

SOME SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS WITH RESPECT TO k -SYMMETRIC POINTS

ZHI-GANG WANG AND DI SONG

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we introduce two new subclasses $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ of analytic functions with respect to k -symmetric points. Such results as integral representations, convolution properties and coefficient inequalities for these function classes are proven.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of the form:

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are *analytic* in the *open unit disk* $\mathbb{U} := \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also let \mathcal{T} be the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of all functions which are of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \geq 0).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{T} consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re \left(\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + (1 - \lambda)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$). And let $\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{T} consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following inequality:

$$\Re \left(\frac{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}}{1 + \lambda \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

for some α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and λ ($0 \leq \lambda < 1$). The classes $\mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \alpha)$ were first introduced and investigated by Altintas and Owa [1], then were studied by

Received August 26, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), k -symmetric points.

Aouf *et al.* [2]. They obtained such results as coefficient inequalities, distortion and covering theorems for these function classes.

Motivated by the classes $\mathcal{T}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\lambda, \alpha)$, we now introduce and investigate the following two subclasses of \mathcal{A} with respect to k -symmetric points, and obtain some interesting results.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if it satisfies the following inequality:

$$(1.2) \quad \Re \left(\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} + (1 - \lambda)} \right) > \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, $k \geq 1$ is a fixed positive integer and $f_k(z)$ is defined by the following equation:

$$(1.3) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon_k^{-j} f(\varepsilon_k^j z) \quad \left(\varepsilon_k = \exp \left(\frac{2\pi i}{k} \right); z \in \mathbb{U} \right).$$

And a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if $zf' \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

For simplicity, we write

$$\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{T} =: \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{T} =: \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha).$$

In the present paper, we aim at proving such results as integral representations, convolution properties and coefficient inequalities for the function classes $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We first give some integral representations for the function classes $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then

$$(2.1) \quad f_k(z) = z \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu z} \frac{2(1-\alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt \right),$$

where $f_k(z)$ is defined by (1.3), $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. We know that the condition (1.2) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} + (1 - \lambda)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where " \prec " stands for the subordination. It follows that

$$\frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} + (1 - \lambda)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

This yields

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} = \frac{(1 - \lambda)[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(z)]}{1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(z)}.$$

Upon substituting z by $\varepsilon^\mu z$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$) in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\varepsilon^\mu z f'(\varepsilon^\mu z)}{f_k(\varepsilon^\mu z)} = \frac{(1 - \lambda)[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)]}{1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)}.$$

Noting that

$$f_k(\varepsilon^\mu z) = \varepsilon^\mu f_k(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Thus, by letting $\mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ in (2.3), successively, and summing the resulting equations, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{zf'_k(z)}{f_k(z)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \frac{(1 - \lambda)[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)]}{1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)}.$$

We next find from (2.4) that

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{f'_k(z)}{f_k(z)} - \frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)}{z[1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu z)]}.$$

Upon integrating (2.5), we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \log \left(\frac{f_k(z)}{z} \right) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu \zeta)}{\zeta[1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\varepsilon^\mu \zeta)]} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu z} \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.6), we can easily get (2.1) asserted by Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_0^z \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu \zeta} \frac{2(1 - \alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(1 - \lambda)[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)\omega(\zeta)]}{1 - \lambda - (1 + \lambda - 2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\zeta)} d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{f_k(z)}{z} \cdot \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)\omega(z)]}{1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(z)} \\ (2.8) \quad &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu z} \frac{2(1-\alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)\omega(z)]}{1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon integrating (2.8), we can easily get (2.7). \square

By similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, we can get the following results for the class $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then*

$$f_k(z) = \int_0^z \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu \zeta} \frac{2(1-\alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt \right) d\zeta,$$

where $f_k(z)$ is defined by (1.3), $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \int_0^z \frac{1}{\xi} \int_0^\xi \exp \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\mu=0}^{k-1} \int_0^{\varepsilon^\mu \zeta} \frac{2(1-\alpha)\omega(t)}{t[1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(t)]} dt \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(1-\lambda)[1+(1-2\alpha)\omega(\zeta)]}{1-\lambda-(1+\lambda-2\alpha\lambda)\omega(\zeta)} d\zeta d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega(z)$ is analytic in \mathbb{U} with

$$\omega(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\omega(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where f is given by (1.1) and g is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n c_n z^n =: (g * f)(z).$$

We now provide some convolution properties for the classes $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Here we choose to omit the details of proof.

Corollary 2.4. *A function $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{z} \left\{ f * \left\{ \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \left\{ (1-e^{i\theta}) - \lambda [1 + (1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] \right\} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - (1-\lambda) [1 + (1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] h(z) \right\} \right\} \neq 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, where $h(z)$ is given by

$$(2.9) \quad h(z) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{z}{1 - \varepsilon^v z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Corollary 2.5. *A function $f \in \mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{z} \left\{ f * \left\{ z \left\{ \frac{z}{(1-z)^2} \left\{ (1-e^{i\theta}) - \lambda [1 + (1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] \right\} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - (1-\lambda) [1 + (1-2\alpha)e^{i\theta}] h(z) \right\} \right\}' \right\} \neq 0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, where $h(z)$ is given by (2.9).

In the following we provide the coefficient sufficient conditions for functions belonging to the classes $\mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. If*

$$(2.10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1-\lambda\alpha)(nk+1) - \alpha(1-\lambda)] |a_{nk+1}| + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ (n \neq lk+1)}}^{\infty} (1-\lambda\alpha)n |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

then $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} + (1-\lambda)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \alpha.$$

By noting that for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)}}{\lambda \frac{zf'(z)}{f_k(z)} + (1-\lambda)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda)(n-b_n) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n + (1-\lambda)b_n] a_n z^{n-1}} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda)(n-b_n) |a_n| |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n + (1-\lambda)b_n] |a_n| |z|^{n-1}} \\ \leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-\lambda)(n-b_n) |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n + (1-\lambda)b_n] |a_n|},$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad b_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{(n-1)\nu} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = lk + 1 \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}), \\ 0, & n \neq lk + 1 \quad (l \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases}$$

The last expression of (2.11) is bounded above by $1 - \alpha$ if

$$(2.13) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda\alpha)n - \alpha(1 - \lambda)b_n] |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

By substituting (2.12) into (2.13), we can get (2.10), hence f satisfies the condition (1.2), that is, $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is thus completed. \square

By similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 2.2, we can get the following result for the class $\mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$. If*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (nk + 1)[(1 - \lambda\alpha)(nk + 1) - \alpha(1 - \lambda)] |a_{nk+1}| + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ (n \neq lk+1)}}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda\alpha)n^2 |a_n| \leq 1 - \alpha,$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Finally, we provide the necessary and sufficient coefficient conditions for functions belonging to the classes $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$(2.14) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda\alpha)(nk + 1) - \alpha(1 - \lambda)] a_{nk+1} + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ (n \neq lk+1)}}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda\alpha)n a_n \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.2, we need only to prove the necessity. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$. Then from (1.2), we can get

$$\Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{\lambda z f'(z) + (1 - \lambda)f_k(z)} \right) > \alpha,$$

that is,

$$(2.15) \quad \Re \left(\frac{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n + (1 - \lambda)b_n] a_n z^{n-1}} \right) > \alpha,$$

where b_n is given by (2.12). By letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values in (2.15), we can get

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\lambda n + (1 - \lambda)b_n] a_n} \geq \alpha,$$

or equivalently,

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(1 - \lambda\alpha)n - \alpha(1 - \lambda)b_n]a_n \leqslant 1 - \alpha.$$

Upon substituting (2.12) into (2.16), we can easily get (2.14). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

By similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 2.3, we can get the following result for the class $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $0 \leqslant \alpha < 1$, $0 \leqslant \lambda < 1$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(k)}(\lambda, \alpha)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (nk + 1)[(1 - \lambda\alpha)(nk + 1) - \alpha(1 - \lambda)]a_{nk+1} + \sum_{\substack{n=2 \\ (n \neq lk+1)}}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda\alpha)n^2a_n \leqslant 1 - \alpha.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present investigation was supported by the *Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation* under Grant 05JJ30013 and the *Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department* under Grant 05C266 of People's Republic of China. The authors would like to thank Professors Chun-Yi Gao, Yue-Ping Jiang and Bin Huang for their continuous support and encouragement. They also would like to thank the referee for his careful reading and making some valuable comments which have essentially improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Altintas and S. Owa, *On subclasses of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Pusan Kyongnam Math. J. **4** (1988), 41-56.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and A. Y. Lashin, *Convex subclass of starlike functions*, Kyungpook Math. J. **40** (2000), 287-297.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING SCIENCE
 CHANGSHA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
 CHANGSHA 410076, HUNAN, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: zhigwang@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND ECONOMETRICS
 HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410082, HUNAN, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: disong-0@126.com