

⊗-STRICT ACU CATEGORIES

NGUYỄN DUY THUẬN

Pedagogical Institute N^o1 of Hà nội

0. INTRODUCTION

In [6] it is proved that every \otimes -ACU category is \otimes -ACU equivalent to a \otimes -ACU category, which is a \otimes -strict AU category. But we cannot prove that every \otimes -ACU category is \otimes -ACU equivalent to a \otimes -strict ACU category, because for it the necessary condition is that the commutativity constraint satisfies the condition:

$$c_{A,A} = \text{id}_{A \otimes A}, \text{ for all } A \in \text{Ob} \underline{C}. \tag{0.1}$$

The purpose of this paper is to prove that every \otimes -ACU category \underline{C} , in which the commutativity constraint c satisfies the condition (0.1), is \otimes -ACU equivalent to a \otimes -strict ACU category. But by the result which is obtained in [6], it suffices to prove the above assertion for a \otimes -ACU category which is strict AU and the commutativity constraint satisfies the condition (0.1).

1. \otimes -STRICT ACU CATEGORIES

Let \underline{C} be a \otimes -ACU category, which is strict AU and the commutativity constraint satisfies the condition (0.1) i.e

$$c_{A,A} = \text{id}_{A \otimes A}, \text{ for all } A \in \text{Ob} \underline{C}.$$

We shall construct a \otimes -strict ACU category which is denoted by $\underline{M}(\underline{C})$.

First, we introduce some notations. An object of \underline{C} , which has the form $A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n$, $A_i \neq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, is said to be a *product*, each A_i of this product called *factor*. An object $A \neq 1$ is also said to be a product of one factor.

We denote the class of all objects $A \neq 1$ of \underline{C}^* by C^* and consider the class $M(C^*)$ of all functions

$$F: C^* \rightarrow N,$$

from C^* to the set N of all natural numbers, such that $F(A) = 0$ for all $A \in C^*$, except for a finite numbers. Thus each $F \in M(C^*)$ defines a finite subse \mathcal{A} of C^* and a family $(n_A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ of natural numbers $n_A \neq 0$. Conversely, a pair $(\mathcal{A},$

$(n_A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$, in which \mathcal{A} is a finite subset of C^* and $(n_A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a family of natural numbers, such that $n_A \neq 0$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, defines a unique function $F \in M\langle C^* \rangle$.

Suppose that $F \in M\langle C^* \rangle$ defines a pair $(\mathcal{A}, (n_A)_{A \in \mathcal{A}})$.

In the case $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ we consider all products in \underline{C} , which contain n_A factors A , for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. The number of these products is finite. We choose one of these products and call it *product of F*. For example, F is function defined as follows:

$F(A) = 2, F(B) = 1, F(C) = 0$ if $C \neq A$ and $C \neq B$. Consider all products which contain two factors A and one factor B . These are $A \otimes A \otimes B, A \otimes B \otimes A, B \otimes A \otimes A$. In the set of these products we choose, for instance, $A \otimes A \otimes B$ as the product of F .

If F is a function such that $F(A) = 1$ and $F(B) = 0$ for $B \neq A$, then the product of F is A .

In the case $\mathcal{A} = \emptyset$, we say $\underline{1}$ is the product of F .

We denote the product of F by $\otimes F$.

Thus, each $F \in M\langle C^* \rangle$ has a unique product.

When we write $(\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes G)$ we mean that this is the product, in which the first factor is the product of F and the rest of G . In general, it is not a product of some function in $M\langle C^* \rangle$.

Now we consider the triplets, which have the forms (F, G, u) , where $u: \otimes F \rightarrow \otimes G$ is a morphism from the product of F to the product of G . We have the following

Proposition 1.1. We can define a category $\underline{M}\langle C \rangle$ as follows:

$$\text{Ob } \underline{M}\langle C \rangle = M\langle C^* \rangle,$$

$$\text{Hom}(F, G) = \{(F, G, u) \mid u: \otimes F \rightarrow \otimes G\}, \quad (1.1.1)$$

the composition of two morphisms is defined by the following relation:

$$(G, H, v) \circ (F, G, u) = (F, H, vu) \quad (1.1.2)$$

and the identities have the forms $(F, F, \text{id}_{\otimes F})$ (1.1.3)

Proof. In fact, we have:

$$(G, H, w) \circ ((F, G, v) \circ (E, F, u)) = (G, H, w) \circ (E, G, vu) = (E, H, w(vu)) = (E, H, (wv)u) = (F, H, wv) \circ (E, F, u) = ((G, H, w) \circ (F, G, v)) \circ (E, F, u);$$

$$(F, G, u) \circ (F, F, \text{id}_{\otimes F}) = (F, G, u \circ \text{id}_{\otimes F}) = (F, G, u);$$

$$(G, G, \text{id}_{\otimes F}) \circ (F, G, u) = (F, G, \text{id}_{\otimes F} \circ u) = (F, G, u);$$

Proposition 1.2. $\underline{M}\langle C \rangle$ is a \otimes -category, with the multiplication defined by the following relations:

$$(F \otimes G)(A) = F(A) + G(A), \quad A \in C^* \quad (1.2.1)$$

$$(E, G, u) \otimes (F, H, v) = (E \otimes F, G \otimes H, y(u \otimes v)x^{-1}), \quad (1.2.2)$$

where $x: (\otimes E) \otimes (\otimes F) \rightarrow \otimes(E \otimes F)$

$$y: (\otimes G) \otimes (\otimes H) \rightarrow \otimes(G \otimes H)$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c, id and \otimes in \underline{C} .

Proof. First from (1.1.3) and (1.2.2) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\otimes_F} \otimes \text{id}_G &= (F, F, \text{id}_{\otimes_F}) \otimes (G, G, \text{id}_{\otimes_G}) = \\ F \otimes G, F \otimes G, x(\text{id}_{\otimes_F} \otimes \text{id}_{\otimes_G} x^{-1}) &= (F \otimes G, F \otimes G, x(\text{id}_{(\otimes_F) \otimes (\otimes_G)}) x^{-1}) \\ (F \otimes G, F \otimes G, x x^{-1}) &= (F \otimes G, F \otimes G, \text{id}_{\otimes(F \otimes G)}) = \text{id}_{(F \otimes G)}. \end{aligned}$$

(where $x : (\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes G) \rightarrow \otimes(F \otimes G)$ is a morphism built up from the morphisms c , id , and \otimes in \underline{C}).

Furthermore, let

$$\alpha = (E, G, u) : E \rightarrow G, \beta = (F, H, v) : F \rightarrow H,$$

$$\gamma = (G, K, w) : G \rightarrow K, \delta = (H, L, t) : H \rightarrow L$$

and

$$x : (\otimes E) \otimes (\otimes F) \rightarrow \otimes(E \otimes F),$$

$$y : (\otimes G) \otimes (\otimes H) \rightarrow \otimes(G \otimes H),$$

$$z : (\otimes K) \otimes (\otimes L) \rightarrow \otimes(K \otimes L).$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c , id , and \otimes in \underline{C} , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma \otimes \delta)(\alpha \otimes \beta) &= ((G, K, w) \otimes (H, L, t))((E, G, u) \otimes (F, H, v)) = \\ &= (G \otimes H, K \otimes L, z(w \otimes t)y^{-1})(E \otimes F, G \otimes H, \gamma(u \otimes v)x^{-1}) = \\ &= (E \otimes F, K \otimes L, z(w \otimes t)(u \otimes v)x^{-1}) = \\ &= (E \otimes F, K \otimes L, z(wu \otimes tv)x^{-1}) = \\ &= (E, K, wu) \otimes (F, L, tv) = \\ &= ((G, K, w)(E, C, u)) \otimes ((H, L, t)(F, H, v)) = \gamma \alpha \otimes \delta \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.3. In $\underline{M}(\underline{C})$

$$F \otimes (G \otimes H) = (F \otimes G) \otimes H, \text{ for all } F, G, H \in \text{ob } \underline{M}(\underline{C})$$

$$\text{and } a_{F, G, H} = \text{id}_{F \otimes G \otimes H} : F \otimes (G \otimes H) \rightarrow (F \otimes G) \otimes H$$

is the associative constraint.

Proof. First, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (F \otimes (G \otimes H))(A) &= F(A) + (G \otimes H)(A) = F(A) + (G(A) + H(A)) = \\ &= (F(A) + G(A)) + H(A) = (F \otimes G)(A) + H(A) = \\ &= ((F \otimes G) \otimes H)(A), A \in C^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that $a_{F, G, H} = \text{id}_{F \otimes G \otimes H}$ is an isomorphism of trifunctors.

Let

$$\alpha = (F, F', u), \beta = (G, G', v), \gamma = (H, H', w)$$

and

$$t : (\otimes G) \otimes (\otimes H) \rightarrow \otimes(G \otimes H),$$

$$x : (\otimes G') \otimes (\otimes H') \rightarrow \otimes(G' \otimes H'),$$

$$y : (\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes(G \otimes H)) \rightarrow \otimes(F \otimes (G \otimes H))$$

$$z : (\otimes F') \otimes (\otimes(G' \otimes H')) \rightarrow \otimes(F' \otimes (G' \otimes H')),$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma) &= (F, F', u) \otimes ((G, G', v) \otimes (H, H', w)) = \\ &= (F, F', u) \otimes (G \otimes H, G' \otimes H', x(v \otimes w)t^{-1}) = \\ &= (F \otimes (G \otimes H), F' \otimes (G' \otimes H'), z(u \otimes x(v \otimes w)t^{-1})y^{-1}) = \\ &= (F \otimes (G \otimes H), F' \otimes (G' \otimes H'), z(\text{id} \otimes x)(u \otimes (v \otimes w))(\text{id} \otimes t^{-1})y^{-1}) = \\ &= ((F \otimes G) \otimes H, F' \otimes (G' \otimes H'), z(\text{id} \otimes x)((u \otimes v) \otimes w)(\text{id} \otimes t^{-1})y^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

since $u \otimes (v \otimes w) = (u \otimes v) \otimes w$ in the \otimes -strict AU category \underline{C} .

In the other hand, if;

$$p: (\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes G) \rightarrow \otimes(F \otimes G),$$

$$q: (\otimes F') \otimes (\otimes G') \rightarrow \otimes(F' \otimes G'),$$

$$r: (\otimes(F \otimes G)) \otimes (\otimes H) \rightarrow \otimes((F \otimes G) \otimes H),$$

$$s: (\otimes(F' \otimes G')) \otimes (\otimes H') \rightarrow \otimes((F' \otimes G') \otimes H'),$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma &= ((F, F', u) \otimes (G, G', v)) \otimes (H, H', w) = \\ &= (F \otimes G, F' \otimes G', q(u \otimes v)p^{-1}) \otimes (H, H', w) = \\ &= ((F \otimes G) \otimes H, (F' \otimes G') \otimes H', s(q(u \otimes v)p^{-1} \otimes w)r^{-1}) = \\ &= ((F \otimes G) \otimes H, (F' \otimes G') \otimes H', s(q \otimes \text{id})((u \otimes v) \otimes w)(p \otimes \text{id})r^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since \underline{C} is a \otimes -strict AU category,

$$(\otimes F) \otimes ((\otimes G) \otimes (\otimes H)) = ((\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes G)) \otimes (\otimes H),$$

$$(\otimes F') \otimes ((\otimes G') \otimes (\otimes H')) = ((\otimes F') \otimes (\otimes G')) \otimes (\otimes H'),$$

and the morphisms $y(\text{id} \otimes t)$ and $r(p \otimes \text{id})$ are the morphisms from $(\otimes F) \otimes ((\otimes G) \otimes (\otimes H))$ to $\otimes(F \otimes G \otimes H)$ built up from c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} . Hence

$$y(\text{id} \otimes t) = r(p \otimes \text{id}).$$

Similarly, we have:

$$z(\text{id} \otimes x) = s(q \otimes \text{id}).$$

Thus

$$\alpha \otimes (\beta \otimes \gamma) = (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes \gamma.$$

Proposition 1.4. In $\underline{M}(\underline{C})$,

$$F \otimes G = G \otimes F$$

and $c_{F, G} = \text{id}_{F \otimes G} : F \otimes G \rightarrow G \otimes F$ is the commutativity constraint.

Proof. In fact,

$$(F \otimes G)(A) = F(A) \dagger G(A) = G(A) \dagger F(A) = (G \otimes F)(A), \quad A \in C^*.$$

Furthermore, let $\alpha = (F, F', u)$, $\beta = (G, G', v)$, and

$$x: (\otimes F) \otimes (\otimes G) \rightarrow \otimes(F \otimes G), \quad y: (\otimes F') \otimes (\otimes G') \rightarrow \otimes(F' \otimes G')$$

are the morphisms built up from c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \otimes \beta &= (F, F', u) \otimes (G, G', v) = (F \otimes G, F' \otimes G', y(u \otimes v)x^{-1}) = \\ &= (F \otimes G, F' \otimes G', y c_{\otimes G', \otimes F'} (v \otimes u) c_{\otimes F, \otimes G} x^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But $y c_{\otimes G', \otimes F'}$ and $x c_{\otimes G, \otimes F}$ also are the morphisms built up from c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} ,

$$\alpha \otimes \beta = (F \otimes G, F' \otimes G', y c_{\otimes G', \otimes F'} (v \otimes u) c_{\otimes F, \otimes G} x^{-1}) = \beta \otimes \alpha,$$

i.e. $\text{id}_{F \otimes G}$ is an isomorphism of bifunctors.

Proposition 1.5. In $\underline{M}(\underline{C})$

$$F \otimes \Gamma_1 = F = \Gamma_1 \otimes F,$$

where Γ_1 is a function such that $\Gamma_1(A) = 0$ for all $A \in C^*$.

and $g_F = id_F = d_F$ are isomorphisms of functors, i.e. (Γ_1, id, id) is an unity constraint.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (F \otimes \Gamma_1)(A) &= F(A) + \Gamma_1(A) = F(A) + 0 = F(A) = 0 + F(A) = \\ &= \Gamma_1(A) + F(A) = (\Gamma_1 \otimes F)(A), \quad A \in C^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\alpha = (F, F', u)$, we have:

$$\alpha \otimes id_{\Gamma_1} = (F, F', u) \otimes (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_1, id_1) = (F \otimes \Gamma_1, F' \otimes \Gamma_1, y(u \otimes id_1) x^{-1}),$$

$$\text{where } x : \underline{1} \otimes (\otimes F) = (\otimes \Gamma_1) \otimes (\otimes F) \rightarrow \otimes (\Gamma_1 \otimes F) = \otimes F,$$

$$y : \underline{1} \otimes (\otimes F') = (\otimes \Gamma_1) \otimes (\otimes F') \rightarrow \otimes (\Gamma_1 \otimes F') = \otimes F',$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c, id and \otimes in \underline{C} . Since \underline{C} is \otimes -strict AU category, then:

$$u \otimes id_1 = u, \quad \underline{1} \otimes (\otimes F) = \otimes F, \quad \underline{1} \otimes (\otimes F') = \otimes F',$$

$$x = id_{\otimes F}, \quad y = id_{\otimes F'}.$$

It follows:

$$\alpha \otimes id_{\Gamma_1} = (F, F', u) = \alpha.$$

Finally, it is easy to see that $\underline{M}\langle \underline{C} \rangle$ is \otimes -strict ACU category, i.e. we have

Proposition 1.6. $\underline{M}\langle \underline{C} \rangle$ is a \otimes -strict ACU category.

2. THEOREM

Now we prove the main theorem of this paper,

Theorem 2.1. Let \underline{C} be a \otimes -ACU category which is strict AU and the commutativity constraint c satisfies the condition (0. 1). i. e.

$$c_{A,A} = id_A \otimes_A, \quad \text{for all } A \in \text{Ob}\underline{C}.$$

Then \underline{C} is \otimes -ACU equivalent to the \otimes -strict ACU category $\underline{M}\langle \underline{C} \rangle$.

Proof. We define the functor

$$\Gamma : \underline{C} \rightarrow \underline{M}\langle \underline{C} \rangle$$

by the following relations:

$$\Gamma(A) = \Gamma_A, \tag{2.1.1}$$

where Γ_A is the function such that $\Gamma_A(A) = 1, \Gamma_A(B) = 0$ for all $B \neq A$, if $A \neq 1$; and Γ_1 is the function such that $\Gamma_1(A) = 0$ for all $A \in C^*$.

$$\Gamma(u) = (\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B, u) : u : A \rightarrow B. \tag{2.1.2}$$

It is easy to see that Γ so defined is a functor. In fact,

$$\Gamma(id_A) = (\Gamma_A, \Gamma_A, id_A) = id_{\Gamma_A}, \quad \Gamma(vu) = (\Gamma_A, \Gamma_C, vu) = (\Gamma_B, \Gamma_C, v)(\Gamma_A, \Gamma_B, u) = \Gamma(v)\Gamma(u).$$

For a pair $A, B \in \text{Ob } \underline{C}$, we define an isomorphism of bifunctors $\check{\Gamma}_{A,B}$ as follows;

$$\check{\Gamma}_{A,B} = (\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B, \mathbf{x}), \quad (2.1.3)$$

where'
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{A \otimes B}, & \text{if } A \otimes B = \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B), \\ c_{A,B}, & \text{if } B \otimes A = \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B). \end{cases}$$

It follows from (2.1.3):

$$\check{\Gamma}_{A,1} = (\Gamma_{A \otimes 1}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_1, \text{id}_A) = (\Gamma_A, \Gamma_A, \text{id}_A) = \Gamma_1 \otimes A, \Gamma_1 \otimes L_A, \text{id}_A) = \check{\Gamma}_{1,A} \quad (2.1.4)$$

because in $\underline{M}(\underline{C})$ $\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_A = \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_A$ and in \underline{C} $A \otimes 1 = A = 1 \otimes A$, $c_{1,A} = \text{id}_A = c_{A,1}$.

From (0.1) and (2.1.3) it follows:

$$\check{\Gamma}_{A,A} = (\Gamma_{A \otimes A}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_A, \text{id}_{A \otimes A}),$$

$\check{\Gamma}$ is an isomorphism of bifunctors. In fact, first it is easy to see that the inverse

$$\text{of } \check{\Gamma}_{A,B} \text{ is } \check{\Gamma}_{A,B}^{-1} = (\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B, \Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}) \quad (2.1.5)$$

Moreover, suppose $u: A \rightarrow C$, $v: B \rightarrow D$ are the morphisms in \underline{C} . Applying the relations (1.1.2), (2.1.2) and (2.1.5) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma}(u) \otimes \check{\Gamma}(v) &= (\Gamma_A, \Gamma_C, u) \otimes (\Gamma_B, \Gamma_D, v) = (\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B, \Gamma_C \otimes \Gamma_D, y(u \otimes v) \mathbf{x}^{-1}) = \\ &= (\Gamma_{C \otimes D}, \Gamma_C \otimes \Gamma_D, y) (\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_{C \otimes D}, u \otimes v) (\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B, \Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \mathbf{x}^{-1}) \\ &= \check{\Gamma}_{C,D} \check{\Gamma}(u \otimes v) \check{\Gamma}_{A,B}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\check{\Gamma}, \check{\Gamma})$ is a \otimes -functor. It is compatible with the associativity constraints. In fact, it follows from the relations (1.1.2), (1.1.3), (1.2.2), (2.1.2) and (2.1.5):

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\Gamma}_{A,B} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma_C}) \check{\Gamma}_{A \otimes B, C} &= ((\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B, \mathbf{x}) \otimes (\Gamma_C, \Gamma_C, \text{id}_C)) (\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_{A \otimes B} \otimes \Gamma_C, y) = \\ &= (\Gamma_{A \otimes B} \otimes \Gamma_C, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, z(\mathbf{x} \otimes \text{id}_C) y^{-1}) (\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_{A \otimes B} \otimes \Gamma_C, y) = \\ &= (\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, z(\mathbf{x} \otimes \text{id}_C)), \end{aligned}$$

where $x: A \otimes B \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B)$, $y: (A \otimes B) \otimes C \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_{A \otimes B} \otimes \Gamma_C)$,

$$z: (\otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B)) \otimes \Gamma_C \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} .

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{id}_{\Gamma_A} \otimes \check{\Gamma}_{B,C}) \check{\Gamma}_{A, B \otimes C} &= ((\Gamma_A, \Gamma_A, \text{id}_A) \otimes (\Gamma_{B \otimes C}, \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, t)) \check{\Gamma}_{A, B \otimes C} = \\ &= (\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_{B \otimes C}, \Gamma_A (\Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C), v(\text{id}_A \otimes t) u^{-1}) (\Gamma_{A \otimes (B \otimes C)}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_{B \otimes C}, u) \\ &= (\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, v(\text{id}_A \otimes t)), \end{aligned}$$

where $t: B \otimes C \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)$, $u: A \otimes (B \otimes C) \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)$,

$$v: A \otimes (\otimes(\Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)) \rightarrow \otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)$$

are the morphisms built up from the morphisms c , id and \otimes in \underline{C} .

Since $z(\mathbf{x} \otimes \text{id}_C)$ and $v(\text{id}_A \otimes t)$ are morphisms from $(A \otimes B) \otimes C$ to $\otimes(\Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C)$ we have

$$z(\mathbf{x} \otimes \text{id}_C) = v(\text{id}_A \otimes t).$$

Therefore

$$(\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, z(x \otimes \text{id}_C)) = (\Gamma_{A \otimes B \otimes C}, \Gamma_A \otimes \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_C, v(\text{id}_A \otimes t)), \text{ i.e.}$$

$$(\check{\Gamma}_{A, B} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma_C}) \check{\Gamma}_{A \otimes B, C} = (\text{id}_{\Gamma_A} \otimes \check{\Gamma}_{B, C}) \check{\Gamma}_{A, B \otimes C}$$

or the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Gamma(A \otimes (B \otimes C)) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Gamma}_{A, B \otimes C}} & \Gamma A \otimes \Gamma(B \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\Gamma A} \otimes \check{\Gamma}_{B, C}} & \Gamma A \otimes (\Gamma B \otimes \Gamma C) \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ \Gamma((A \otimes B) \otimes C) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Gamma}_{A \otimes B, C}} & \Gamma(A \otimes B) \otimes \Gamma C & \xrightarrow{\check{\Gamma}_{A, B} \otimes \text{id}_{\Gamma C}} & (\Gamma A \otimes \Gamma B) \otimes \Gamma C \end{array}$$

Now we prove that $(\Gamma, \check{\Gamma})$ is compatible with the commutativity constraints. It follows from (2.1.2), (2.1.3)

$$\check{\Gamma}_{B, A} \Gamma(c_{A, B}) = (\Gamma_{B \otimes A}, \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_A, y)(\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_{B \otimes A}, c_{A, B}) = (\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_A, y c_{A, B}).$$

Since y is $\text{id}_{B \otimes A}$ or $c_{B, A}$, then $x = y c_{A, B}$ is $c_{A, B}$ or $\text{id}_{A \otimes B}$. Therefore

$$\check{\Gamma}_{B, A} \Gamma(c_{A, B}) = (\Gamma_{A \otimes B}, \Gamma_B \otimes \Gamma_A, y c_{A, B}) = \check{\Gamma}_{A, B};$$

i.e. the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma(A \otimes B) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Gamma}_{A, B}} & \Gamma A \otimes \Gamma B \\ \downarrow \Gamma(c_{A, B}) & & \parallel \\ \Gamma(B \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Gamma}_{B, A}} & \Gamma B \otimes \Gamma A \end{array}$$

Since $\Gamma(1) = \Gamma_1$ is an unit object of $\underline{M}(C)$, the \otimes -functor $(\Gamma, \check{\Gamma})$ is compatible with the unity constraints (Chi I, §4, n^o2, prop. 8, [2]).

By definition of Γ we immediately see that it is a full representative, faithful functor because the correspondance $u \mapsto \Gamma(u)$ is a bijection from $\text{Hom}_{\underline{C}}(A, B)$ to $\text{Hom}_{\underline{M}(C)}(\Gamma A, \Gamma B)$ and every $F \in \text{Ob } \underline{M}(C)$ is in the form $F = \Gamma A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \Gamma A_n$, hence $F \simeq \Gamma(A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_n)$.

Thus, $(\Gamma, \check{\Gamma})$ is a \otimes -ACU equivalence and the theorem is proved.

Combining this theorem and theorem 2.7 [6] we obtain:

Theorem 2.2 Every \otimes -ACU category A in which the commutativity constraint c satisfies the condition (0.1), i.e. $c_{A, A} = \text{id}_{A \otimes A}$, for all $A \in \text{Ob } A$, is \otimes -ACU equivalent to a \otimes -strict ACU category

Proof. Suppose that A is a \otimes -ACU category with condition (0.1). Then by theorem 2.7 [6] there exists a \otimes -ACU-equivalence

$$(\Phi, \check{\Phi}) : A \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}(A_d).$$

in which $\underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d)$ is a \otimes -ACU category and a \otimes -strict AU category. Furthermore, $\underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d)$ satisfies also the condition (0. 1). In fact, by proposition 8, §5. Ch. I, [2], for $(F, \check{F}) \in \text{Ob } \underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d)$, $c_{(F, \check{F}), (F, \check{F})}$ is defined by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi((F, \check{F}) \otimes (F, \check{F})) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Psi}_{(F, \check{F}), (F, \check{F})}} & \Psi(F, \check{F}) \otimes \Psi(F, \check{F}) \\ \Psi(c_{(F, \check{F}), (F, \check{F})}) \downarrow & & \downarrow c_{F1, F1} = \text{id}_{F1} \otimes F1 \\ \Psi((F, \check{F}) \otimes (F, \check{F})) & \xrightarrow{\check{\Psi}_{(F, \check{F}), (F, \check{F})}} & \Psi(F, \check{F}) \otimes (F, \check{F}) \end{array}$$

It follows immediately:

$$c_{(F, \check{F}), (F, \check{F})} = \text{id}_{(F, \check{F}) \otimes (F, \check{F})}$$

Thus, by theorem 2.1, we have:

$$(\Gamma, \check{\Gamma}) : \underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d) \cong \underline{M}(\underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d)).$$

Finally, we get a \otimes -ACU equivalence

$$(\Gamma\Phi, \check{\Gamma}\check{\Phi}) : \underline{A} \cong \underline{M} \underline{\text{End}}(\underline{A}_d)$$

and this establishes the theorem.

Received November 8, 1978

REFERENCES

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg. *Homological Algebra* - Princeton τ (in Russian 1960)
2. Hoàng Xuân Sinh - *Gr-category* - Doctorat Dissertation - 1975,
3. S. MacLane - *Homology* - (in Russian, 1966)
4. S. MacLane - *Categorical Algebra* - Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 71. 1965.
5. B. Mitchell - *Theory of Categories* - Academic Press 1965.
6. Nguyễn duy Thuận - \otimes -strict AU categories, Acta Math, Viet, T. 5, N^o 1(1980).