# MAPPINGS IN ls-σ-FINITE PONOMAREV-SYSTEMS

NGUYEN VAN DUNG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of an  $ls$ - $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, \sigma)$  $X, {\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}}$ ) to give a consistent method to construct an s-mapping (*msss*mapping,  $mssc$ -mapping,  $cs$ -mapping)  $f$  with covering-properties from a locally separable metric space  $M$  onto a space  $X$ . As applications, we systematically get characterizations of s-images (msss-images, mssc-images, cs-images) with covering-properties of locally separable metric spaces.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Finding characterizations of nice images of metric spaces is one of the most important problems in theory of generalized metric spaces [11]. Various kinds of characterizations have been obtained by means of certain networks [16], [23]. Recently, many authors have been interested in finding characterizations of nice images of locally separable metric spaces under mappings with covering-properties (covering-mapping for short). The key to prove these results is to construct covering-mappings from a locally separable metric space onto a space. In [1], the authors introduced the notion of an ls-Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_\lambda\})$  to give necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping  $f$  is an  $s$ -mapping with covering-properties from a locally separable metric space  $M$  onto a space  $X$ . As applications, characterizations of certain s-images of locally separable metric spaces were obtained by means of double covers.

In [15], S. Lin introduced *msss*-mappings and *mssc*-mappings to characterize spaces with  $\sigma$ -locally countable networks and spaces with  $\sigma$ -locally finite networks respectively. After that, Z. Qu and Z. Gao introduced cs-mappings to characterize spaces with compact-countable networks in [21]. These mappings have close relations with s-mappings and play important roles in finding characterizations for images of metric spaces  $[4]$ ,  $[9]$ ,  $[12]$ ,  $[13]$ ,  $[14]$ . However, for the ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-systems  $(f, M, X, \{P_\lambda\})$ , we do not know what are the necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping  $f$  is an msss-mapping (mssc-mapping, cs-mapping) with covering-properties.

Received June 22, 2009.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54E35, 54E40; Secondary 54E99.

Key words and phrases. s-mapping, msss-mapping, mssc-mapping, cs-mapping, sequencecovering, compact-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient,  $ls-\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system.

We are interested in finding a consistent method to construct an s-mapping  $(msss\text{-mapping}, mssc\text{-mapping}, cs\text{-mapping})$  f with covering-properties from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X.

In this paper, we use a special kind of the  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$ in [5], called a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$ , to give a consistent method to construct a covering-mapping  $f$  from a separable metric space  $M$  onto a space X. Using a family of  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-systems  $\{(f_\lambda, M_\lambda, X_\lambda, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$ :  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we introduce the notion of an ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \Lambda)$  ${\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}}$ ) to give a consistent method to construct an s-mapping (*msss*-mapping,  $mssc$ -mapping,  $cs$ -mapping)  $f$  with covering-properties from a locally separable metric space  $M$  onto a space  $X$ . As applications, we systematically get characterizations of s-images (msss-images, mssc-images, cs-images) with coveringproperties of locally separable metric spaces. These results make the study of images of locally separable metric spaces more complete.

The paper is organized as follows. In addition to the introduction, the paper contains two more sections. Section 2 presents definitions of networks and mappings, and lemmas which will be used throughout the paper. The main results are presented in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all spaces are  $T_1$  and regular, all mappings are continuous and onto, a convergent sequence includes its limit point. We denote by N the set of all natural numbers and write  $\omega = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $p_k$  denote the projection from  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$  onto  $X_k$ . Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping,  $x \in X$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of X, we define  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$ ,  $f(\mathcal{P}) = \{f(P) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ ,  $\bigcap \mathcal{P} = \bigcap \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}\$ and  $\bigcup \mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{P : p \in \mathcal{P}\}\$ . We say that a convergent sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$ , converging to x, is eventually in U if  $\{x_n : n \geq n_0\} \cup \{x\} \subset U$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and is frequently in U if  ${x_{n_k}:k\in\mathbb{N}}\cup\{x\}\subset U$  for some subsequence  ${x_{n_k}:k\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  ${x_n:n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $P$  be a family of subsets of a space X and K be a subset of X.

(1) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $P$  is called a *network at x in* X [19] if  $x \in \bigcap P$  and if  $x \in U$  with U open in X, then  $x \in P \subset U$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ .

P is called a *network for* X [19] if  $\mathcal{P}_x$  is a network at x in X for every  $x \in X$ . (2) P is called a *cf p-network for* K in X [1] if for each compact subset H of K with  $H \subset U$  and U open in X, there exists a finite subfamily F of P such that  $H \subset \bigcup \{C_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$ , where  $C_F$  is closed and  $C_F \subset F$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $K = X$ , then a cfp-network for K in X is a cfp-network for X [24].

(3) P is called a cs-network for K in X (resp. cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for K in X) [1] if for each convergent sequence S in K converging to  $x \in U$  with U open in X, S is eventually (resp. frequently) in  $P \subset U$  for some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . If  $K = X$ , then a cs-network for K in X (resp.  $cs^*$ -network for K in X) is a cs-network for X [10] (resp.  $cs^*$ -network for  $\hat{X}$  [7]).

(4)  $\mathcal P$  is called a *wcs-network for* K in X [5] if for each convergent sequence S in K converging to  $x \in U$  with U open in X, S is eventually in  $\bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$  for some finite subfamily F of  $\mathcal{P}_x$ . If  $K = X$ , then a wcs-network for K in X is a wcs-network for X.

(5) P is called a *strong network* for X [5] if, for each  $x \in X$ , the exists a countable  $\mathcal{P}(x) \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  forms a network at x in X.

**Lemma 2.2** ([5], Lemma 2.6). If  $P$  is a cs-network for a convergent sequence  $S \subset U$  with U open in a space X, then there exists  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $F$  is finite;
- (2) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\emptyset \neq F \cap S \subset F \subset U$ ;
- (3) For each  $x \in S$ , there exists a unique  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in F$ ;
- (4) If  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  contains the limit point of S, then  $S F$  is finite.

Such an  $\mathcal F$  is said to have the property  $cs(S, U)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([5], Lemma 2.7). If P is a cfp-network for a compact subset  $K \subset U$ with U open in a space X, then there exists  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$  satisfying the following:

- (1)  $F$  is finite;
- (2) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}, \emptyset \neq F \cap K \subset F \subset U;$
- (3) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F} \{F\}$  is not a cover for K;
- (4) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $F \cap K$  is compact.

Such an  $\mathcal F$  is said to have the property  $cf p(K, U)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A space X is called a *cosmic* space [20] (resp.  $\aleph_0$ -space [20]) if X has a countable network (resp. countable  $cs$ -network).

It is well-known that a space X is an  $\aleph_0$ -space if and only if X has a countable  $cf p$ -network (wcs-network, cs<sup>\*</sup>-network) [23].

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  be a cover for a space X.

(1) P is called a  $\sigma$ -network for X if for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a countable network  $\{P_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ at x in X such that  $P_{\alpha_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(2)  $P$  is called a  $\sigma$ -finite network for X if P is a  $\sigma$ -network for X and every  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is finite.

(3) A σ-finite network P is called a σ-finite cs-network (resp. σ-finite cfpnetwork,  $\sigma$ -finite wcs-network,  $\sigma$ -finite cs<sup>\*</sup>-network) for X if  $P$  is a cs-network (resp. *cf p*-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping.

(1) f is called a  $(Z)$ -msss-mapping or an msss-mapping [15] if X is a subspace of the product space  $Z = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  of a family  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of metric spaces, and for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists a sequence  $\{V_{y,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of open neighborhoods of y in Y such that each  $p_n(f^{-1}(V_{y,n}))$  is a separable subset of  $X_n$ .

(2) f is called a  $(Z)$ -mssc-mapping or an mssc-mapping [15] if X is a subspace of the product space  $Z = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$  of a family  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of metric spaces, and for each  $y \in Y$ , there exists a sequence  $\{V_{y,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of open neighborhoods of y in Y such that each  $p_n(f^{-1}(V_{y,n}))$  is a compact subset of  $X_n$ .

(3) f is called an s-mapping [2] if, for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a separable subset of  $X$ .

(4) f is called a cs-mapping [21] if, for each compact subset K of Y,  $f^{-1}(K)$ is a separable subset of X.

(5) f is called a *sequence-covering* mapping [22] if, for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a convergent sequence L of X such that  $f(L) = S$ . Note that a sequence-covering mapping is a strong sequence-covering mapping in the sense of [14].

(6) f is called a *subsequence-covering* mapping  $[17]$  if, for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a compact subset K of X such that  $f(K)$  is a subsequence of S.

(7) f is called a *sequentially-quotient* mapping [3] if, for each convergent sequence S of Y, there exists a convergent sequence L of X such that  $f(L)$  is a subsequence of S.

For terms which are not defined here, please refer to [6] and [16].

It is well-known that an image of a network (resp.  $cs$ -network,  $cf$ *p*-network,  $cf p$ -network,  $cs^*$ -network) under a mapping (resp. sequence-covering mapping, compact-covering mapping, pseudo-sequence-covering mapping, sequentiallyquotient mapping) is a network (resp. cs-network,  $cf$  p-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network). From these facts and Definition 2.5, we have the following.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping and  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite network for X. Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\bigcup \{ f(\mathcal{P}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite network for Y.
- (2) If  $\bigcup \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-network (resp. cfp-network, cfpnetwork,  $cs^*$ -network) for X and f is a sequence-covering (resp. compactcovering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mapping, then  $\bigcup \{ f(\mathcal{P}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcsnetwork,  $cs^*$ -network) for Y.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  be a  $\sigma$ -network for a space X. Assume that  $X \in \mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is closed under finite intersections for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in A_n\}$ , where each  $A_n$  is endowed with the discrete topology, then  $A_n$  is a metric space. We define

$$
M = \{a = (\alpha_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n :
$$

 $\{P_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  forms a network at some point  $x_a$  in  $X\}$ .

Then, M is a metric space, and  $x_a$  is unique for each  $a \in M$ . Define  $f : M \longrightarrow$ X by  $f(a) = x_a$  for every  $a \in M$ . Then f is a mapping from the metric space M onto X. The system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  is a  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system [5].

If  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a  $\sigma$ -finite network for X, then a  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system.

Remark 2.9. For a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$ , since  $A_n$  is finite for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the mapping f is a (Z)-mssc-mapping with  $Z = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ 

and  $M$  is a separable metric space. From now on, the  $mssc$ -mapping (resp. *msss*-mapping) f in a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  is understood to mean a  $(Z)$ -mssc-mapping (resp.  $(Z)$ -msss-mapping) with  $Z = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ .

By [5, Lemma 2.11], we have the following.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system,  $a = (\alpha_n) \in$ M where  $\{P_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  is a network at some point  $x_a$  in X, and

$$
U_n = \{b = (\beta_i) \in M : \beta_i = \alpha_i \text{ if } i \leq n\},\
$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a base at a in M.
- (2)  $f(U_n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_{\alpha_i}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In [5, Theorem 3.2], necessary and sufficient conditions for a mapping  $f$  to be a covering-mapping in a  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  have been obtained by means of strong networks. Next, we modify these conditions in a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system.

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold:

- (1)  $P$  is a cs-network for a convergent sequence S in X if and only if  $Sf(L)$ for some convergent sequence L in M.
- (2) P is a cfp-network for a compact subset K in X if and only if  $K = f(L)$ for some compact subset  $L$  of  $M$ .
- (3)  $P$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-network (wcs-network) for a convergent sequence S in X if and only if  $S = f(L)$  for some compact subset L of M.

*Proof.* (1). *Necessity*. Let  $P$  be a cs-network for a convergent sequence S in X. Suppose that  $S = \{x_m : m \in \omega\}$  with the limit point  $x_0$ . We have that  $\mathcal{F} = \{X\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  has the property  $cs(S, X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is countable,  $\{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$ :  $\mathcal F$  has the property  $cs(S, X)$  is countable. So we can write

 $\{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \text{ has the property } cs(S,X)\} = \{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\},\$ 

and put  $\mathcal{F}_{n(1)} = \{X\} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ . For each  $i \geq 2$  if there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_j \subset \big(\mathcal{P}_i - \{\mathcal{F}_{n(k)} : k = 1, \ldots, i - 1\}\big)$ , then we define

$$
n(i) = \min\left\{j \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}_j \subset \left(\mathcal{P}_i - \{\mathcal{F}_{n(k)} : k = 1, \ldots, i-1\}\right)\right\};
$$

otherwise, put  $\mathcal{F}_{n(i)}\{X\}$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{F}_{n(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_{n(i)}\{P_{\alpha} : \alpha \in B_i\}$ , where  $B_i$  is a finite set. For every  $m \in \omega$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}_{n(i)}$  has the property  $cs(S, X)$ , there exists a unique  $\alpha_{im} \in B_i$  such that  $x_m \in P_{\alpha_{im}} \in \mathcal{F}_{n(i)}$ . Let us put  $a_m = (\alpha_{im}) \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i$  and  $L = \{a_m : m \in \omega\}$ . As in the sufficiency of the proof of  $(1)$  of  $[5,$  Theorem 3.2], we have that L is a convergent sequence in M and  $f(L) = S$ .

Sufficiency. Let S be a convergent sequence in X and  $S = f(L)$  for some convergent sequence L in M. As in the necessity of the proof of  $(1)$  of  $[5,$  Theorem 3.2, we have that  $P$  is a cs-network for S in X.

(2). Necessity. Let  $P$  be a cf p-network for a compact subset K of X. We have that  $\mathcal{F} = \{X\} \subset \mathcal{P}$  has the property  $cfp(K, X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is countable,  $\{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F}$  has the property  $cfp(K,X)$  is countable. So we can write

$$
\{\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P} : \mathcal{F} \text{ has the property } cfp(K,X)\} = \{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\},\
$$

and put  $\mathcal{F}_{n(1)} = \{X\} \subset \mathcal{P}_1$ . For each  $i \geq 2$  if there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_j \subset (\mathcal{P}_i - {\{\mathcal{F}_{n(k)} : k = 1, ..., i-1\}}),$  then we can define

 $n(i) = \min \{ j \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{F}_j \subset (\mathcal{P}_i - \{ \mathcal{F}_{n(k)} : k = 1, \dots, i - 1 \}) \};$ 

otherwise, put  $\mathcal{F}_{n(i)} = \{X\}$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{F}_{n(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}_{n(i)} = \{P_{\alpha} : \alpha \in B_i\}$ , where  $B_i$  is a finite set. Let us define

$$
L = \{a = (\alpha_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n : \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (P_{\alpha_n} \cap K) \neq \emptyset\}.
$$

As in the sufficiency part of the proof of  $(2)$  of  $[5,$  Theorem 3.2, L is a compact subset of M and  $f(L) = K$ .

Sufficiency. Let K be a compact subset of X and  $K = f(L)$  for some compact subset L of M. As in the necessity part of the proof of  $(2)$  of  $[5,$  Theorem 3.2],  $P$  is a *cf* p-network for K in X.

(3). Necessity. Let  $P$  be a  $cs^*$ -network for a convergent sequence S in X. Since P is countable, P is precisely a wcs-network for S in X. This implies that P is a cf p-network for S in X. As in the necessity part of  $(2)$ , there exists a compact subset L of M such that  $f(L) = S$ .

Sufficiency. Let S be a convergent sequence in X and  $S = f(L)$  for some compact subset L of M. As in the sufficiency part of (2),  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cf p-network for S in X. Since S is a convergent sequence,  $\mathcal P$  is a  $cs^*$ -network (wcs-network) for  $S$  in  $X$ .

By Lemma 2.11, we get the following.

Corollary 2.12. Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system and  $P =$  $\bigcup \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ . Then the following hold:

- (1)  $f$  is a sequence-covering mapping if and only if  $P$  is a cs-network for  $X$ .
- (2) f is a compact-covering mapping if and only if  $P$  is a cfp-network for X.
- (3) f is a sequentially-quotient (pseudo-sequence-covering) mapping if and only if  $P$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for X.

## 3. MAPPINGS IN  $ls$ - $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-systems

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover for a space X such that each  $X_{\lambda}$ has a sequence of covers  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ 

(1)  $\{(X_\lambda, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *double*  $\sigma$ *-finite cover* for X if for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\bigcup {\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite network for  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(2) A double  $\sigma$ -finite cover  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *point-countable* (resp. locally countable, locally finite, compact-countable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X if  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is point-countable (resp. locally countable, locally finite, compactcountable), where  $\mathcal{P}_n = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : \lambda \in \Lambda \}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because each

 $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is finite, we have that  $\{(X_\lambda,\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-countable (resp. compact-countable) if and only if  $\{X_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-countable (resp. compactcountable).

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(1)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *double*  $\sigma$ *-finite cs-cover* for X if, for each convergent sequence S converging to x in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is eventually in  $X_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is a cs-network for  $S \cap X_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$ .

(2)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *double*  $\sigma$ *-finite cfp-cover* for X if for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  the following conditions hold:

i) There exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_K$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $K = \bigcup \{K_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}.$ 

ii) For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ ,  $K_\lambda$  is compact.

iii)  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a *cf p*-network for  $K_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(3)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *double*  $\sigma$ *-finite wcs-cover* for X if for each convergent sequence  $S$  in  $X$  the following conditions hold:

i) There exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $S = \bigcup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}.$ 

ii) For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ ,  $S_\lambda$  is a convergent sequence.

iii)  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a wcs-network for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(4)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is called a *double*  $\sigma$ *-finite cs*<sup>\*</sup>-cover for X if for each convergent sequence S in X there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and a subsequence  $S_{\lambda}$  of S such that  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping and  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X. Then the following hold:

- (1)  $\{(f(X_\lambda), \{f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for Y.
- (2) If  $\{(X_\lambda, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover (resp. cfp-cover, wcs $cover, cs^*-cover)$  for  $X$  and  $f$  is a sequence-covering (resp. compactcovering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mapping, then  $\{(f(X_\lambda), \{f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover (resp. cfpcover, wcs-cover, cs<sup>∗</sup> -cover) for Y .
- (3) If  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-countable (resp. compact-countable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X and f is an s-mapping (resp. cs-mapping), then  $\{(f(X_\lambda), \{f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-countable (resp. compactcountable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for Y.

*Proof.* (1). By Lemma 2.7.(1).

(2). By (1),  $\{(f(X_{\lambda}), \{f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X. It follows from  $[1, \text{ Lemma } 2.13]$  that whenever f is a sequence-covering (resp. compact-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mapping, then  $\{f(X_\lambda), f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover (resp. cfpcover,  $wcs$ -cover,  $cs^*$ -cover) for Y.

(3). By (1),  $\{(f(X_\lambda), \{f(\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n})\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for X. For the un-parenthetic part, see [4, Theorem 2.14]. Also, in view of the proof of [4, Theorem 2.14, we get the parenthetic part.  $\square$  **Definition 3.4.** Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite cover for a space X, and  $(f_{\lambda}, M_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  be the  $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We denote by  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ , and  $f = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}$ . Then M is a locally separable metric space, and  $f$  is a mapping from a locally separable metric space  $M$  onto X. The system  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  is called an ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system.

Remark 3.5. For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} = \{P_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A_{\lambda,n}\},\$ and  $A_n = \bigcup \{A_{\lambda,n} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Then the space M of an  $ls$ - $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarevsystem  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  is a subspace of  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$ . From now on, the *msss*mapping (resp. mssc-mapping) f in an ls- $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n$ . is understood to mean a  $(Z)$ -msss-mapping (resp.  $(Z)$ -mssc-mapping) with  $Z =$ 

In [5, Theorem 3.1], the necessary and sufficient conditions for f to be an smapping (resp. msss-mapping, mssc-mapping) from a metric space M onto a space X in a  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  have been obtained. Next, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for  $f$  to be an s-mapping (resp.  $mss$ mapping,  $mssc$ -mapping,  $cs$ -mapping) from a locally separable metric space  $M$ onto a space X in an ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  be an ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold:

- (1) f is an s-mapping if and only if  $\{(X_\lambda, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-countable.
- (2) f is an msss-mapping if and only if  $\{(X_\lambda, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is locally countable.
- (3) f is an mssc-mapping if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is locally finite.
- (4) f is a cs-mapping if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is compactcountable.

*Proof.* (1). *Necessity*. Let f be an s-mapping. For each  $x \in X$ , we have that  $f^{-1}(x)$  is a separable subset of X. Then  $\Lambda_x = {\lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(x) \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset}$  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : x \in X_{\lambda}\}\$ is countable. Therefore  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-countable. This implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-countable.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be point-countable. Then  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  $\Lambda$ } is also point-countable. For each  $x \in X$ , we have that  $\Lambda_x = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : x \in X\}$  $X_{\lambda}$ } = { $\lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ } is countable. Then  $f^{-1}(x) = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda} :$  $\lambda \in \Lambda_x$  is a separable subset of M. This proves that f is an s-mapping.

(2). Necessity. Let f be an msss-mapping. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  ${V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open neighborhoods of x in X such that each  $p_n(f^{-1}(V_{x,n}))$  is a separable subset of  $A_n$ . Then

$$
\Lambda_{x,n} = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : p_n(f^{-1}(V_{x,n})) \cap A_{\lambda,n} \neq \emptyset \}
$$
  
=  $\{ \lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(V_{x,n}) \cap M_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset \} = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : V_{x,n} \cap X_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset \}$ 

is countable. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{x,n}$ , since  $A_{\lambda,n}$  is finite, so is  $A_{x,\lambda,n} = \{\alpha \in A_{\lambda,n}$ :  $V_{x,n} \cap P_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$
A_{x,n} = \{ \alpha \in A_n : V_{x,n} \cap P_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \} = \bigcup \{ A_{x,\lambda,n} : \lambda \in \Lambda_{x,n} \}
$$

is countable. This implies that  $\bigcup {\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is locally countable. Therefore,  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is locally countable.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be locally countable. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  ${V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of neighborhoods of x such that  $A_{x,n}$  =  $\{\alpha \in A_n : V_{x,n} \cap P_\alpha \neq \emptyset\}$  is countable. Since  $f^{-1}(V_{x,n}) \subset A_{x,n}$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_{x,n})$  is a separable subset of  $A_n$ . This implies that f is an msss-mapping.

(3). Necessity. Let f be an mssc-mapping. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  ${V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of open neighborhoods of x in X such that each  $\overline{p_n(f^{-1}(V_{x,n}))}$  is a compact subset of  $A_n$ . Then

$$
\Lambda_{x,n} = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : \overline{p_n(f^{-1}(V_{x,n}))} \cap A_{\lambda,n} \neq \emptyset \}
$$
  
=  $\{ \lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(V_{x,n}) \cap M_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset \} = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : V_{x,n} \cap X_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset \}$ 

is finite. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{x,n}$ , since  $A_{\lambda,n}$  is finite, so is  $A_{x,\lambda,n} = \{ \alpha \in A_{\lambda,n} :$  $V_{x,n} \cap P_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$
A_{x,n} = \{ \alpha \in A_n : V_{x,n} \cap P_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \} = \bigcup \{ A_{x,\lambda,n} : \lambda \in \Lambda_{x,n} \}
$$

is finite. This implies that  $\bigcup {\mathcal P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}$  is locally finite. Therefore,  $\{(X_\lambda, {\mathcal P}_{\lambda,n}\})$ :  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is locally finite.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be locally finite. For each  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  ${V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of neighborhoods of x such that  $A_{x,n} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  $A_n: V_{x,n} \cap P_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  is finite. Since  $f^{-1}(V_{x,n}) \subset A_{x,n}$ ,  $\overline{f^{-1}(V_{x,n})}$  is a compact subset of  $A_n$ . This implies that f is an mssc-mapping.

(4). Necessity. Let f be a cs-mapping. For each compact subset K of X, we have that  $f^{-1}(K)$  is a separable subset of X. Then  $\Lambda_K = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(K) \cap M_{\lambda} \neq$  $\emptyset$ } = { $\lambda \in \Lambda : K \cap X_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ } is countable. Then  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is compactcountable. This implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, {\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is also compact-countable.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be compact-countable. Then  $\{X_{\lambda} :$  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  is compact-countable. For each compact subset K of X, we have that  $\Lambda_K = {\lambda \in \Lambda : K \cap X_\lambda \neq \emptyset} = {\lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(K) \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset}$  is countable. Then  $f^{-1}(K) = \bigcup \{f^{-1}(K) \cap M_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$  is a separable subset of M. This proves that f is a cs-mapping.  $\square$ 

In  $[5,$  Theorem 3.2, the necessary and sufficient conditions for f to be a covering-mapping from a metric space M onto a space X in a  $\sigma$ -Ponomarevsystem  $(f, M, X, \{P_n\})$  have been obtained by means of strong networks. Next, we give the necessary and sufficient conditions for  $f$  to be a covering-mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X in an  $ls-\sigma$ -finite Ponomarevsystem  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  by means of double  $\sigma$ -finite cover.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  be an  $ls$ - $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold:

- (1) f is a sequence-covering mapping if and only if  $\{(X_\lambda, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X.
- (2) f is a compact-covering mapping if and only if  $\{(X_\lambda, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cf p-cover for X.
- (3) f is a pseudo-sequence-covering mapping if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$ :  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  *is a double σ-finite wcs-cover for X.*
- (4) f is a sequentially-quotient mapping if and only if  $\{(X_\lambda, {\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is a double  $\sigma$ -finite  $cs^*$ -cover for X.

*Proof.* (1). *Necessity*. Let f be a sequence-covering mapping. For each convergent sequence S converging to  $x$  in  $X$ , there exists a convergent sequence  $L$  in M such that  $f(L) = S$ . We have that L is eventually in some  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then S is eventually in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Then  $L_{\lambda} = L \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence in  $M_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $\bigcup {\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}} : n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a cs-network for  $f(L_\lambda)$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Then  $\bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  is a cs-network for  $S \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . This implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X. For each convergent sequence S in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is eventually in  $X_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is a cs-network for  $S \cap X_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$ . It follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $S \cap X_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda})$  for some convergent sequence  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$ . Since  $S - X_{\lambda}$  is finite,  $S - X_{\lambda} = f(F)$  for some finite subset F of M. Therefore  $L = F \cup L_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence in M and  $f(L) = S$ . This implies that f is a sequencecovering mapping.

 $(2)$ . *Necessity*. Let f be a compact-covering mapping. For each compact subset K of X, there exists a compact subset L of M such that  $f(L) = K$ . Since L is compact,  $\Lambda_K = {\lambda \in \Lambda : L \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset}$  is finite and each  $L \cap M_\lambda$  is compact. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , Let  $K_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L \cap M_{\lambda})$ . Then  $K = \bigcup \{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ ,  $K_\lambda$  is compact and  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is a *cf p*-network for  $K_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$  by Lemma 2.11. This proves that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cf p-cover for  $X$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite cf p-cover for X. For each compact subset K of X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_K$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $K = \bigcup \{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ ,  $K_{\lambda}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a cf p-network for  $K_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , it follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $K_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda})$  for some compact subset  $L_{\lambda}$  of  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then  $L = \bigcup \{L_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$  is a compact subset of M and  $f(L) = K$ . This implies that f is a compact-covering mapping.

 $(3)$ . Necessity. Let f be a pseudo-sequence-covering mapping. For each convergent sequence S of X, there exists a compact subset L of M such that  $f(L) = S$ . Since L is compact,  $\Lambda_S = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : L \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite and each  $L \cap M_\lambda$  is compact. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ , we define  $S_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L \cap M_{\lambda})$ . Then  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ ,  $S_\lambda$  is compact. Since  $S_\lambda$  is a compact subset of  $S$ ,  $S_\lambda$  is a convergent sequence for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a wcs-network for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$  by Lemma 2.11. This proves that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite wcs-cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite wcs-cover for X. For each convergent sequence S of X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $S = \bigcup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$ , and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ ,  $S_\lambda$  is a convergent sequence and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a wcs-network for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ , it follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $S_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda})$  for some compact subset  $L_{\lambda}$  of  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then  $L = \bigcup \{L_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$ is a compact subset of M and  $f(L) = S$ . This proves that f is a pseudo-sequencecovering mapping.

 $(4)$ . Necessity. Let f be a sequentially-quotient mapping. For each convergent sequence S of X, there exists a convergent sequence L of M such that  $f(L)$  is a subsequence of S. As in the necessity of (1),  $f(L)$  is eventually in some  $X_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda}$  is a cs-network for  $f(L)$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . This proves that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, {\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite  $cs^*$ -cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover for X. For each convergent sequence S of X, there exist  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and a subsequence  $S_{\lambda}$  of S such that  $P_{\lambda}$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-network for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.11 that  $S_{\lambda} = f(L_{\lambda})$  for some compact subset  $L_{\lambda}$  of  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then f is a subsequence-covering mapping. By [8, Proposition 2.1],  $f$  is a sequentially-quotient mapping.  $\Box$ 

By Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, we get the following.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  be an ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is a sequence-covering s-mapping.
- (2)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-countable  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X.

The above statement still holds if we replace the pair ("sequence-covering", "cs $cover"$ ) by any of the following pairs: ("compact-covering", "cfp-cover"), ("pseudo-sequence-covering", "wcs-cover") and ("sequentially-quotient", "cs<sup>∗</sup> cover") and replace the pair ("s-mapping", "point-countable") by any of the following pairs: ("msss-mapping", "locally countable") and ("mssc-mapping", "locally finite").

Now, we systematically get characterizations of images of locally separable metric spaces under covering-mappings. For other characterizations of s-images (resp. cs-images) of locally separable metric spaces, see [1], [18] (resp. [12]). For a characterization of sequence-covering msss-images of locally separable metric spaces, see [4].

**Corollary 3.9.** The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .

- (1) X is a sequence-covering s-image (resp. cs-image) of a locally separable metric space.
- (2) X has a point-countable (resp. compact-countable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cscover.

Moreover, the statement still holds if we replace the pair ("sequence-covering", "cs-cover") by any of the following pairs: ("compact-covering", "cfp-cover"), ("pseudo-sequence-covering", "wcs-cover") and ("sequentially-quotient", "cs<sup>∗</sup> cover").

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let X be a sequence-covering s-image (resp. cs-image) of a locally separable metric space. Then there exists a sequence-covering s-mapping (resp. cs-mapping)  $f : M \longrightarrow X$  from a locally separable metric space M onto X. Since M is locally separable metric space,  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$  where each  $M_{\lambda}$ is a separable metric space by [6, 4.4.F]. Since each  $M_{\lambda}$  is a separable metric space,  $M_{\lambda}$  has a countable base  $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda} = \{B_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\.$  For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n} = \{B_{\lambda,n}, M_{\lambda}\},\$  then  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-network for  $M_{\lambda}$ . It is easy to see that  $\{(M_\lambda, {\{\mathcal{B}}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for M. By Lemma 3.3, we have that  $\{(f(M_\lambda), \{f(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n})\}): \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-countable (resp. compact-countable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{P_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a point-countable (resp. compactcountable) double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover for X. Then the ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  exists. By Corollary 3.8, X is a sequence-covering s-image (resp. cs-image) of a locally separable metric space.

The remaining parts are similarly proved.

**Corollary 3.10.** The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .

- $(1)$  X is a sequence-covering (resp. compact-covering, pseudo- sequencecovering, sequentially-quotient) msss-image of a locally separable metric space.
- (2) X has a  $\sigma$ -locally countable cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network, cs<sup>∗</sup> -network) consisting of cosmic subspaces.
- (3) X has a  $\sigma$ -locally countable cs-network (resp. cf p-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) consisting of  $\aleph_0$ -subspaces.
- (4) X has a locally countable double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover (resp. cfp-cover, wcscover, cs<sup>∗</sup> -cover).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In view of the proof of [4, Theorem 2.8], we have that X has a  $\sigma$ -locally countable cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network, cs<sup>\*</sup>-network) consisting of cosmic subspaces.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ be a  $\sigma$ -locally countable cs-network (resp.  $cf p$ -network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X consisting of cosmic subspaces. We shall prove that each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in P$ , since  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is locally countable, there exists an open neighborhood  $U_{x,n}$  of x such that  $U_{x,n}$  meets only countably many members of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . Since P is a cosmic space and  $\{U_{x,n} : x \in P\}$  is an open cover for P, there exists a countable subset C of P such that  $\{U_{x,n} : x \in C\}$  is a countable open cover for P. Then P meets only countably many members of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . This implies that P meets only countably many members of P. Therefore  $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q \cap P : Q \in \mathcal{P}\}\$ is countable. Since  $P$  is a cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X, Q is a cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network, cs<sup>\*</sup>-network) for P. Then  $Q$ is a countable cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for P. This proves that P is an  $\aleph_0$ -space.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}$  be a  $\sigma$ -locally countable cs-network (resp. cf p-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X consisting of  $\aleph_0$ -subspaces, where each  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_{\alpha_n} : \alpha_n \in A_n\}$  is a locally countable family. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since

each  $P_{\alpha_n}$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -space,  $P_{\alpha_n}$  has a countable cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network, cs<sup>\*</sup>-network)  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_n} = \{P_{\alpha_{n,i}} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  where  $P_{\alpha_{n,i}} = P_{\alpha_n}$  for every  $i < n$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_{n,i}} = \{P_{\alpha_n}\} \cup \{P_{\alpha_{n,j}} : j \leq i\}$ . Then  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_{n,i}} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a  $\sigma$ -finite cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network, cs<sup>\*</sup>-network) for  $P_{\alpha_n}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}_n = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_{i,n}} : \alpha_i \in A_i, i \leq n \}$ . Since each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is locally countable and each  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_{i,n}}$  is finite,  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  is locally countable. This proves that  $\{(P_{\alpha_n}, \{Q_{\alpha_{n,i}}\}): \alpha_n \in A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is a locally countable  $\sigma$ -finite double cs-cover (resp.  $cfp$ -cover, wcs-cover,  $cs^*$ -cover) for X.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a locally countable double  $\sigma$ finite cs-cover (resp. cfp-cover, wcs-cover,  $cs^*$ -cover) for X. Then the ls- $\sigma$ -finite Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{P_{\lambda,n}\})$  exists. By Corollary 3.8, X is a sequencecovering (resp. compact-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient)  $\Box$  msss-image of a locally separable metric space.

Corollary 3.11. The following are equivalent for a space X.

- $(1)$  X is a sequence-covering (resp. compact-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mssc-image of a locally separable metric space.
- (2) X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ network) consisting of cosmic subspaces.
- (3) X has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ network) consisting of  $\aleph_0$ -subspaces.
- (4) X has a locally finite double  $\sigma$ -finite cs-cover (resp. cf p-cover, wcs-cover, cs<sup>∗</sup> -cover).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $f : M \longrightarrow X$  be a sequence-covering (resp. compactcovering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mssc-mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto X, and let  $\{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\)$  be the family of metric spaces such that M is a subspace of  $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ , and for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a sequence  $\{V_{x,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}\$  of open neighborhoods of x in X such that each  $\overline{p_n(f^{-1}(V_{x,n}))}$  is a compact subset of  $X_n$ . Since M is a locally separable metric space,  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ , where each  $M_{\lambda}$  is a separable metric space by [6, 4.4.F]. Since each  $X_n$  is a metric space,  $X_n$  has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite base  $\mathcal{C}_n \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C}_{n,i} : i \in \mathbb{N} \},$ where each  $\mathcal{C}_{n,i}$  is locally finite. Assume, if necessary, that  $\mathcal{C}_{n,i} \subset \mathcal{C}_{n,i+1}$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$
\mathcal{B}_n = \{ \bigcap_{i \leq n} p_i^{-1}(C_i) : \bigcap_{i \leq n} p_i^{-1}(C_i) \subset M_\lambda, C_i \in \bigcup_{j \leq n} C_{i,j}, i \leq n, \lambda \in \Lambda \},\
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{B}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}, \mathcal{P}_n = f(\mathcal{B}_n), \mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \}.
$$

Then B is a base for M consisting of separable subsets. This implies that B is a csnetwork (resp. cfp-network, wcs-network,  $cs^*$ -network) for M consisting of cosmic subspaces. Since  $f$  is a sequence-covering (resp. compact-covering, pseudosequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mapping,  $P$  is a cs-network (resp. cfpnetwork,  $wcs$ -network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X consisting of cosmic subspaces.

For  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $U_{x,n} = \bigcap_{i \leq n} V_{x,i}$ . Then  $U_{x,n}$  is an open neighborhood of x in X. For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\overline{p_i(f^{-1}(V_{x,i}))}$  is a compact subset of  $X_i$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$  is locally finite,  $p_i(f^{-1}(V_{x,i}))$  meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{C}_{i,j}$  for each  $j \in$ N. So  $f^{-1}(V_{x,i})$  meets only finitely many members of  $\{p_i^{-1}(C_i) : C_i \in \bigcup_{j \leq n} C_{i,j}\}.$ This implies that  $f^{-1}(U_{x,n})$  meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_n$ is locally finite. This implies that  $P$  is  $\sigma$ -locally finite.

By the above inclusions,  $\mathcal P$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite cs-network (resp. cf p-network,  $wcs$ -network,  $cs^*$ -network) for X consisting of cosmic subspaces.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1)$ . In view of the proof  $(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (1)$  of Corollary  $3.10.$ 

Remark 3.12. Since subsequence-covering mappings and sequentially-quotient mappings are equivalent for metric domains, "sequentially-quotient" in the above results can be replaced by "subsequence-covering".

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] T. V. An and N. V. Dung, On ls-Ponomarev systems and s-images of locally separable metric spaces, Lobachevskii J. Math. 29(3) (2008), 111 - 118.
- [2] A. V. Arhangel'skii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), 115 162.
- [3] J. R. Boone and F. Siwiec, Sequentially quotient mappings, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 26 (1976), 174 - 182.
- [4] N. V. Dung, On sequence-covering msss-images of locally separable metric spaces, Lobachevskii J. Math. 30(1) (2009), 67 -75.
- [5] N. V. Dung, Mappings in  $\sigma$ -Ponomarev-systems, Acta Math. Vietnam. **35** (2010), 275-287.
- [6] R. Engelking, General topology, Sigma series in pure mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [7] Z. M. Gao, N-space is invariant under perfect mappings, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 5 (1987), 281 - 291.
- [8] Y. Ge, On compact images of locally separable metric spaces, *Topology Proc.* 27(1) (2003), 351 - 360.
- [9] Y. Ge, ℵ-spaces and mssc-images of metric sapces, J. Math. Res. Exposition 24 (2004), 198 - 202.
- [10] J. A. Guthrie, A characterization of  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, *General Topology Appl.* 1 (1971), 105 110.
- [11] M. Husek and J. v. Mill, *Recent progress in general topology II*, Elsevier, 2002. [12] J. Li and S. Jiang, Certain cs-images of locally separable metric spaces, Kyungpook Math. J. 43 (2003), 127 - 134.
- [13] Z. Li, A note on  $\aleph$ -spaces and g-metrizable spaces, Czechoslovak Math. J. 55 (130) (2005), 803 - 808.
- [14] Z. Li, Q. Li, and X. Zhou, On sequence-covering msss-maps, Mat. Vesnik 59 (2007), 15 -21.
- [15] S. Lin. Locally countable families, locally finite families and Alexandroff's problem, Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.) 37 (1994), 491 - 496.
- [16] S. Lin, Point-countable covers and sequence-covering mappings, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [17] S. Lin, C. Liu, and M. Dai, Images on locally separable metric spaces, Acta Math. Sinica  $(N.S.)$  13 (1) (1997), 1 - 8.
- [18] S. Lin and P. Yan, Sequence-covering maps of metric spaces, Topology Appl. 109 (2001), 301 -314.
- [19] E. Michael, A note on closed maps and compact subsets, Israel J. Math. 2 (1964), 173 176.
- [20] E. Michael, N<sub>0</sub>-spaces, *J. Math. Mech.* **15** (1966), 983 1002.
- [21] Z. Qu and Z. Gao, Spaces with compact-countable k-networks, Math. Japonica 49 (1999), 199 -205.
- [22] F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, General Topology Appl. 1 (1971), 143 - 154.
- [23] Y. Tanaka, Theory of k-networks II, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 19 (2001), 27 46.
- [24] P. Yan and S. Lin, Compact-covering, s-mappings on metric spaces, Acta Math. Sinica 42 (1999), 241 - 244.

Department of Mathematics, Dongthap University Caolanh City, Dongthap Province, Vietnam E-mail address: nguyendungtc@yahoo.com; nvdung@staff.dthu.edu.vn