Then, there are neighbourhoods U, \tilde{U} of x_0 and V of y_0 such that

1. $\tilde{G}(y) := \{x \in \tilde{U} \mid y \in F(x)\}$ is nonempty for all $y \in V$,

2. The map \tilde{G} is l. s. c. on V and $d[x, G(y)] \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} d[y, F(x)]$ for every $(x, y) \in U \times V$,

3. The map $G(y) = \{x \in X \mid y \in F(x)\}$ is pseudo-Lipschitz around (y_0, x_0) with modulus $k = \frac{2}{\gamma}$.

The proof is immediate by applying Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.1 to the map

$$F(p, x) := F(x) - p, \quad (p \in Y).$$

Remark 3.2. The results obtained in Section 2 and 3 still hold if instead of \mathcal{L} we take the class of locally Lipschitz set-valued maps. The Banach constant is then defined as in [8].

The following simple example shows that Theorem 3.2 is useful even in the case the corresponding results of [1] and [2] fail.

Example. Let $F(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ \beta x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$

where $x \in R$ and $\beta > \alpha > 0$. Using Theorem 3.2 and the fact that

$$l(h) := \{\lambda h \mid \lambda \in [\alpha, \beta]\} \quad (\forall h \in R)$$

is a prederivative of F at $(0,0)$, we can verify that the inverse set-valued map

is pseudo-Lipschitz around $(0,0)$ with modulus $\frac{2}{\alpha}$.

4. APPLICATION : STABILITY OF INEQUALITIES

Given a closed convex cone K in a Banach space Y we shall write $y \leq 0$ if $-y \in K$. Let Ω be an open subset of $P \times X$, where P is a topological space and X is a Banach space. Assume that

$$f(p_0, x_0) \leq 0,$$

where $f : \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is a single-valued map. We say that (p_0, x_0) is a stable point of the inequality

$$f(p, x) \leq 0 \tag{4.1}$$

if, to any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can associate a neighbourhood U of p_0 such that

$$\forall p \in U \quad \exists x_p \in X \text{ satisfying } f(p, x_p) \leq 0,$$

$$(p, x_p) \in \Omega \text{ and } \|x_p - x_0\| < \varepsilon.$$

Here the variable p plays the role of parameter. We shall suppose that $K_1 := \{y \in K \mid \|y\| \leq 1\}$ is a compact subset of Y . Theorem 2.1 applied to the map $F(p, x) := f(p, x) + K_1$ ($(p, x) \in \Omega$) yields the following

COROLLARY 4.1. *If f is continuous and there is a neighbourhood $A \times B$ of (p_0, x_0) such that for every $(p, x) \in A \times B$ we can find a prederivative t of $f(p, \cdot)$ at $(x, f(p, x))$ satisfying $p(t) \geq \gamma$ for some $\gamma > 0$, then (p_0, x_0) is a stable point of (4.1).*

Remark 4.1. Under the assumption that for every (p, x) the map $f(p, \cdot)$ is Frechet differentiable in x , its derivative $\nabla_x f(p, x)$ is continuous at (p_0, x_0) and $\nabla_x f(p_0, x_0)$ is an invertible map, H. Methlouhi [7] proved a similar result. In this case we have only to assume $\nabla_x f(p_0, x_0)$ to be surjective.

We now suppose that $X = R^n, Y = R^m$ and $f(p, \cdot)$ is locally Lipschitz on X . Denote by $\Delta_x f(p, x_0)$ the generalized Jacobian [4] of $f(p, \cdot)$ in x at x_0 . If we set

$$t(h) = \{Ah \mid A \in \Delta_x f(p, x_0)\}, \quad (h \in X)$$

then t is a prederivative of $f(p, \cdot)$ at $(x_0, f(p, x_0))$. Using this fact and Corollary 4.1 we obtain:

COROLLARY 4.2. *Suppose that f is a continuous map. If there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for every (p, x) in a neighbourhood of (p_0, x_0) we have $\inf \{C(A) \mid A \in \Delta_x f(p, x)\} \geq \gamma$ then (p_0, x_0) is a stable point of (4.1).*

Remark 4.2. We can use the results of the previous Sections to derive sufficient conditions for lower semicontinuity and Lipschitz property of the solution set of (4.1).

Example. Let $P = R, X = Y = R^2, K = \{(y_1, y_2) \in R^2 \mid y_1 \geq 0, y_2 \geq 0\}$, $f(p, x_1, x_2) = (p^2 |x_1| + x_2, (2 + |p|)x_1 + p|x_2|)$. Then (4.1) reduces to the following system of nonsmooth inequalities

$$\begin{cases} p^2 |x_1| + x_2 \leq 0 \\ (2 + |p|)x_1 + p|x_2| \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\Delta_x f(p, x) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} p^2 \operatorname{sign} x_1 & 1 \\ 2 + |p| & p \operatorname{sign} x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

if $x_1 x_2 \neq 0$.

From Corollary 4.2 it follows that $(p_0, x_0) = (0, 0)$ is a stable point.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to express his deep gratitude to Prof. Pham Huu Sach for constant attention to this work.

REFERENCES

1. J-P. Aubin, *Comportement lipschitzien des solutions de problemes de minimisation convexes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 295 (1982), 235—238.
2. J-P. Aubin, H. Frankowska, *On inverse function theorems for set-valued maps*, IIASA, Laxenburg (Austria), Working Paper N^o48-68, September 1984.
3. C. Berge, *Topological spaces*, Oliver-Boyd, Edingburg and London, 1963.
4. F.H. Clarke, *On the inverse function theorem*, Pacific J. Math., v. 62 (1976), 97—102.
5. I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle.*, Journal Math. Anal. Appl., 47 (1974), 324—353.
6. H. Methlouthi, *Calcul differentiel multivoque*, Cahiers de Mathematiques de la Decision, Univ. Paris IX—Dauphine, Paris, 1977.
7. S. M. Robinson, *Stability theory for systems of inequalities II*. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., V. 13 (1976), 497—513.
8. P.H. Sach, *A support principle for a mathematical programming problem involving set-valued maps*. Inst. of Math., Hanoi, Preprint N^o1 (1985).
9. P.H. Sach, *A surjectivity theorem for set-valued maps*, Inst. of Math., Hanoi, Preprint N^o25 (1986).

Received July 15, 1985

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O. BOX 631, BO HO, HANOI, VIETNAM