

## A SIMPLE PROOF OF JUNG'S THEOREM ON POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS OF $\mathbf{C}^2$

NGUYEN VAN CHAU

ABSTRACT. The Automorphism Theorem, discovered first by Jung in 1942, asserts that if  $k$  is a field, then every polynomial automorphism of  $k^2$  is a finite product of linear automorphisms and automorphisms of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + p(y), y)$  for  $p \in k[y]$ . We present here a simple proof for the case  $k = \mathbf{C}$  by using Newton-Puiseux expansions.

1. In this note we present a simple proof of the following theorem on the structure of the group  $GA(\mathbf{C}^2)$  of polynomial automorphisms of  $\mathbf{C}^2$

**Automorphism Theorem.** *Every polynomial automorphism of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  is tame, i.e. it is a finite product of linear automorphisms and automorphisms of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + p(y), y)$  for one-variable polynomials  $p \in \mathbf{C}[y]$ .*

This theorem was first discovered by Jung [J] in 1942. In 1953, Van der Kulk [Ku] extended it to a field of arbitrary characteristic. In an attempt to understand the structure of  $GA(\mathbf{C}^n)$  for large  $n$ , several proofs of Jung's Theorem have presented by Gurwith [G], Shafarevich [Sh], Rentschler [R], Nagata [N], Abhyankar and Moh [AM], Dicks [D], Chadzy'nski and Krasi'nski [CK] and McKay and Wang [MW]. They are related to the mysterious Jacobian conjecture, which asserts that a polynomial map of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  with non-zero constant Jacobian is an automorphism. This conjecture dated back to 1939 [K], but it is still open even for  $n = 2$ . We refer to [BCW] and [E] for nice surveys on this conjecture.

2. The following essential observation due to van der Kulk [Ku] is the crucial step in some proofs of Jung' theorem.

**Division Lemma.**  $F = (P, Q) \in GA(\mathbf{C}^2) \Rightarrow \deg P \mid \deg Q$  or  $\deg Q \mid \deg P$ .

Abhyankar and Moh in [AM] deduced it as a consequence of the theorem on the embedding of a line to the complex plane. McKay and Wang [MW] proved it by using formal Laurent series and the inversion formula. Chadzy'nski and Krasi'nski [CK] obtained the Division Lemma from a formula of geometric degree of polynomial maps  $(f, g)$  that the curves  $f = 0$  and  $g = 0$  have only one branch at infinity. Here, we will prove this lemma by examining the intersection

---

Received August 9, 2002; in revised form February 12, 2003.

Supported in part by the National Basic Program of Natural Science, Vietnam.

of irreducible branches at infinity of the curves  $P = 0$  and  $Q = 0$  in term of Newton-Puiseux expansions.

Our proof presented here is quite elementary and simpler than any proof mentioned above. It uses the following two elementary facts on Newton-Puiseux expansions (see, for example, [BK]).

Let  $h(x, y) = y^n + a_1(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + a_n(x)$  be a reducible polynomial. Looking at the compactification  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  of  $\mathbf{C}^2$ , the curve  $h = 0$  has some irreducible branches located at some points in the line at infinity, which are called the *irreducible branches at infinity*. For such a branch  $\gamma$ , the Newton's algorithm allows us to find a meromorphic parameterization of  $\gamma$ , an one-to-one meromorphic map  $t \mapsto (t^m, u(t)) \in \gamma$  defined for  $t$  large enough,

$$u(t) = t^m \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k a t^{-k}, \quad \gcd\{k : b_k \neq 0\} = 1,$$

The fractional power series  $u(x^{\frac{1}{m}})$  is called a *Newton-Puiseux expansion at infinity* of  $\gamma$  and the natural number  $\text{mult}(u) := m$  the *multiplicity* of  $u$ .

The first fact is a simple case of Newton's theorem (see [A]).

**Fact 1.** *Suppose the curve  $h = 0$  has only one irreducible branch at infinity and  $u$  is a Newton-Puiseux expansion at infinity of this branch. Then*

$$h(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{\deg h} (y - u(\varepsilon^i x^{\frac{1}{\deg h}}))$$

and  $\text{mult}(u) = \deg h$ , where  $\varepsilon$  is a primitive  $(\deg h)$ -th root of 1.

Let  $\varphi(x, \xi)$  be a finite fractional power series of the form

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varphi-1} c_k x^{1-\frac{k}{m_\varphi}} + \xi x^{1-\frac{n_\varphi}{m_\varphi}},$$

where  $\xi$  is a parameter and  $\gcd(\{k = 0, \dots, n_\varphi - 1 : c_k \neq 0\} \cup \{n_\varphi\}) = 1$ . Let us represent

$$(2) \quad h(x, \varphi(x, \xi)) = x^{\frac{a_\varphi}{m_\varphi}} (h_0(\xi) + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}), \quad h_0(\xi) \neq 0.$$

The second fact is deduced from the Implicit Function Theorem.

**Fact 2.** *Let  $\varphi$  and  $h_0$  be as in (1) and (2). If  $c$  is a simple zero of  $h_0(\xi)$ , then there is a Newton-Puiseux expansion at infinity*

$$u(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}) = \varphi(x, c + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}})$$

for which  $h(x, u(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}})) \equiv 0$ . Furthermore,  $\text{mult}(u)$  divides  $m_\varphi$  and  $\text{mult}(u) = m_\varphi$  if  $c \neq 0$ .

**3. Proof of the Division Lemma.** Given  $F = (P, Q) \in GA(\mathbf{C}^2)$ . We may assume that  $\deg P > \deg Q$  and we will prove that  $\deg Q$  divides  $\deg P$ . By choosing a suitable linear coordinate, we can express

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) &= y^{\deg P} + \text{lower terms in } y, \\ Q(x, y) &= y^{\deg Q} + \text{lower terms in } y. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that  $F$  is a polynomial diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{C}^2$  and

$$J(P, Q) := P_x Q_y - P_y Q_x \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0.$$

Then,  $P$  and  $Q$  are reducible and each of the curves  $P = 0$  and  $Q = 0$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbf{C}$  which has only one irreducible branch at infinity. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the unique irreducible branches at infinity of  $P = 0$  and  $Q = 0$ , respectively. Then, by Fact 1 we can find Newton-Puiseux expansions  $u(x^{\frac{1}{\deg P}})$  and  $v(x^{\frac{1}{\deg Q}})$  with  $\text{mult}(u) = \deg P$  and  $\text{mult}(v) = \deg Q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, y) &= \prod_{i=1}^{\deg P} (y - u(\sigma^i x^{\frac{1}{\deg P}})), \\ Q(x, y) &= \prod_{j=1}^{\deg Q} (y - v(\delta^j x^{\frac{1}{\deg Q}})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  and  $\delta$  are primitive  $\deg P$ -th and  $\deg Q$ -th roots of 1, respectively.

Put

$$\theta := \min_{ij} \text{ord}(u(\sigma^i x^{\frac{1}{\deg P}}) - v(\delta^j x^{\frac{1}{\deg Q}})).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume  $\text{ord}(u(x^{\frac{1}{\deg P}}) - v(x^{\frac{1}{\deg Q}})) = \theta$ . We define a fractional power series  $\varphi(x, \xi)$  with parameter  $\xi$  by deleting in  $u$  all terms of order not larger than  $\theta$  and adding to it the term  $\xi x^\theta$ ,

$$\varphi(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_\varphi-1} c_k x^{1-\frac{k}{m_\varphi}} + \xi x^{1-\frac{n_\varphi}{m_\varphi}}$$

with  $\text{gcd}\{k = 0, \dots, K-1 : c_k \neq 0\} \cup \{n_\varphi\} = 1$ , where  $1 - \frac{n_\varphi}{m_\varphi} = \theta$ . Then, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x^{\frac{1}{\deg P}}) &= \varphi(x, \xi_u(x)) \text{ with } \xi_u(x) = \alpha_u + \text{lower terms in } x, \\ v(x^{\frac{1}{\deg Q}}) &= \varphi(x, \xi_v(x)) \text{ with } \xi_v(x) = \beta_v + \text{lower terms in } x \end{aligned}$$

and  $\alpha_u - \beta_v \neq 0$ . Let us represent

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, \varphi(x, \xi)) &= x^{\frac{a_\varphi}{m_\varphi}} (P_\varphi(\xi) + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}) \\ Q(x, \varphi(x, \xi)) &= x^{\frac{b_\varphi}{m_\varphi}} (Q_\varphi(\xi) + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}) \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_\varphi$  and  $b_\varphi$  are integers and  $0 \neq P_\varphi, Q_\varphi \in \mathbf{C}[\xi]$ .

**Claim 1**

- (a)  $P_\varphi(\alpha_u) = 0$  and  $Q_\varphi(\beta_v) = 0$ .  
 (b) The polynomials  $P_\varphi(\xi)$  and  $Q_\varphi(\xi)$  have no common zero.

*Proof.* (a) is implied from the equalities  $P(x, \varphi(x, \xi_u(x))) = 0$  and  $Q(x, \varphi(x, \xi_v(x))) = 0$ . For (b), if  $P_\varphi(\xi)$  and  $Q_\varphi(\xi)$  have a common zero  $c$ , then by Fact 2 there exist series

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\xi}_u(x) &= c + \text{lower terms in } x, \\ \bar{\xi}_v(x) &= c + \text{lower terms in } x\end{aligned}$$

such that  $\varphi(x, \bar{\xi}_u(x))$  and  $\varphi(x, \bar{\xi}_v(x))$  are Newton-Puiseux expansions at infinity of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. For these expansions  $\text{ord}(\varphi(x, \bar{\xi}_u(x)) - \varphi(x, \bar{\xi}_v(x))) < \theta$ . This contradicts to the definition of  $u$  and  $v$ .  $\square$

**Claim 2.**  $P_\varphi$  and  $Q_\varphi$  have only simple zeros.

*Proof.* First, observe that

$$(3) \quad a_\varphi > 0, \quad b_\varphi > 0.$$

Indeed, for instance, if  $a_\varphi \leq 0$ , then  $F(t^{-m_\varphi}, \varphi(t^{-m_\varphi}, \xi_v(t^{-m_\varphi}))$  tends to a point  $(a, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^2$  as  $t \mapsto 0$ . This is impossible because  $F$  is a diffeomorphism.

Now, let

$$J_\varphi := a_\varphi P_\varphi \frac{d}{d\xi} Q_\varphi - b_\varphi Q_\varphi \frac{d}{d\xi} P_\varphi.$$

Taking differentiation of  $DF(t^{-m_\varphi}, \varphi(t^{-m_\varphi}, \xi))$ , one get by (3) that

$$m_\varphi J(P, Q) t^{n_\varphi - 2m_\varphi - 1} = -J_\varphi t^{-a_\varphi - b_\varphi - 1} + \text{higher terms in } t.$$

Since  $J(P, Q) \equiv \text{const.} \neq 0$ ,

$$J_\varphi \equiv \begin{cases} -m_\varphi J(P, Q), & \text{if } a_\varphi + b_\varphi + n_\varphi = 2m_\varphi, \\ 0, & \text{if } a_\varphi + b_\varphi + n_\varphi > 2m_\varphi. \end{cases}$$

If  $J_\varphi \equiv 0$ , it must be that  $P_\varphi^{-b_\varphi} = C Q_\varphi^{-a_\varphi}$  for  $C \in \mathbf{C}^*$ . This is impossible by Claim 1(b). Thus,  $J_\varphi = -m_\varphi J(P, Q)$ . In particular,  $P_\varphi$  and  $Q_\varphi$  have only simple zeros.

Now, we can complete the proof of the lemma. By Claim 2 the numbers  $\alpha_u$  and  $\beta_v$  are simple zero of  $P_\varphi$  and  $Q_\varphi$ , respectively. Then, by Fact 2 there exist Newton-Puiseux expansions at infinity

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{u}(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}) &= \varphi(x, \alpha_u + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}), \\ \bar{v}(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}) &= \varphi(x, \beta_v + \text{lower terms in } x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}}),\end{aligned}$$

for which  $P(x, \bar{u}(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}})) \equiv 0$ ,  $Q(x, \bar{v}(x^{\frac{1}{m_\varphi}})) \equiv 0$  and  $\text{mult}(\bar{u})$  and  $\text{mult}(\bar{v})$  divide  $m_\varphi$ . Since  $\text{mult}(\bar{u}) = \deg P > \deg Q = \text{mult}(\bar{v})$  and  $\alpha_u \neq \beta_v$ , we get  $\alpha_u \neq 0$ ,  $\beta_v = 0$  and  $\deg P = m_\varphi$ . Hence,  $\deg Q \mid \deg P$ .  $\square$

**4. Proof of Automorphism Theorem.** The proof uses Division Lemma and the following fact which is only an easy elementary exercise on homogeneous polynomial:

**Fact 3** (See, for example [E, Lemma 10.2.4, p. 253]). *Let  $f, g \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$  be homogeneous. If  $f_x g_y - f_y g_x \equiv 0$ , then there is a homogeneous polynomial  $h \in \mathbf{C}[x, y]$  with  $\deg h = \gcd(\deg f, \deg g)$  such that*

$$f = ah^{\frac{\deg f}{\deg h}} \text{ and } g = bh^{\frac{\deg g}{\deg h}}, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{C}^*.$$

Given  $F = (P, Q) \in GA(\mathbf{C}^2)$ . Assume, for instance,  $\deg P \geq \deg Q$  and  $\deg P > 1$ . Then, by the Division Lemma  $\deg P = m \deg Q$ , and hence, by the above fact  $\deg(P - cQ^m) < \deg P$  for a suitable number  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ . By induction one can find a finite sequence of automorphisms  $\phi_i(x, y)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$  of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto (x + cy^l, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + cx^n)$  such that the components of the map of  $\phi_k \circ \phi_{k-1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_1 \circ F$  are of degree 1. Note that  $\phi_i^{-1}$  has the form as those of  $\phi_i$ . Then, we get the Automorphism Theorem.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to thank Prof. A. V. Essen and Prof. V. H. Ha for their helps.

#### REFERENCES

- [A] S. S. Abhyankar, *Expansion Techniques in Algebraic Geometry*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, 1977.
- [AM] S. S. Abhyankar and T. T. Moh, *Embeddings of the line in the plane*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **276** (1975), 148-166.
- [BCW] H. Bass, E. Connell and D. Wright, *The Jacobian conjecture: reduction of degree and formal expansion of the inverse*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. New Ser. **7** (1982), 287-330.
- [BK] E. Brieskorn and H. Knorrer, *Ebene Algebraische Kurven*, Birkhäuser, Basel-Boston-Stuttgart 1981.
- [CK] J. Chadzyński and KT. Krasiński, *On a formula for the geometric degree and Jung's theorem*, Universitatis Iagellonicae Acta Mathematica, Fasciculus **XXVIII** (1991), 81-84.
- [D] W. Dicks, *Automorphisms of the polynomial ring in two variables*, Publ. Sec. Math. Univ. Autònoma Barcelona **27** (1983), 155-162.
- [E] Van den Essen, Arno, *Polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian conjecture*. (English. English summary) Progress in Mathematics, 190. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [G] A. Gutwirth, *An inequality for certain pencils of plane curve*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 631-638.
- [J] H. W. E. Jung, *Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **184** (1942), 161-174.
- [K] O. Keller, *Ganze Cremona-Transformationen*, Monatsh. Mat. Phys. **47** (1939), 299-306.
- [Ku] W. Van der Kulk, *On polynomial rings in two variables*, Nieuw Arch. Wisk. (3) **1** (1953), 33-41.

- [MW] J. H. McKay and S. S. Wang, *An elementary proof of the automorphism theorem for the polynomial ring in two variables*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **52** (1988), 91-102.
- [N] M. Nagata, *On Automorphism group of  $k[x, y]$* , Lectures in Mathematics 5, Tokyo (1972).
- [R] R. Rentschler, *Operations du groupe additif sur le plan affine*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **267** (1968), 384-387.
- [Sh] I. R. Shafarevich, *On some infinite dimensional groups*, Rend. Math. Appl. **25** (1966), 208-212.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,  
18 HOANG QUOC VIET ROAD  
10307 HANOI, VIETNAM

*E-mail address:* `nvchau@math.ac.vn`