

SOME PROPERTIES OF GROWTH FOR COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS WITH DEFICIENT FUNCTION

JIANWU SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we solve a problem of C. T. Chuang and C. C. Yang concerning the characteristic function of the composite function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chuang Chitai and Yang Chungchun [1] proposed the following problem:

Let f, g_1 and g_2 be entire functions. What conditions can assure that if $T(r, g_1) = \circ(T(r, g_2))$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$(1.1) \quad T(r, f(g_1)) = \circ(T(r, f(g_2))) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)?$$

In this work, we give some conditions such that (1.1) holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f_1, f_2 and g_1, g_2 be non-constant entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0$) (i.e., there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1 \leq \frac{T(r, f_1)}{(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \leq K_2$) and the order of g_2 be $\rho_{g_2}(< \infty)$, let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \leq \infty$) be entire functions which satisfy $T(r, a_i(z)) = \circ(T(r, g_2))$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g_2) = 1$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g_2) > 0, (a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty)$. If $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ and $T(r, g_1) = \circ(T(r, g_2))$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), then*

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) = \circ(T(r, f_2(g_2))) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E),$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Remark 1.1. If we take $f_1(z) = f_2(z) = f(z)$ in Theorem 1.1, then we obtain

$$T(r, f(g_1)) = \circ(T(r, f(g_2))) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

This solves the problem of C. T. Chuang and C. C. Yang.

2. LEMMAS

Lemma 2.1. *Let g be an entire function of order ρ_g and lower order λ_g ($\lambda_g < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \leq \infty$) be entire functions, which satisfy $T(r, a_i(z)) = \circ(T(r, g))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$ with $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0, a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$, then*

Received October 25, 2006.

Key words and phrases. Growth, Entire function, Composition, Deficient function

AMS No. 30D35 CLC number: O174.52.

(1) [2]: $g(z)$ is of regular growth and $\rho_g = \lambda_g$ is a positive integer

(2) [3]:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} T(r, g)/\log M(r, g) = 1/\pi.$$

(3) For arbitrarily small $\epsilon_1 > 0$, there exist $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_k(z)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1 > 1 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}.$$

Let $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_h(z)$ ($h \leq k$) be a maximal linearly independent subset of $a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_k(z)$.

Put

$$L(g) = \begin{vmatrix} g(z) & a_1(z) & a_2(z) & \cdots & a_h(z) \\ g^{(1)}(z) & a_1^{(1)}(z) & a_2^{(1)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(1)}(z) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ g^{(h)}(z) & a_1^{(h)}(z) & a_2^{(h)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(h)}(z) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then

(i) [4] the order of $L(g)$ is equal to the lower order of $L(g)$ and $L(g), g(z)$ have the same order.

(ii) [5]

$$K[L(g)] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, L(g)) + N(r, 1/L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} = 0.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([6]). Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of lower order λ and order ρ , let P be the integer defined by $P \geq 1, P - \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < P + \frac{1}{2}, \rho < P + 1$. If for $A_0 > 0, 0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, we have

$$K(f) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r, f) + N(r, 1/f)}{T(r, f)} < \frac{\epsilon}{A_0(P+1)},$$

then for $1 < \sigma \leq 36$, when $r > r_0$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad T(\sigma r, f) = \sigma^P T(r, f)(1 + \eta(r, \sigma)), \quad |\eta(r, \sigma)| < \epsilon.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([7]). Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function, $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$) be distinct meromorphic functions which satisfy $T(r, a_i(z)) = \circ(T(r, f))$. Let $\{a_i(z)\}_{i=1}^h$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{a_i(z)\}_{i=1}^k$ ($h \leq k$).

Put

$$A_0 = \begin{vmatrix} a_1(z) & a_2(z) & \cdots & a_h(z) \\ a_1^{(1)}(z) & a_2^{(1)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(1)}(z) \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_1^{(h)}(z) & a_2^{(h)}(z) & \cdots & a_h^{(h)}(z) \end{vmatrix} = A(a_1(z), a_2(z), \dots, a_h(z)),$$

thus

$$(2.3) \quad L(f) = \frac{(-1)^h}{A_0} A(f, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h) = f^{(h)} + \frac{A_1}{A_0} f^{(h-1)} + \dots + \frac{A_h}{A_0} f.$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^h m(r, \frac{1}{f - a_i(z)}) \leq m(r, \frac{1}{L(f)}) + o(T(r, f)),$$

outside a set E of a finite linear measure except in positive real number axis ($\text{mes } E < +\infty$).

Lemma 2.4. *Let g be an entire function of order ρ and lower order λ ($\lambda < +\infty$), let $a_i(z)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \leq \infty$) be entire functions, which satisfy $T(r, a_i(z)) = o(T(r, g))$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$ with $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0, a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$, then*

$$T(\sigma r, g) \sim \sigma^\rho T(r, g), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, 1 < \sigma \leq 36).$$

Proof. Step 1: Since $a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$, we may assume that $a_1(z) \not\equiv \infty$ and $\delta(a_1(z), g) > 0$.

By (2.3) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^h m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}) \leq T(r, L(g)) + o(T(r, g)), \quad (r \notin E).$$

So

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \geq \sum_{i=1}^h \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)})}{T(r, g)} \geq \delta(a_1(z), g) > 0.$$

Thus, there exists $A \geq 1$, such that when $r > A$, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad T(r, g) < \frac{1}{c_1} T(r, L(g)),$$

where $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} \delta(a_1(z), g)$.

By (2.3) and (2.4) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^h \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)})}{T(r, L(g))} \leq \delta(0, L(g)).$$

Since

$$N(r, L(g)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^h N(r, \frac{A_i}{A_0}) + \sum_{i=1}^h N(r, g^{(i)}) + o(T(r, g)) = o(T(r, g)),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad T(r, L(g)) &= m(r, L(g)) + N(r, L(g)) \\
 &\leq m(r, g) + m\left(r, \frac{L(g)}{g}\right) + o(T(r, g)) \\
 &= T(r, g) + o(T(r, g)) = (1 + o(1))T(r, g).
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: By [8, (1.5.8)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^h m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right) + o(T(r, g)) \\
 &\leq T(r, L(g)) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right) + o(T(r, g)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3) in [5] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} &< \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) \\
 &\leq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{m\left(r, \frac{1}{g - a_i(z)}\right)}{T(r, g)} \\
 &\leq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} - \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{L(g)}\right)}{T(r, g)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$ ($\epsilon > \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}$), we have

$$(2.7) \quad \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} > 1 - \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Step 3: Since $g(z)$ is an entire function which satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g) = 1$, with $\delta(a_i(z), g) > 0$, ($a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$), so, by Lemma 2.1(1), we see that $g(z)$ is of regular growth and $\rho = \lambda$ is a positive integer.

By Lemma 2.1(3): (i), we know that $L(g)$ is of regular growth and the order of $L(g)$ is equal to the lower order of $L(g)$ and $L(g)$, $g(z)$ have the same order. Put $P = \rho$ in Lemma 2.2, by Lemma 2.1(3):(ii), we see that $L(g)$ satisfies condition of Lemma 2.2, hence, for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, when $1 < \sigma \leq 36$ and $r > r_0$, by (2.2), we get

$$(2.8) \quad T(\sigma r, L(g)) = \sigma^\rho T(r, L(g))(1 + \eta(r, \sigma)), \quad |\eta(r, \sigma)| < \epsilon.$$

So, by (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \\
& \leq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \\
& \leq (\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))})^{-1} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(1 + o(1))T(r, g)}{T(r, g)} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon} \cdot \sigma^\rho (1 + \epsilon) = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} \sigma^\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \leq \sigma^\rho.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} & \geq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \cdot \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(r, L(g))} \\
& \quad \cdot \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(r, g)} \\
& \geq \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{1+o(1)}T(\sigma r, L(g))}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \cdot \left(\underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, L(g))}{T(\sigma r, L(g))} \right)^{-1} \cdot (1 - \epsilon) \\
& = \left(\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sigma^\rho (1 + \eta(r, \sigma))} \right)^{-1} \cdot (1 - \epsilon) \\
& \geq \left(\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1 - |\eta(r, \sigma)|} \right)^{-1} \cdot (1 - \epsilon) \sigma^\rho \\
& \geq (1 - \epsilon)^2 \sigma^\rho.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$(2.10) \quad \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(\sigma r, g)}{T(r, g)} \geq \sigma^\rho.$$

So, by (2.9), (2.10), we have

$$T(\sigma r, g) \sim \sigma^\rho T(r, g), \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, 1 < \sigma \leq 36). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.5 ([8]). *Let f and g be entire functions and $g(0) = 0$. Then for all $r > 0$, we have*

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq T(M(r, g), f).$$

Lemma 2.6 ([9]). *Let f be a transcendental entire function with*

$$T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0).$$

(i.e., there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that

$$K_1 \leq \frac{T(r, f)}{(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \leq K_2.$$

Then

1. $T(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f)$ $(r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E);$
2. $T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f)$ $(r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E),$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure .

Lemma 2.7 ([10]). *Let f and g be entire functions. Then*

$$M(r, f(g)) \geq M(M(r/6, g), f).$$

3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.1

Step 1: Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(a_i(z), g_2) = 1$ and $\delta(a_i(z), g_2) > 0, a_i(z) \not\equiv \infty$, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$T(r, g_2) \sim (1/\pi) \log M(r, g_2) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

and

$$(3.1) \quad T(\sigma r, g_2) \sim \sigma^{\rho_{g_2}} T(r, g_2) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

So

$$(3.2) \quad \log M(r, g_2) = \pi T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)) = \frac{\pi}{2^{\rho_{g_2}}} T(2r, g_2)(1 + o(1)).$$

Since

$$(3.3) \quad \log M(r, g_1) \leq 3T(2r, g_1) = 3 \cdot o(T(2r, g_2)) = o(T(2r, g_2)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

by (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$\frac{\log M(r, g_1)}{\log M(r, g_2)} \leq \frac{o(T(2r, g_2))}{(\pi/2^{\rho_{g_2}}) \cdot T(2r, g_2)(1 + o(1))} \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

and so

$$(3.4) \quad \log M(r, g_1) = o(\log M(r, g_2)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Step 2: We may assume $g_1(0) = 0$. Otherwise, we only need to make a transformation: $G(z) = g_1(z) - g_1(0)$.

By Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.1, (3.4) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad & T(r, f_1(g_1)) \\
 & \leq T(M(r, g_1), f) \\
 & = O^*((\log M(r, g_1))^{\beta} e^{(\log M(r, g_1))^{\alpha}}) \\
 & \leq K_2 (\log M(r, g_1))^{\beta} e^{(\log M(r, g_1))^{\alpha}} \\
 & = K_2 (o(\log M(r, g_2))^{\beta} e^{(o(\log M(r, g_2)))^{\alpha}}) \\
 & = K_2 (o(\pi T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^{\beta} e^{(o(\pi T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^{\alpha}} \\
 & = K_2 (o(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^{\beta} e^{o((T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^{\alpha})} \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), one gets

$$T(r, f_2) = T(r, f_1)(1 + o(1)) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}})(1 + o(1)).$$

and so, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f_2) &= T(r, f_2)(1 + o(1)) = T(r, f_1)(1 + o(1))^2 \\ (3.6) \quad &= O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})(1 + o(1))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.1 and (3.1), (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad &T(r, f_2(g_2)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{r}{2}, f_2(g_2)\right) \geq \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(M\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right), f_2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} O^*\left((\log M\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right))^\beta e^{\log M\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right)^\alpha}\right)(1 + o(1))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3} K_1 (\log M\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right))^\beta e^{(\log M\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right))^\alpha}(1 + o(1))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} K_1 (\pi T\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right)(1 + o(1)))^\beta e^{(\pi T\left(\frac{r}{12}, g_2\right)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} K_1 (\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}} T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\beta e^{(\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}} T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha}(1 + o(1))^2 \\ &\quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{T(r, f_1(g_1))}{T(r, f_2(g_2))} \\ &\leq \frac{K_2 (\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\beta e^{(\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\alpha}}{\frac{1}{3} K_1 (\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}} T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\beta e^{(\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}} T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha}(1 + o(1))^2} \\ &= \frac{3K_2}{K_1} (T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\beta \left[\frac{(\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\beta}{(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}})^{\beta}(1 + o(1))^2} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot e^{(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha [\frac{(\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\alpha}{(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha} - (\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}})^\alpha]} \\ &= \frac{3K_2}{K_1} \left[\frac{(\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\beta}{(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\beta} \cdot \frac{1}{(\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}})^{\beta}(1 + o(1))^2} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot e^{\beta \log(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))) + (T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha [\frac{(\circ(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1))))^\alpha}{(T(r, g_2)(1 + o(1)))^\alpha} - (\pi(\frac{1}{12})^{\rho_{g_2}})^\alpha]} \\ &\quad \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \rho_{g_2} > 0). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) = \circ(T(r, f_2(g_2))) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.-T. Chuang and C.-C. Yang, *The Fixpoint of Meromorphic and Factorization Theory*, Beijing Univ. Press, 1988, 251.
- [2] M. L. Fang, *On the regular growth of meromorphic function*, J. of Nanjing Normal Univ. Nat. Sci. **3** (1993), 16-22.

- [3] G. Lin and C. J. Dai, *On a conjecture of Shah concerning deficient function*, Journal of Science **14** (1985), 1041-1044.
- [4] Q. Z. Li. and Y. S. Ye, *On the deficiency sum of deficient functions and F. Nevanlinna's conjecture*, Adv. in Math. **14** (1985), 168–174.
- [5] L. Jin and C. J. Dai, *On a conjecture of F. Nevanlinna concerning deficient function*, China Ann. Math. **10A** (1) (1989), 1-7.
- [6] A. Edrei and W. H. J. Fuchs, *Valeur déficitaires asymptotiques des fonctions meromorphes*, Comment Math. Helv. **33** (1959), 258-297.
- [7] L. Yang, *New Researches on Value Distribution Theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 1988 (In Chinese).
- [8] K. Niino and N. Saito, *Growth of a composite function of entire functions*, Kodai Math. J. **3** (1980), 374- 379.
- [9] J. W. Sun, *Growth of a class composite entire functions*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **28** (2003), 175-183.
- [10] J. Clunie, *The composition of entire and meromorphic functions*, Math. Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre (Ohio Univ. Press), 1970, 75-92.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 HUAIYIN TEACHERS COLLEGE
 JIANGSU 223001, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: jianwusun@tom.com