

APPLICATION OF THE HORMANDER – METHOD TO PROVE
AN EXTENSION – THEOREM FOR VECTOR – FIELDS.

LE HUNG SON

Let G be a domain in R^3 and K be a compact subset of G such that $G \setminus K$ is connected. Consider the system of partial differential equations:

$$(1) \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \vec{U} = 0 \\ \operatorname{rot} \vec{U} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\vec{U} = \vec{U}(x) = \{u_1(x), u_2(x), u_3(x)\}$ is a vector – function of $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3$. This system is called the Riesz – system: in R^3 and has applications in mathematical physics.

In the sequel we shall be concerned with the following extension problems for the system (1):

Let $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ be a given continuous solution of (1) in $G \setminus K$. Under which conditions can \vec{u} be extended to a solution over G ?

For the case where $G = G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3$ is a polycylindrical domain in R^3 . This problem has been solved with the help of the integral formula – method (see [1]). The aim of this paper is to show that in the general case it be solved by means of the Hormander method (see [5])

Let $\varphi \in \mu_0^\infty(G)$ be a function such that $\varphi = 1$ in a neighbourhood of K (the existence of such a function has been proved in [6, 7]). Denote

$$(2) \vec{u}^0 = \begin{cases} (1 - \varphi) \vec{u} & \text{in } G \setminus K \\ 0 & \text{in } K. \end{cases}$$

We wish to find a vector — function $\vec{v} \in C_0^2(R^3)$ such that the vector — function

$$(3) \quad \vec{\tilde{u}} = \vec{u} - \vec{v}$$

Solves (1) and is an extension of \vec{u} to the whole of G . The vector — function $\vec{\tilde{u}}$ is a solution of (1) if

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{div} \vec{\tilde{u}} = \operatorname{div} \vec{u}^0 - \operatorname{div} \vec{v} = 0$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{\tilde{u}} = \operatorname{rot} \vec{u}^0 - \operatorname{rot} \vec{v} = 0$$

or

$$(5) \quad \operatorname{div} \vec{v} = f$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{v} = \vec{F}$$

Where

$$(6) \quad f = \begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \vec{u}^0 & \text{in } G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(7) \quad \vec{F} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{rot} \vec{u}^0 & \text{in } G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1: Assume that the system (5) has a solution v in the whole of R^3 , such that $\vec{v} \in C^2(R^3)$ and

$$(8) \quad \vec{v} \equiv 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large } |x_3|.$$

Then any given solution \vec{u} of (1) in $G \setminus K$ can be extended to a solution over G .

Proof: By assumption the vector — function \vec{v} is a solution of the Riesz — system (see [2]) in $C(\operatorname{Supp} \varphi) = R^3 \setminus \operatorname{Supp} \varphi$. From (8) and the uniqueness theorem for the Riesz-system we get

(9) $\vec{v} \equiv 0$ in the unbounded connected components of the complement of $\operatorname{Supp} \varphi$.

Since the boundary of this set belongs to $G \setminus K$, there exists an open set $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ such that $\sigma \subset G \setminus K$ and

$$(10) \quad \vec{v} = 0, \vec{u} = \vec{u}^0 \text{ in } \sigma$$

Clearly, the vector — function u defined by (3) is a solution of (1). In view of (10) we get

$$(11) \quad \vec{\tilde{u}} = \vec{u} \text{ in } \sigma.$$

On the other hand, since $G \setminus K$ is connected, it follows from (11) and the uniqueness theorem for the solutions of (1) that

$$\vec{u} = \vec{u} \text{ in } G \setminus K.$$

This means that \vec{u} is the extension of \vec{u} to the whole of G .

q. e. d.

The converse of Lemma is also true :

LEMMA 2: Assume that \vec{u} can be extended to a solution of (1) then the system (5) has a solution \vec{v} such that condition (8) is fulfilled for all sufficiently large $|x_3|$.

Proof: Let \vec{u} be the extension of \vec{u} to the whole of G ,

Denote :

$$(12) \quad \vec{v} = \begin{cases} \vec{u}^0 - \vec{u} & \text{in } G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\vec{v} \in C^2$, $v = 0$ for all sufficiently large $|x_3|$ and \vec{v} is a solution of (5). Q.e.d.

Now consider the system (5). From a theorem of potential theory (see ([3]) p. 161) it follows that the system (5) is solvable if

$$(13) \quad \operatorname{div} \vec{F} = 0$$

This condition is fulfilled if \vec{F} is given by (7). Hence we get from [4] (chapters 3), and 7, the following lemma

LEMMA 3: The system (5) is always solvable, and the vector-function

$$(14) \quad \vec{v}_0 = -\operatorname{grad} \int_{R^3} \frac{f(\xi)}{4\pi r(\xi, x)} d\xi + \operatorname{rot} \int_{R^3} \frac{\vec{F}(\xi)}{4\pi r(\xi, x)} d\xi$$

is a particular solution of this system, where $r(\xi, x)$ is the distance from x to ξ in R^3 .

Remark 1: Let \vec{v}_0 be a particular solution of (5) defined by (14) and \vec{v}' be a solution of the Riesz - system (1) in the whole of R^3 . Then

$$\vec{v} = \vec{v}' + \vec{v}_0$$

is also always a solution of (5).

If we assume that a solution \vec{v} of the Riesz — system (1) exists such that

$$(15) \quad \vec{v}_0 = -\vec{v} \text{ for all sufficiently large } |x_3| \text{ then this solution } \vec{v} \text{ satisfies the condition (8) (the existence of such a solution } \vec{v} \text{ is not obvious. Consider now the following}$$

EXTENSION — PROBLEM

1) Special : Let T be a domain in R^3 of the form

$$(16) \quad T = \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in R^3 \mid |x_3| \leq c_0 \right\}$$

where $c_0 > 0$ is sufficiently large. Can every solution \vec{v}_0 of the Riesz — system (1) in $T := R^3 \setminus T$

be extended to a solution over R^3 ?

It turns out that

THEOREM: *If the special extension — problem is solvable, then the above stated extension — problem is solvable, i. e every solution \vec{u} of (1) in $G \setminus K$ can be extended to a solution over G*

Proof: Given a solution \vec{u} of (1) in $G \setminus K$, we can define f and \vec{F} from the above mentioned function.

Further we choose $c_0 > 0$, such that

$$f = 0 \text{ and } \vec{F} = 0 \text{ in } {}^cT,$$

then the system (5) is the Riesz — system in cT and the vector — function $-\vec{v}_0$ is a solution of (1) in cT , where \vec{v}_0 is the vector — function defined by (14).

From the assumption it follows that $-\vec{v}_0$ can be extended to a solution of the Riesz — system (1) over T , such that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= -\vec{v}_0 \text{ in } {}^cT \text{ or} \\ \vec{v}_0 &= -\vec{v} \text{ in } {}^cT \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the vector — function

$$(18) \quad \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{v}_0.$$

It follows immediately from (16) and (18) that \vec{v} is a solution of (5) satisfying

$$\vec{v} \equiv 0 \text{ in } {}^cT$$

or $\vec{v} \equiv 0$ for sufficiently large $|x_3|$.

Therefore, by Lemma 1 \vec{u} can be extended to a solution of (1) over G . Q. e. d.

Thus, the above extension — problem can be reduced to the special extension — problem 1. If this special extension — problem 1 has a solution then a solution \vec{v} of the Riesz — system exists, such that condition (15) is fulfilled.

Received November 20, 1983

REFERENCES

- [1] Le-Hung-Son, *Fortsetzungssätze für quellen- und wirbelfreie Vektorfelder*. Mathematische Nachrichten (to appear).
- [2] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss *Introduction to Fourier analysis in Euclidean spaces*. Princeton (N. J.), 1971.
- [3] M. Lagally, *Vorlesungen über Vektor- und Rechnung*, Leipzig, 1934.
- [4] R. Mises, *Integral theorems in three dimensional potential flow*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., vol 50, 1944.
- [5] L. Hormander, *Einführung in die Funktionentheorie mehrerer Veränderlicher*. Springer — Verlag, Berlin — Heidelberg New York 1974.
- [6] H. Grauert and K. Fritzsche, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*. Princeton, 1967.
- [7] W. Tutschke, *Partielle komplexe Differentialgleichungen*. Berlin, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POLYTECHNICAL INSTITUTE, HANOI, VIETNAM