## DUAL INTEGRAL EQUATIONS INVOLVING FOURIER TRANSFORMS WITH INCREASING SYMBOLS

### NGUYEN VAN NGOC

Abstract. The aim of the present work is to propose a method for investigating and solving dual integral equations involving Fourier transfom with increasing symbols.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be the real axis,  $S(\mathbb{R})$  and  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  be the L. Schwartz spaces of test and generalized functions, respectively (see [8, 15]). Denote by F and  $F^{-1}$  the direct and inverse Fourier transforms defined on  $S'(\mathbb{R})$ , respectively. The classical Fourier transforms  $F$  and  $F^{-1}$  are defined by the formulas

$$
F[u](\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x)e^{i\xi x} dx, \quad F^{-1}[v](x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} v(x)e^{-i\xi x} d\xi.
$$

The Sobolev-Slobodeskii space  $H^s(\mathbb{R})(s \in \mathbb{R})$  is defined as the closure of the set  $C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support with respect to the norm (cf. [8])

$$
||u||_{s} = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+\xi^2)^s |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi\right]^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \hat{u} = F[u].
$$

For a certain bounded interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the subspace of  $H<sup>s</sup>(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of functions  $u(x)$  with supp  $u \subset [a, b]$  is denoted by  $H_o^s(a, b)$ , while the space of functions  $v(x) = ru(x)$ , where  $u \in H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$  and r is the restriction operator to  $(a, b)$  is denoted by  $H<sup>s</sup>(a, b)$ . The norm in  $H<sup>s</sup>(a, b)$  is defined by

$$
||v||_{H^s(a,b)} = \inf_l ||v||_s,
$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible extentions  $lv \in H<sup>s</sup>(\mathbb{R})$ .

Let us consider the dual equation

(1.1) 
$$
\begin{cases} F^{-1}[\xi]^p A(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = f(x), & x \in (a, b), \\ F^{-1}[\hat{u}(\xi)](x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (a, b), \end{cases}
$$

Received January 14, 2008; in revised form June 25, 2008.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 45H05, 42A38, 46F05, 46F10, 47G30.

Key words and phrases. Boundary value problems of mathematical physics, integral transforms, dual equations.

## 306 NGUYEN VAN NGOC

where  $\hat{u} \in S'(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  is the unknown function,  $f(x)$  is a given function in  $H^{-p/2}(a, b), p \ge 0$  is an integer. Concerning the function  $A(\xi)$  we make the following assumptions:

i) 
$$
A(\xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad A(-\xi) = A(\xi), \quad \text{Re}A(\xi) \ge 0, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},
$$
  
ii)  $L(\xi) = 1 - A(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-q}), \quad |\xi| \to \infty, \quad q \gg 1.$ 

The dual equation (1.1) is a generalisation of some cases encountered in mixed boundary value problems of mathematical physics and contact problems of elasticity (see for example,  $[1, 2, 9, 13, 15]$ ). The case  $p = 1$  was considered in  $[10]$ and the case  $p = -m$ , where m is positive number was considered in [12].

The aim of the present work is to propose a method for investigating and solving the dual equation  $(1.1)$  for an arbitrary non-negative integer p. Depending on whether  $p$  is an odd or even number, we shall reduce this dual equation to equivalent Fredholm integral equations of second type.

We get the following result which has been proved in [11].

**Theorem 1.1.** If  $f(x) \in H^{-p/2}(a, b)$ , then under asumptions i) and ii) the dual equation has a unique solution  $u = F^{-1}[\hat{u}] \in H_0^{p/2}(a, b)$ .

## 2. Some preliminary considerations

Let  $J = (a, b)$  be a certain bounded interval,  $\varphi(x) \in L^1(a, b)$  and m a positive integer. The differential operator of negative order  $D^{-m}_{\mathcal{I}}$  $j^m$  is defined by the following formula (see [4]):

(2.1) 
$$
D_J^{-m}[\varphi](x) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{m-1} \varphi(t) dt, \ \ x \in J = (a, b),
$$

where  $\Gamma(m)$  is the Gamma-function. It is known that  $D^{-m}_{I}$  $J^{m}[\varphi] \in C^{m-1}[a,b]$  and

(2.2) 
$$
D^{m} D_{J}^{-m} [\varphi](x) = \varphi(x), \quad \lim_{m \to 0} D_{J}^{-m} [\varphi](x) = \varphi(x),
$$

(2.3) 
$$
D_J^{-m} D^m[\varphi](x) = \varphi(x) + P_{m-1}(x),
$$

where  $D^m = \frac{d^m}{dx^m}$  $\frac{d}{dx^m}$ ,  $P_{m-1}(x)$  is an arbitrary polynomial of degree  $m-1$ .

Extensions of the operator  $D^{-m}_{\bar{J}}$  $J^{m}(m > 0)$  for generalized functions can be found in [7, 16].

We introduce the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_m(a, b)$  the class of all functions  $\varphi \in L^1(a, b)$ , supp $(\varphi) \subset$  $[a, b]$ , satisfying the conditions

(2.4) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) x^{k} dx = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, ..., m - 1).
$$

Obviously, the conditions (2.4) are equivalent to the following

(2.5) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) Q_{k}(x) dx = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1),
$$

where  $Q_k(x)$  are arbitrary polynomials of degree k. As an immediate consequence of the formula (2.4), we note the following equalities

(2.6) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)(x-t)^{k} dt = 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, ..., m-1), \quad -\infty < x < \infty,
$$

$$
(2.7)\ \int_a^x \varphi(t)(x-t)^k dt = -\int_x^b \varphi(t)(x-t)^k dt \ \ (k=0,1,...,m-1), \ \ a \leq x \leq b.
$$

For  $\varphi \in L^1(a, b)$  we introduce the operator

(2.8) 
$$
K_m[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(x-t)^{m-1} \operatorname{sign}(x-t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

We get the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m(a, b)$ , then

1) 
$$
K_m[\varphi](x) = 0 \quad x \notin (a, b),
$$
  
\n2)  $K_m[\varphi](x) \equiv D_J^{-m}[\varphi](x) \quad a \leq x \leq b,$   
\n3)  $F[\varphi](\xi) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad F[\varphi](\xi) = O(|\xi|^k) \quad (\xi \to 0, \quad k \geq m),$   
\n4)  $F[K_m[\varphi]](\xi) = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^m} F[\varphi](\xi) \quad (\xi \neq 0),$   
\n $F[K_m[\varphi]](0) = \frac{1}{m\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(b-t)^m dt.$ 

*Proof.* The assertions 1)-3) hold in virtue of  $(2.4)$ ,  $(2.6)$  and  $(2.7)$ . We prove the assertion 4). The cases  $\xi = 0$  is clear. For the cases  $\xi \neq 0$ , we have

$$
F[K_m[\varphi]](\xi) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t) e^{i\xi t} dt \int_0^{b-t} e^{i\xi \lambda} \lambda^{m-1} d\lambda.
$$

Using the formula

$$
\int_0^{b-t} e^{i\xi \lambda} \lambda^{m-1} d\lambda = \frac{\Gamma(m)}{(-i\xi)^m} + \Gamma(m) e^{i\xi(b-t)} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k (b-t)^{m-1-k}}{(i\xi)^{k+1} (m-1-k)!},
$$

we have

(2.9) 
$$
F[K_m[\varphi]](\xi) = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^m} \int_a^b \varphi(t)e^{i\xi t} dt +
$$
  
+ 
$$
e^{i\xi b} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(i\xi)^{k+1}(m-1-k)!} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(b-t)^{m-1-k} dt.
$$

Since  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m^o(a, b)$ , from (2.9) the assertion 4) follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Denote by  $\hat{C}^m_o(a, b)$  the class of continuous functions  $u(x) \in$  $S'(\mathbb{R})$ , such that  $u(x) \in C^{m-1}[a,b], \quad u^{(k)}(x) = 0 \ (k = 0,1,\ldots,m-1), \quad x \notin$  $(a, b), u^{(m)}(x) \in L^2(a, b).$ 

**Theorem 2.2.** In order that  $u(x)$  belongs to the class  $\hat{C}_o^m(a,b)$  it is necessary and sufficient that it is representable in the form  $(2.8)$ , *i.e.* 

$$
(2.10) \ \ u(x) = K_m[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(x-t)^{m-1} \text{sign}(x-t)dt, \ \ \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m(a,b).
$$

Proof. The sufficiency holds in virtue of Lemma 2.1. Now we prove the necessity. Let  $u(x) \in \hat{C}_o^m(a, b)$ . We put  $\varphi(x) = D^m u(x)$ . Obviously,  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and supp $(\varphi) \subset [a, b]$ . Integrating by parts, taking into account that  $u(x) \in \hat{C}_o^m(a, b)$ , we have

(2.11) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{j} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{a}^{b} x^{j} D^{m} u(x) dx = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, ..., m - 1),
$$

it means that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m^o(a, b)$ . Due to  $(2.2)$ ,  $(2.3)$  we have

(2.12) 
$$
u(x) = D_J^{-m}[\varphi](x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j x^j, \quad x \in [a, b],
$$

where  $c_j$  are arbitrary constants. Since  $u^{(k)}(x)$  and  $D_j^{-(m-k)}$  $J^{-(m-k)}[\varphi](x)$   $(k = 0, 1, \ldots,$  $m-1$ ) are equal to zero on a and b, from (2.12) it follows that  $c_j = 0$  (j =  $0, 1, \ldots, m-1$ . Thus we have

(2.13) 
$$
u(x) = D_J^{-m}[\varphi](x), \ \ x \in [a, b].
$$

Using  $(2.1)$ ,  $(2.7)$  and  $(2.13)$  we get  $(2.10)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** By  $L^{p\pm 0}(a, b)$  we denote the classes of functions f belonging to  $L^{p\pm \varepsilon}$  respectively for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$   $(p - \varepsilon \ge 1)$ . If the interval  $(a, b)$  is bounded, then the symbol  $L^{p-0}(a, b)$  denotes the set of functions f belonging to  $L^q(a,b), \ 1 \leqslant q < p.$ 

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\rho(x) = \sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}$   $(a < x < b)$ . We denote by  $L^2_{\rho^{\pm 1}}(a, b)$  the Hilbert spaces of functions with respect to the scalar product and the norm

$$
(u,v)_{L^{\rho^{\pm 1}}} = \int_a^b \rho^{\pm 1}(x) u(x) \overline{v(x)} dx, \quad ||u||_{L_{\rho^{\pm 1}}} = \sqrt{(u,u)_{L_{\rho^{\pm 1}}}} < +\infty.
$$

The following lemma holds.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\varphi \in L^2_{\rho}(a,b)$ . Denote by  $\varphi_0$  the zero-extension of the function  $\varphi$  on R. Then,  $\varphi_0 \in H_o^{-1/2}(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Using Holder inequality one can prove that  $L^2_{\rho}(a, b) \subset L^{4/3-0}(a, b)$ . Therefore, the function  $\varphi_0 \in L^{4/3-0}(\mathbb{R})$ . Due to Hausdorff-Young theorem [14] we have  $\hat{\varphi}_0(\xi) := F[\varphi_o](\xi) \in L^{4+0}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence

$$
(2.14) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{\varphi}_o(\xi)|^2}{1+|\xi|} d\xi \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\varphi}_o|^{2q} d\xi\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi|)^{q/(q-1)}}\right)^{(q-1)/q}
$$

where  $q = 2 + \varepsilon$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ). From (2.14), we have  $\varphi_o \in H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ , hence  $\varphi_o \in$  $H_o^{-1/2}(a, b).$ 

In the spaces  $L_2^{\rho^{\pm 1}}$  $e^{\rho+1}(a, b)$  we consider the singular integral operator

$$
S_J[\varphi](x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi(t)}{x - t} dt, \quad x \in J = (a, b),
$$

where the integral is taken in the sense of Cauchy principal value. The following theorem is due to Khvedelidze and Duduchava [5].

**Theorem 2.4.** The operator  $S_J$  is bounded in the spaces  $L^2_{\rho^{\pm 1}}(a, b)$ .

In the sequel we shall need the following inverse formula for the Cauchy integral [6].

**Theorem 2.5.** Under the assumption that  $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho}(a, b) \cap H^{1/2}(a, b)$  the integral equation

(2.15) 
$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t - x} dt = f(x)
$$

in the  $L^2_{\rho}(a,b)$  has the solution

(2.16) 
$$
\varphi(x) = -\frac{1}{\pi \rho(x)} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(t)\rho(t)}{t-x} dt + \frac{C}{\rho(x)},
$$

where C is an arbitrary constant. Besides, if  $f(x) \in L^2_{\rho^{-1}}(a, b)$  and the following condition holds

(2.17) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \frac{f(x)dx}{\rho(x)} = 0,
$$

then the integral equation (2.15) has a unique solution in  $L^2_{\rho^{-1}}(a,b)$ , defined by the formula

(2.18) 
$$
\varphi(x) = -\frac{\rho(x)}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{f(t)dt}{\rho(t)(t-x)}.
$$

,

### 310 NGUYEN VAN NGOC

## 3. EQUATION WITH THE SYMBOL  $|\xi|^{2m}A(\xi)$

In this section we consider the dual equation (1.1) for the case  $p = 2m$ , where  $m$  is a non-negative integer. Using the formulas

$$
|\xi|^{2m} = (-1)^m (-i\xi)^{2m}, \quad F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^k \hat{u}](x) = D_x^k F^{-1}[\hat{u}](x)
$$

we can write (1.1) in the form

(3.1) 
$$
\begin{cases} D^m F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^m A(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = (-1)^m f(x), & x \in (a, b), \\ u(x) := F^{-1}[\hat{u}](x) = 0, & x \notin (a, b). \end{cases}
$$

Note that due to Theorem 1.1 the dual equation (3.1) for  $f \in H^{-m}(a, b)$  has a unique solution  $u = F^{-1}[\hat{u}] \in H_o^m(a, b)$ . According to imbedding theorems [17], we have  $u \in \hat{C}_o^m(a, b)$ . Then, in virtue of Theorem 2.2 the function  $u(x)$  can be represented by the formula (2.10):

$$
u(x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(x-t)^{m-1} \text{sign}(x-t)dt, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m^o(a,b)
$$

and its Fourier transform has the form

(3.2) 
$$
\hat{u}(\xi) = F[u](\xi) = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^m} \int_a^b e^{i\xi t} \varphi(t) dt = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^m} F[\varphi](\xi).
$$

We shall find the function  $\varphi(t)$  in the space  $H_o^0(a, b)$ . Note that, the space  $H_o^0(a, b)$  consists of functions belonging to the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , with supports in [a, b]. From Theorem 2.2 we see that, if  $\varphi(t) \in H_0^0(a, b) \cap \mathcal{O}_m(a, b)$ , then the function  $u(x)$  defined by the formula (2.10) belongs to the space  $H_o^m(a, b)$ .

For convenience, we take the conditions (2.5) in the form

(3.3) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) P_{k}[\xi(x)] dx = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1),
$$

where  $P_k(\xi)$  are Legendre polynomials of order k and

(3.4) 
$$
\xi(x) = \frac{2x - (a+b)}{b-a}
$$

We have

(3.5) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} P_{m}[\xi(x)] P_{n}[\xi(x)] dx = 0 \ (m \neq n), \quad \int_{a}^{b} P_{m}^{2}[\xi(x)] dx = \frac{b-a}{2m+1}.
$$

Now we turn to the dual equation (3.1). Since  $f \in H^{-m}(a, b)$ , there exists  $D^{-m}_I$  $J^{m} f$  introduced in the previous section. Note that the function  $F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^{m} A(\xi)]$  $\hat{u}(\xi)|(x)$  belongs to  $L^2(a,b)$ , therefore there exists its extension belonging to the space  $S'(\mathbb{R})$  with support in  $[a, +\infty)$ . Within  $J = (a, b)$  the operator  $D^m$  can be considered as the operator  $D_J^m$ .

.

Now applying the operator  $D^{-m}_{I}$  $J^m$  to the both sides of the first equation in (3.1), in view of the formula (2.3) we obtain

(3.6) 
$$
F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^m A(\xi)\hat{u}(\xi)](x) = (-1)^m D_J^{-m} f(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j P_j[\xi(x)],
$$

where  $a_j$  are arbitrary constants,  $P_j(\xi)$  are Legendre polynomials and the function  $\xi(x)$  is defined by the formula (3.4). Now in (3.6) we substitute  $A(\xi)$  and  $\hat{u}(\xi)$ by using the condition ii) in Section 1 and the formula (3.2) respectively. After some transformations we obtain the following integral equation

(3.7) 
$$
\varphi(x) - \int_a^b l(x-t)\varphi(t)dt = g(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j P_j[\xi(x)],
$$

where

$$
l(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} L(\xi) \cos(x\xi) d\xi, \quad g(x) = D_J^{-m}[(-1)^m f](x).
$$

Using  $(3.7)$  and  $(3.5)$ , fulfiling the conditions  $(3.3)$  we have

(3.8) 
$$
a_j = -\frac{2j+1}{b-a} \Big( \int_a^b g(y) P_j[\xi(y)] dy + \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \int_a^b l(y-t) P_j[\xi(y)] dy \Big).
$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) we have the following integral equation

(3.9) 
$$
\varphi(x) - \int_a^b K(x, t)\varphi(t)dt = h(x), \quad x \in (a, b),
$$

where

(3.10) 
$$
h(x) = g(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2j+1}{b-a} \int_a^b g(y) P_j[\xi(y)] dy \right) P_j[\xi(x)],
$$

(3.11) 
$$
K(x,t) = l(x-t) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left( \frac{2j+1}{b-a} \int_a^b l(y-t) P_j[\xi(y)] dy \right) P_j[\xi(x)].
$$

We now verify that the solution  $\varphi$  of the integral equation (3.9) satisfies the conditions (3.3). Indeed, from (3.9)-(3.11) for  $k = 0, 1, ..., m - 1$ , we get

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) P_{k}[\xi(x)] dx - \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) dt \int_{a}^{b} l(x-t) P_{k}[\xi(x)] dx +
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) dt \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2j+1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} l(y-t) P_{j}[\xi(y)] dy. \int_{a}^{b} P_{j}[\xi(x)] P_{k}[\xi(x)] dx
$$
  
(3.12)

$$
= \int_{a}^{b} g(x)P_{k}[\xi(x)]dx - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{2j+1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} g(y)P_{j}[\xi(y)]dy. \int_{a}^{b} P_{j}[\xi(x)]P_{k}[\xi(x)]dx.
$$

Using  $(3.5)$ , from  $(3.12)$  we have

$$
\int_a^b \varphi(x) P_k[\xi(x)] dx = 0 \ (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - 1),
$$

that is, the conditions (3.3) are fulfilled.

Thus, we have proved the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** The dual equation (3.1) considered in  $H_o^m(a, b)$  with respect to  $u = F^{-1}[\hat{u}]$  is equivalent to the Fredholm integral equation (3.9) with respect to  $\varphi(x) \in L^2(a,b)$ . Hence, according to the theory of Fredholm equations, this equation has a unique solution in  $L^2(a, b)$ , if  $g(x) = D^{-m}$  $J^{m}[(-1)^{m}f](x) \in L^{2}(a,b).$ In this case, the solution with respect to  $u = F^{-1}[\hat{u}]$  of the dual integral equation  $(3.1)$  in  $H_o^m(a, b)$  is given by the formula  $(2.10)$ :

$$
u(x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m)} \int_a^b \varphi(t)(x-t)^{m-1} \operatorname{sign}(x-t)dt, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{O}_m(a,b).
$$

Let us consider the following example. For simplicity, let  $A(\xi) \equiv 1$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $J = (-1,1)$ ,  $|\xi|^{2m} A(\xi) \equiv |\xi|^4$ ,  $f(x) = Q \delta_J(x)$ , where  $Q =$ const,  $\delta_J(x)$  is the restriction of the  $\delta$ -function on J. In this case the dual integral equation (3.1) is equivalent to the following boundary value problem

(3.13) 
$$
\frac{d^4u(x)}{dx^4} = Q\delta_J(x), \quad (-1 < x < 1),
$$

(3.14) 
$$
u(x) = u'(x) = 0, \quad x \notin (-1, 1).
$$

Note that, in mechanics sense, the problem (3.13)- (3.14) represents the bend equation of a beam with clamped ends, under a force concentrated at center of the beam. We shall find the solution of the problem  $(3.13)-(3.14)$  in the space  $H_o^2(-1,1)$ . It is well-known that  $\delta \in H^{-1/2-\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}) \subset H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}), \ \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , therefore  $\delta_J \in H^{-2}(-1,1)$  and the function  $\delta(x)$  is an extension of the function  $\delta_J(x)$ . Further,  $D_I^{-2}$  $J^{-2}[\delta_J] \in L^2(-1,1).$ 

According to  $(2.10)$  and  $(3.9)$ , we have

(3.15) 
$$
u(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{-1}^{x} \varphi(t)(x-t)dt, & |x| < 1, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1, \end{cases}
$$

where

(3.16) 
$$
\varphi(t) = QD_J^{-2}[\delta_J(t)] + a_0 + a_1 t = \begin{cases} \frac{-Q}{4} - \frac{Qt}{2}, & -1 < t < 0, \\ \frac{-Q}{4} + \frac{Qt}{2}, & 0 \leq t < 1. \end{cases}
$$

Putting (3.16) into (3.15), after some transforms, we have

(3.17) 
$$
u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Q}{24}(-2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1), & -1 < x \le 0, \\ \frac{Q}{24}(2x^3 - 3x^2 + 1), & 0 \le x < 1. \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to verify that the function  $u(x)$  defined by the formula (3.17) satisfies the differential equation (3.13) and the boundary conditions (3.14).

# 4. EQUATION WITH THE SYMBOL  $|\xi|^{2m+1}A(\xi)$

For the case  $p = 2m+1$  the dual equation (1.1) can be written in the following form

(4.1) 
$$
\begin{cases} D^m F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^{m+1} i \operatorname{sign}(\xi) A(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = (-1)^m f(x), & x \in (a, b), \\ u(x) := F^{-1}[\hat{u}](x) = 0, x \notin (a, b). \end{cases}
$$

Due to Theorem 1.1 the dual equation (4.1) for  $f \in H^{-(m+1/2)}(a, b)$  has a unique solution  $u = F^{-1}[\hat{u}] \in H_0^{m+1/2}(a, b)$ . According to imbedding theorem [17], we have  $u(x) \in \hat{C}^{m+1}_{\circ}(a, b)$ . In virtue of Theorem 2.1 this function can be represented in the form

(4.2) 
$$
u(x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m+1)} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)(x-t)^{m} \text{sign}(x-t) dt,
$$

where  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{O}_{m+1}(a, b)$ . For convenience we take the conditions (2.4) in the form

(4.3) 
$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) T_{k}[\xi(x)] dx = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, ..., m),
$$

where  $T_k(\xi)$  are Chebyshev polynomials of first order and the function  $\xi(x)$  is defined by the formula (3.4). As we know, the Fourier transform  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  of the function  $u(x)$  is defined by the formula (see  $(2.10)$ ):

(4.4) 
$$
\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^{m+1}} \int_a^b \varphi(t) e^{i\xi t} dt = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^{m+1}} F[\varphi](\xi).
$$

We shall find the function  $\varphi(t)$  in the space  $L^2_\rho(a, b)$ ,  $\rho(x) = \sqrt{(x - a)(b - x)}$ . Using Lemma 2.4 and the formula (4.4) one can show that the function  $u(x)$ belongs to the space  $H_0^{m+1/2}(a, b)$ . By the same argument as in the previous section we can apply the operator  $D^{-m}_{I}$  $J^{m}$ ,  $J = (a, b)$  to the first equality in (4.1) and get

(4.5) 
$$
F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^{m+1} i \operatorname{sign}(\xi) A(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = g(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j U_j[\xi(x)], x \in (a, b),
$$

where  $c_j = const, g(x) = (-1)^m D_J^{-m}$  $J^{m} f(x)$ ,  $U_{j}(\xi)$  are Chebyshev polynomials of second order. Now in (4.5) we substitute  $A(\xi)$  and  $\hat{u}(\xi)$  by using the condition ii) in section 1 and the formula (4.4) respectively. Using the formula

$$
F^{-1}[\text{sign}(\xi)F[\varphi](\xi)](x) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_a^b \frac{\varphi(t)dt}{x-t}, \quad \varphi \in L^2_{\rho_{\pm 1}}(a,b),
$$

after some transformations we have

(4.6) 
$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) \frac{dt}{t-x} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(\tau) k(x-\tau) d\tau - g(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j U_j[\xi(x)],
$$

where

$$
k(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} L(\xi) \sin(x\xi) d\xi = \int_0^{+\infty} [1 - A(\xi)] \sin(x\xi) d\xi.
$$

Applying the formula  $(2.16)$  to the equation  $(4.6)$ , we have the following integral equation of second kind

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \rho(x)} \int_a^b \varphi(\tau) d\tau \int_a^b \rho(t) k(t - \tau) \frac{dt}{t - x} + \frac{1}{\pi \rho(x)} \int_a^b \rho(t) g(t) \frac{dt}{t - x}
$$
  
(4.7) 
$$
+ \frac{1}{\pi \rho(x)} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j \int_a^b \rho(t) U_j[\xi(t)] \frac{dt}{t - x} + \frac{C}{\rho(x)}, \quad a < x < b,
$$

where  $C$  is an arbitrary constant. Using the condition

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)T_0[\xi(t)]dt = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t)dt = 0
$$

and the formula

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \frac{1}{\rho(t)} \frac{dt}{t-x} = 0
$$

from (4.7) we obtain  $C = 0$ .

For the determination of the coefficients  $c_j$ ,  $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, m - 1)$  we shall use the following formulas which were obtained from [13] by the corresponding substitutions of variables:

(4.8) 
$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \rho(t) U_{j}[\xi(t)] \frac{dt}{t-x} = -\frac{b-a}{2} T_{j+1}[\xi(x)],
$$

(4.9) 
$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{T_{j}[\xi(x)]dx}{\rho(x)(t-x)} = -\frac{2}{b-a} U_{j-1}[\xi(t)],
$$

$$
(4.10) \qquad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b T_k[\xi(x)] T_j[\xi(x)] \frac{dx}{\rho(x)} = \sigma_k \delta_{kj}, \ \ (\sigma_0 = 1, \ \sigma_k = \frac{1}{2}, k = 1, 2, \ldots),
$$

where  $\delta_{kj}$  is the Kronecker symbol. Thus, from (4.7) and (4.8) we have

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \rho(x)} \int_a^b \varphi(\tau) d\tau \int_a^b \rho(t) k(t - \tau) \frac{dt}{t - x} + \frac{1}{\pi \rho(x)} \int_a^b \rho(t) g(t) \frac{dt}{t - x}
$$
  
(4.11) 
$$
- \frac{b - a}{2\pi \rho(x)} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j^* T_j[\xi(x)], \quad c_j^* = c_{j-1}, \quad a < x < b.
$$

For the determination of coefficients  $c_j^*$  in (4.11) we shall use the conditions (4.3) and the formula (4.4). We have

(4.12) 
$$
c_j^* = -\frac{8}{(b-a)^2 \pi} \int_a^b \varphi(\tau) d\tau \int_a^b \rho(t) k(t-\tau) U_{j-1}[\xi(t)] dt - \frac{8}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \rho(t) g(t) U_{j-1}[\xi(t)] dt, \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., m).
$$

From (4.11) and (4.12) we have the integral equation with respect to  $\sqrt{\rho(x)}\varphi(x) \in$  $L^2(a,b):$ 

(4.13) 
$$
\sqrt{\rho(x)}\varphi(x) - \int_a^b H(x,\tau)\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}\varphi(\tau)d\tau = h(x), \quad a < x < b,
$$

where (4.14)

$$
H(x,\tau) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}\pi^2} \int_a^b \rho(t)k(t-\tau) \left\{ \frac{1}{t-x} + \frac{4}{b-a} \sum_{j=1}^m T_j[\xi(x)]U_{j-1}[\xi(t)] \right\} dt,
$$

(4.15) 
$$
h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}\pi} \int_a^b \rho(t)g(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{t-x} + \frac{4}{b-a} \sum_{j=1}^m T_j[\xi(x)]U_{j-1}[\xi(t)] \right\} dt.
$$

Note that, under the condition ii) in the section 1 for the function  $A(\xi)$ , in virtue of Theorem 2.5, from (4.14) we have  $H(x, \tau) \in L^2((a, b) \times (a, b))$ . Analogously, if  $g(x) = (-1)^m D^{-m}_1$  $J^m f(x) \in L^2_{\rho}(a, b)$ , then the function  $h(x)$  given by the formula (4.15) belongs to  $L^2(a, b)$ .

We now verify that the solution  $\varphi$  of the integral equation (4.13) satisfies the conditions (4.3). Indeed, from (4.13) - (4.15) for  $k = 0, 1, ..., m$ , it follows that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) T_{k}[\xi(x)] dx = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(\tau) d\tau \int_{a}^{b} H(x, \tau) \sqrt{\rho(\tau)} T_{k}[\xi(x)] \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}
$$

$$
= \int_{a}^{b} h(x) T_{k}[\xi(x)] \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}.
$$

By virtue of  $(4.9)$  -  $(4.10)$ , we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} H(x,\tau)\sqrt{\rho(\tau)}T_{k}[\xi(x)]\frac{dx}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\int_{a}^{b} k(t-\tau)\rho(t)dt \Big\{\int_{a}^{b} \frac{T_{k}[\xi(x)]dx}{\rho(x)(t-x)} + \frac{4}{b-a}\sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{j-1}[\xi(t)]\int_{a}^{b} T_{j}[\xi(x)]T_{k}[\xi(x)]\frac{dx}{\rho(x)}\Big\}
$$
\n(4.17) 
$$
= \frac{1}{\pi^{2}}\int_{a}^{b} k(t-\tau)\rho(t)dt \Big\{-\frac{2\pi}{b-a}U_{k-1}[\xi(t)] + \frac{4}{b-a}U_{k-1}[\xi(t)]\cdot\frac{\pi}{2}\Big\} \equiv 0.
$$

Analogously, we have

$$
\int_{a}^{b} h(x)T_{k}[\xi(x)] \frac{dx}{\sqrt{\rho(x)}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \rho(t)g(t)dt \Big\{ \int_{a}^{b} \frac{T_{k}[\xi(x)]dx}{\rho(x)(t-x)} + \frac{4}{b-a} \sum_{j=1}^{m} U_{j-1}[\xi(t)] \int_{a}^{b} T_{j}[\xi(x)]T_{k}[\xi(x)] \frac{dx}{\rho(x)} \Big\}
$$
\n(4.18)\n
$$
= 0.
$$

From  $(4.16)$  -  $(4.18)$  it follows that the conditions  $(4.3)$  are fulfilled.

Thus, we obtain the following result

Theorem 4.1. The dual equation (4.1) with respect to

$$
u(x) = F[\hat{u}](x) \in H_0^{m+1/2}(a, b)
$$

is equivalent to the Fredholm integral equation (4.13) with respect to  $\sqrt{\rho(x)}\varphi(x) \in$  $L^2(a, b)$ . If  $g(x) = (-1)^m D^{-m}_1$  $\int_J^{-m} f(x) \in L^2_\rho(a, b)$ , then the equation (4.13) has a unique solution in  $L^2(a, b)$ . In this case, the solution with respect to  $u(x) =$  $F[\hat{u}](x) \in H_0^{m+1/2}(a, b)$  of the dual equation (4.1) is given by the formula:

(4.19) 
$$
u(x) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(m+1)} \int_a^b (x-t)^m \operatorname{sign}(x-t) \varphi(t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Example 4.1. As an illustration of the proposed method we consider the following dual equation

$$
\begin{cases} F^{-1}[|\xi|^3 \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = f_0 = const, & x \in (-1, 1), \\ u(x) := F^{-1}[\hat{u}(\xi)](x) = 0, & x \notin (-1, 1). \end{cases}
$$

This equation can be written in the form

(4.20) 
$$
\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dx} F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^2 i \operatorname{sign}(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi)](x) = -f_0, & x \in (-1, 1), \\ u(x) = 0, & x \notin (-1, 1). \end{cases}
$$

In this case we have

(4.21) 
$$
u(x) = \begin{cases} \int_{-1}^{x} (x - t) \varphi(t) dt, \ |x| < 1, \\ 0, \ |x| \ge 1, \end{cases}
$$

(4.22)

$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{1-t^2} \left[-f_0(t+1)\right] \left\{\frac{1}{t-x} + 2T_1(x)U_o(t)\right\} dt, \quad -1 < x < 1.
$$

Using formulas (4.8), (4.9) and taking into account that

$$
T_o(x) = 1
$$
,  $T_1(x) = x$ ,  $T_2(x) = 2x^2 - 1$ ,  
 $U_o(x) = 1$ ,  $U_1(x) = 2x$ ,  $U_2(x) = 4x^2 - 1$ 

we can reduce the formula (4.22) to the following one

(4.23) 
$$
\varphi(x) = \frac{f_0}{2\sqrt{1-x^2}} - f_0\sqrt{1-x^2}, \quad |x| < 1.
$$

Putting  $(4.23)$  into  $(4.21)$ , we have

(4.24) 
$$
u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_0}{6} (1 - x^2)^{3/2}, & |x| < 1. \\ 0, & |x| \ge 1. \end{cases}
$$

Putting  $\varphi(x) = 0$  when  $|x| \ge 1$  we have the following formulas for Fourier transforms of  $\varphi(x)$  and  $u(x)$  [3]:

(4.25) 
$$
\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = F[\varphi](\xi) = -\frac{\pi f_0}{2}J_2(\xi), \quad \hat{u}(\xi) = F[u](\xi) = \frac{\pi f_0}{2}\frac{J_2(\xi)}{\xi^2},
$$

where  $J_n(\xi)$  is the Bessel function of first kind:

$$
J_n(\xi) = \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(n+k)!} \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{2k}.
$$

From (4.25) it is clear that

(4.26) 
$$
\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(-i\xi)^2} \hat{\varphi}(\xi).
$$

Using the asymptotic expansion of Bessel functions

$$
J_n(\xi) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\xi|}}\right), \quad \xi \to \infty
$$

we can show that  $u(x) \in H^{3/2}_{0}(-1, 1)$ .

Now we verify the fulfilment of the dual equation (4.20). Putting (4.25) into (4.20) and taking into account (4.26), we have

$$
\frac{d}{dx}F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^2\hat{u}(\xi)i\operatorname{sign}(\xi)](x) = \frac{d}{dx}F^{-1}[\hat{\varphi}(\xi)i\operatorname{sign}(\xi)](x)
$$

$$
= -\frac{f_0}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^\infty J_2(\xi)\operatorname{sin}(x\xi)d\xi
$$

$$
= -\frac{f_0}{2}\frac{d}{dx}\int_0^\infty \left[\frac{2J_1(\xi)}{\xi} - J_0(\xi)\right]\sin(x\xi)d\xi.
$$

Using the identities (cf. [15]):

$$
\int_0^\infty J_0(\xi) \sin(x\xi) d\xi = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| \le 1, \\ \frac{\pm 1}{\sqrt{x^2 - 1}}, & \pm x > 1, \end{cases}
$$

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{J_1(\xi)}{\xi} \sin(x\xi) d\xi = \begin{cases} 0, & |x| < 1, \\ \frac{\pm 1}{|x| + \sqrt{x^2 - 1}}, & \pm x \ge 1, \end{cases}
$$

when  $|x| < 1$  we have

$$
\frac{d}{dx}F^{-1}[(-i\xi)^2\hat{u}(\xi)i\text{sign}(\xi)](x) = F^{-1}[|\xi|^3\hat{u}(\xi)](x) = -f_0, \quad |x| < 1.
$$

Thus the given dual integral equation is fulfilled.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] V. A. Alecsandrov and E. V. Kovalenko, Stamp motion on the surface of a thin covering on a hydraulic foundation, J. Appl. Math. Mech. 45 (1981) (4), 734–744 (in Russian).
- [2] B . I. Avilkin and E.V. Kovalenko, On a dynamic contact problem for a compound foundation, (Russian) J. Appl. Math. Mech. 46 (1983) (5), 847–856.
- [3] U. A. Brichkov and A. P. Prudnikov, Generalized Integral Transformations, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).
- [4] M. M. Djrbashian, Integral Transforms and Representations of Functions in the Complex Region, Nauka, Moscow, 1966 (in Russian).
- [5] R. Duduchava, Integral Equations with Fixed Singularities, Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1979.
- [6] F. D. Gakhov, Boundary Value Problems, Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (in Russian).
- I. M. Genfand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Issue 1, Moscow, 1959 (in Russian). [8] G. I. Eskin, Boundary Value Problems for Elliptic Pseudo-Differential Equations, Nauka,
- Moscow, 1973 (in Russian).
- [9] B. N. Mandal, Advances in Dual Integral Equations, Chapman & Hall / CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1998.
- [10] N. V. Ngoc and G. Ia. Popov, On the dual integral equations connected with Fourier transforms, Ukrain. Math. Zh. 38 (2) (1986), 188–195 (in Russian).
- [11] N. V. Ngoc, On the solvability of dual integral equations involving Fourier transform, Acta Math. Vietnam. 13 (2) (1988), 21–30.
- [12] N. V. Ngoc, Dual integral equations involving Fourier Transform, Methods of Complex and Clifford Analysis, SAS Int. Publ. Deli (2004), 153–160.
- [13] G. Ia. Popov, Contact Problems for a Linearly Deformed Base, Vishcha Shkola, Kiev, 1982.
- [14] E. C. Titchmarsh, Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals, Oxford, New York, 1957.
- [15] Ia. S. Ufliand, Method of Dual Equations in Problems of Mathematical Physics, Nauka, Leningrad, 1977 (in Russian).
- [16] V. S. Vladimirov, Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir, Moscow, 1979.
- [17] L. R. Volevich and B. P. Paneyakh, Some spaces of generalized functions and imbedding theorems, Uspekhii Math. Nauk  $20$  (1) (1965), 3-74 (in Russian).

Hanoi Institute of Mathematics 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, 10307 Hanoi, Vietnam

 $E-mail$   $address:$  nvngoc@math.ac.vn