# GROWTH OF A CLASS OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

#### JIANWU SUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain the following results:

Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  be four transcendental entire functions with  $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^{\nu} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}})$  and  $T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta})$  (i.e., there exist four positive constants  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  such that  $K_1 \leq \frac{T(r, f_1)}{(\log r)^{\nu} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}}} \leq K_2$  and

$$K_{3} \leq \frac{T(r, g_{1})}{(\log r)^{\beta}} \leq K_{4}).$$
  
If  $T(r, f_{1}) \sim T(r, f_{2}), \ T(r, g_{1}) \sim T(r, g_{2}) \ (r \to \infty), \text{ then}$ 
$$T(r, f_{1}(g_{1})) \sim T(r, f_{2}(g_{2})) \quad (r \to \infty, \ r \notin E)$$

where  $\nu>0,\, 0<\alpha<1,\,\beta>1$  and  $\alpha\beta<1$  and E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

We solved a problem due to C. C. Yang concerning the characteristic functions of the composite functions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Chitai Chuang and C. C. Yang [2] proposed the following problem: Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  be entire functions. If  $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ ,  $T(r, g_1) \sim T(r, g_2)$   $(r \to \infty)$ , whether or not the relation

(1) 
$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(r, f_2(g_2)) \quad (r \to \infty),$$

holds?

If (1) does not hold, what conditions can assure that (1) holds?

Obviously, if  $f_1$  is a polynomial, then (1) holds. However, we point out that (1) does not hold the general case.

**Example 1.** Let  $f_1(z) = e^z$ ,  $f_2(z) = 2e^z$  and  $g_1(z) = z^n$ ,  $g_2(z) = 2z^n$ . Then we have

Received March 25, 2002.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D35.

Key words and phrases. Entire function, composition, growth.

$$f_1(g_1) = e^{z_n}, \quad f_2(g_2) = 2e^{2z^n}$$
  

$$m(r, f_1) = \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad m(r, f_2) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 2,$$
  

$$m(r, g_1) = n \log r, \quad m(r, g_2) = n \log r + \log 2.$$

Thus

$$T(r,g_1) \sim T(r,g_2), \quad T(r,f_1) \sim T(r,f_2) \quad (r \to \infty).$$

But

$$m(r, f_1(g_1)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^+ |e^{r^n \varepsilon^{in\theta}}| d\theta = \frac{r^n}{\pi},$$

and

$$m(r, f_2(g_2)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |2e^{2r^n \varepsilon^{in\theta}}| d\theta = \frac{2r^n}{\pi} + \log 2.$$

Thus

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f_1(g_1))}{T(r, f_2(g_2))} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{m(r, f_1(g_1))}{m(r, f_2(g_2))} = 2$$

This shows that  $T(r, f_1(g_1))$  is not equivalent to  $T(r, f_2(g_2))$  when  $r \to \infty$ .

We now give sufficient conditions for (1) to hold.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  and  $g_1$ ,  $g_2$  be four transcendental entire functions with  $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^{\nu} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}})$  and  $T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r^{\beta}) \text{ (i.e., there exist four positive constants } K_1, K_2, K_3 \text{ and } K_4 \text{ such that } K_1 \leq \frac{T(r, f_1)}{(\log r)^{\nu} \varepsilon^{(\log r)^{\alpha}}} \leq K_2 \text{ and } K_3 \leq \frac{T(r, g_1)}{(\log r)^{\beta}} \leq K_4$ ). If  $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$  and  $T(r, g_1) \sim T(r, g_2)$   $(r \to \infty)$ , then

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(r, f_2(g_2)) \quad (r \to \infty, \ r \notin E),$$

where  $\nu > 0$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\alpha\beta < 1$ , and E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

2. Some Lemmas

**Lemma 1** ([4]). Let f(z) be an entire function. For  $0 \le r < R < \infty$ , we have

$$T(r,f) \le \log^+ M(r,f) \le \frac{R+r}{R-r}T(R,f).$$

**Lemma 2** ([5]). Let f(z) and g(z) be two entire functions and g(0) = 0. Then for all r > 0 we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \le T(M(r, g), f).$$

**Lemma 3** ([3]). Let f and g be two entire functions and g(0) = 0. Then

$$M(r, f(g)) \ge M((1 - o(1)M(r, g), f) \quad (r \to \infty, \ r \notin E),$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure of r.

**Lemma 4** ([1]). Let f be an entire function of order zero and  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then, for any  $\zeta > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$ , there exist  $R_0 = R_0(\zeta, \eta)$  and  $k = k(\zeta, \eta)$  such that for all  $R > R_0$  it holds

$$\log|f(re^{i\theta})| - N(2R) - \log|c| > -kQ(2R), \quad \zeta R \le r \le R,$$

except in a set of circles enclosing the zeros of f, the sum of whose radii is at most  $\eta R$ . Here

$$Q(r) = r \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt$$
 and  $N(r) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt.$ 

**Lemma 5.** Let f be a transcendental entire function with  $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$   $(0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0)$  (i.e., there exist two positive constants  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  such that  $K_1 \le \frac{T(r, f_1)}{(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \le K_2$ . Then 1.  $T(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f)$   $(r \to \infty, r \notin E)$ , 2.  $T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f)$   $(r \to \infty, \sigma \ge 2, r \notin E)$ , where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

*Proof.* We may assume f(0) = 1 (otherwise, we only need to make the transformation F(z) = f(z) - f(0) + 1). By Jeesen's theorem,

(2) 
$$N(r, 1/f) = \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log|f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \le \log M(r, f)$$

for r > 1 and A > 1. By (2) we have

$$n(r,1/f)\log A \le \int_{r}^{Ar} \frac{n(t,1/f)}{t} dt \le N(Ar,1/f) \le \log M(Ar,f).$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

(3) 
$$n(r, 1/f) \le \frac{\log M(Ar, f)}{\log A} \cdot$$

Since  $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}})$   $(0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 1)$ , by Lemma 1 we get

(4) 
$$\log M(r,f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}).$$

Take  $A = r^{\sigma(r)}$  and  $\sigma(r) = \frac{1}{(\log r)^{\alpha}}$ . By (3) we have

(5) 
$$n(r, 1/f) \le \frac{\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r} \cdot$$

Therefore, putting  $r = e^u$  we obtain

$$\frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\beta} e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\alpha}}}{r^{1/2} \sigma(r) \log r} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log r)^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta} (\log r)^{\beta} e^{(1 + \frac{1}{(\log r)^{\alpha}})^{\alpha} (\log r)^{\alpha}}}{r^{1/2} (\log r)^{1-\alpha}} \\
= \frac{(1 + 1/u^{\alpha})^{\beta} u^{\beta} e^{(1 + 1/u^{\alpha})^{\alpha} u^{\alpha}}}{(e^{u})^{1/2} u^{1-\alpha}} \\
= \frac{(1 + 1/u^{\alpha})^{\beta}}{e^{u^{\alpha} (\frac{1}{2} u^{1-\alpha} - (1 + 1/u^{\alpha})^{\alpha} - (\alpha + \beta - 1)u^{-\alpha} \log u)}} \cdot$$
(6)

Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\beta > 1$ , for sufficiently large values of u we have

$$\frac{1}{2}u^{1-\alpha} - (1+1/u^{\alpha})^{\alpha} - (\alpha+\beta-1)u^{-\alpha}\log u > 0$$

and  $\frac{1}{2}u^{1-\alpha} - (1+1/u^{\alpha})^{\alpha} - (\alpha+\beta-1)u^{-\alpha}\log u$  increases. By (6), for sufficiently large values of r,  $\frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\beta}e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\alpha}}}{r^{1/2}\sigma(r)\log r}$  decreases.

By (1) and (5) we have

(7)

$$Q(r) = r \int_{r}^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \le r \int_{r}^{+\infty} \frac{\log M(t^{1+\sigma(t)}, f)}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt$$
  
$$= r \int_{r}^{+\infty} \frac{O^*((\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\beta e^{(\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\alpha})}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt$$
  
$$= O^* \left( r \int_{r}^{+\infty} \frac{(\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\beta e^{(\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\alpha}}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \right)$$
  
$$\le \frac{r^{1/2} O^*((\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\alpha})}{\sigma(r) \log r} \int_{r}^{+\infty} t^{-3/2} dt$$
  
$$= \frac{2\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r} \cdot$$

Note that

$$\frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\beta} e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^{\alpha}}}{(\log r)^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}}} = (1+\sigma(r))^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha} [(1+\sigma(r))^{\alpha}-1]} \\
= (1+(\sigma(r))^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha} \alpha \sigma(r)(1+o(1))} \\
= \left(1+\frac{1}{(\log r)^{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha} \alpha \frac{1}{(\log r)^{\alpha}}(1+o(1))} \\
\rightarrow e^{\alpha} (\geq 1) \quad (r \to \infty).$$
(8)

From (7) and (8) it follows that

$$\begin{split} \frac{Q(r)}{\log M(r,f)} &\leq \frac{2\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)},f)}{\sigma(r)\log r\log M(r,f)} \\ &\leq \frac{2K_2(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\alpha}}{K_1\sigma(r)\log r(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{2K_2}{K_1} \cdot \frac{1}{(\log r)^{1-\alpha}} \cdot \frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta e^{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\alpha}}{(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}} \\ &\to 0 \quad (r \to \infty). \end{split}$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

(9) 
$$Q(r) = o(\log M(r, f)).$$

Since  $T(r, f) = O^*(\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}$ , the order  $\rho$  of f is equal to zero, n(r, 1/f) = o(r) and

$$\log M(r,f) \leq \log \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} (1+r/r_n) = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \log (1+r/\ell) dn(\ell, 1/f)$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{r}{t} dn(t, 1/f) = r \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt$$
$$= r \Big( \int_{0}^{\tau} + \int_{r}^{+\infty} \Big) \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt$$
$$\leq r \frac{1}{r} \int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt + r \int_{r}^{+\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt$$
$$= N(r) + Q(r).$$
(10)

So, from Lemma 4 and (9), (10) we obtain

(11)  

$$\log|f(re^{i\theta})| > N(2R) - kQ(2R) \quad (\zeta R \le r \le R, \ r \notin E)$$

$$= N(2R) + Q(2R) - (k+1)Q(2R)$$

$$\ge \log M(2R, f) - (k+1) \circ (\log M(2R, f))$$

$$= \log M(2R, f)(1 - o(1))$$

(12)  $\geq \log M(r, f)(1 - o(1)),$ 

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

On the other hand,

(13) 
$$\log|f(z)| \le \log M(r, f) \le \log M(\sigma r, f) \quad (|z| = r, \sigma \ge 2).$$

In (11), let  $2R = \sigma r$ ,  $\sigma \ge 2$ . Then from (11), (12) and (13) we get

(14) 
$$\log|f(z)| \sim \log M(\sigma r, f) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E),$$

(15) 
$$\log|f(z)| \sim \log M(r,t) \quad (r \to \infty), r \notin E).$$

By (15), for sufficiently large values of r, we have

$$m(r,f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log^{+} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log M(r,f) (1+o(1)) d\theta$$
$$= \log M(r,f) (1+o(1)) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E).$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

(16) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log M(r, f)} = 1 \quad (r \notin E).$$

By (14) and (15), we get

(17) 
$$\log M(\sigma r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E, \sigma \ge 2).$$

Hence, from (16) and (17) we obtain

(18) 
$$T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E, \sigma \ge 2).$$

From (16) and (18) we get the desired conclusion.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 2 we have

(19) 
$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \le T(M(r, g_1), f_1) = O^*((\log M(r, g_1))^{\nu} e^{(\log M(r, g_1))^{\alpha}}).$$

Since  $T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ , by Lemma 1 we obtain

(20) 
$$\log M(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta}).$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \leq O^*((\log M(r, g_1))^{\nu} e^{(\log M(r, g_1))^{\alpha}})$$
  
=  $O^*(O^*((\log r)^{\beta\nu} e^{(O^*((\log r)^{\beta}))^{\alpha}}))$   
=  $O^*(O^*((\log r)^{\beta\nu} e^{O^*((\log r)^{\alpha\beta})})).$ 

Since  $O^*((\log r)^{\alpha\beta}) \leq K(\log r)^{\alpha\beta}$  (K > 0), there exist  $r_0 > 1$  and  $\mu > 0$   $(\alpha\beta < \mu < 1)$  such that for  $r > r_0$  we have  $K(\log r)^{\alpha\beta} < (\log r)^{\mu}$ . So

$$O^*((\log r)^{\alpha\beta}) \le (\log r)^{\mu} \quad (\alpha\beta < \mu < 1).$$

Similarly, we have

$$O^*((\log r)^{\beta\nu}) \le (\log r)^{\sigma} \quad (\beta\nu < \sigma).$$

Thus

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) < O^*((\log r)^{\sigma} e^{(\log r)^{\mu}}).$$

This implies that

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) = O^{**}((\log r)^{\sigma} e^{(\log r)^{\mu}}) \quad (0 < \beta\nu < \sigma, \ 0 < \alpha\beta < \mu < 1).$$

(i.e., there exist two positive constants K', K'' such that  $K' \leq \frac{T(r, f_1)}{(\log r)^{\sigma} e^{(\log r)^{\mu}}} \leq K''$ ).

Hence, by Lemma 5 we have

(21) 
$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim \log M(r, f_1(g_1)) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E),$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure, and

(22) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} T\left(\frac{1}{8}M(r,g_1), f_1\right) / T(M(r,g_1), f_1) = 1 \quad (r \notin E).$$

On the other hand, we may assume that  $g_1(0) = b$ ,  $G(z) = g_1(z) - b$  and  $F(z) = f_1(z+b)$ . Then

$$G(0) = g_1(0) - b = 0,$$
  

$$F(G(z)) = f_1(G(z) + b) = f_1(g_1(z)).$$

By (21), (22), Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, for sufficiently large values of r, we have

(23)  

$$T(r, f_{1}(g_{1})) = T(r, F(G)) = \log M(r, F(G))(1 + o(1)))$$

$$\geq \log M(1 - o(1))M(r, G), F)(1 + o(1))$$

$$\geq \log M\left(\frac{1}{4}M(r, G), F\right)(1 + o(1))$$

$$\geq \log M\left(\frac{1}{4}M(r, g_{1} - b), F\right)(1 + o(1))$$

$$\geq \log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M(r, g_{1}), f_{1}\right)(1 + o(1))$$

$$= T\left(\frac{1}{8}M(r, g_{1}), f_{1}\right)(1 + o(1))$$

$$= T(M(r, g_{1}), f_{1})(1 + o(1)) \quad (r \notin E).$$

Thus, from (19) and (20) it follows that

(24) 
$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(M(r, g_1), f_1) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin R)$$

Since  $T(r, f_2) \sim T(r, f_1), T(r, g_2) \sim T(r, g_1) \quad (r \to \infty)$ , we have  $T(r, f_2) = O^*((\log r)^{\nu} e^{(\log r)^{\alpha}})(1 + o(1)),$  $T(r, g_2) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta})(1 + o(1)).$ 

Similarly,

(25) 
$$T(r, f_2(g_2)) \sim T(M(r, g_2), f_2) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E).$$

Since  $T(r, g_2) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ , by Lemma 5 we obtain

(26) 
$$\log M(r, g_2) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta})$$

Then there exist two constants  $K_5$  and  $K_6$  ( $K_6 > K_5 > 0$ ),  $K_6 > 1$ , such that

$$K_5 \le \log M(r, g_2) / (\log r)^\beta \le K_6.$$

Then

(27) 
$$e^{K_5(\log r)^\beta} \le M(r, g_2) \le e^{K_6(\log r)^\beta}.$$

Since  $T(r, g_2) \sim T(r, g_1)$   $(r \to \infty)$  and  $T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^{\beta})$ , by Lemma 5 we have

$$\log M(r,g_1) \sin T(r,g_1) \sim T(r,g_2) \sim \log M(r,g_2) \quad (r \to \infty).$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $r_1 > r_0 > 0$  such that for  $r > r_1$  it holds

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{\log M(r, g_1)}{\log M(r, g_2)} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Take  $\varepsilon = 1/(\log r)^{\beta}$ . By (27),

$$M(r,g_1) < (M(r,g_2))^{1+\varepsilon} \le M(r,g_2)e^{K_6\varepsilon(\log r)^{\beta}} = e^{K_6}M(r,g_2)e^{K_6\varepsilon(\log r)^{\beta}}$$

and

$$M(r,g_1) > (M(r,g_2))^{1-\varepsilon} \ge e^{-K_5} M(r,g_2) > 1/2(e^{-K_5} M(r,g_2)).$$
  
Put  $\delta = e^{K_6}$  ( $\delta > 2$ ) and  $\delta' = 1/2(e^{-K_5})$  ( $0 < \delta' < 1/2$ ). We have  
(28)  $\delta' M(r,g_2) < M(r,g_1) < \delta M(r,g_2).$ 

By (28) and Lamma 5, we get

$$T(M(r,g_1),f_1) \le T(\delta M(r,g_2),f_1) = T(M(r,g_2),f_1)(1+o(1)),$$

and

$$T(M(r,g_1), f_1) \ge T(\delta' M(r,g_2), f_1)$$
  
=  $T\left(\frac{1}{\delta'}\delta' M(r,g_2), f_1\right)(1+o(1))$   
=  $T(M(r,g_2), f_1)(1+o(1)).$ 

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

(29) 
$$T(M(r,g_1),f_1) \sim T(M(r,g_2),f_1) \quad (r \to \infty).$$

Similarly we have

(30) 
$$T(M(r,g_1),f_2) \sim T(M(r,g_2),f_2) \quad (r \to \infty)$$
  
Since  $T(r,f_1) \sim T(r,f_2) \quad (r \to \infty)$ , it holds

$$T(M(r, g_1), f_1) \sim T(M(r, g_1), f_2) \quad (r \to \infty).$$

By (29) and (30),

(31) 
$$T(M(r,g_1),f_1) \sim T(M(r,g_2),f_2) \quad (r \to \infty).$$

Combining (24), (25) and (31) we get

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(r, f_2(g_2)) \quad (r \to \infty, r \notin E).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$ 

### References

- [1] M. L. Cartwight, Integral Function Cambridge University Press, 1956.
- [2] Chitai Chuang and C. C. Yang, The Fixpoint of Meromorphic and Factorization Theory, Beijing Univ. Press, 1988.
- [3] J. Clunie, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, In: Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio Univ. Press, 1972, pp. 75-92.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Oxford, 1964.
- [5] K. Niino and N. Suita, Growth of a composite function of entire functions, Kodai Math. J. 3 (1980), 374-379.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUAIYIN TEACHER'S COLLEGE, JIANGSU 223001, P. R. CHINA