## **APPROXIMATING SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATION** x = T(x, x)

## W. A. KIRK

ABSTRACT. Let D be a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space, and let  $T: D \times D \to D$  be a continuous mapping which satisfies for all  $x, y, z, t \in D$ ,

$$||T(x,y) - T(z,t)|| \le \max\{||x - z||, ||y - t||\}$$

with strict inequality holding when  $\|x-z\|\neq \|y-t\|.$  Suppose T condensing in the sense that

 $\gamma\left(T\left(U,V\right)\right) < \max\left\{\gamma\left(U\right),\gamma\left(V\right)\right\}$ 

for subsets U, V of D for which  $\gamma(U \setminus V) > 0$  (where  $\gamma$  denotes the usual Kuratowski set-measure of noncompactness). A projection-iteration method is shown to converge to a solution of x = T(x, x). The significance of this result is that it holds in arbitrary spaces.

The following is a Banach space version of an inequality proved in [6]. (The original version is proved in the more general context of a convex metric space of so-called 'hyperbolic type', but the following will be adequate for our purpose here.)

**Proposition 1.** Let K be a convex subset of a Banach space and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers satisfying  $0 \le \alpha_n < 1$ . Suppose  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset K$  satisfy for all  $n \ge 0$ ,

(i)  $x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) x_n + \alpha_n y_n;$ (ii)  $||y_{n+1} - y_n|| \le ||x_{n+1} - x_n||.$ 

Then

$$\left(1 + \sum_{s=i}^{i+n-1} \alpha_s\right) \|x_i - y_i\|$$
  
$$\leq \|y_{i+n} - x_i\| + \left(\prod_{s=i}^{i+n-1} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_s}\right) [\|x_i - y_i\| - \|x_{i+n} - y_{i+n}\|]$$

If, in addition, K is bounded,  $\alpha_n \leq b < 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = +\infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - y_n|| = 0.$ 

Received April 28, 2000; in revised form September 28, 2000.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54H25; 47H09.

Key words and phrases. Nonexpansive mappings, condensing mappings, asymptotic regularity, approximating solutions.

In this note we use the final conclusion of the above result to generalize the following theorem of [2] (Theorem 3). In this theorem  $\gamma$  denotes the usual Kuratowski set-measure of noncompactness.

**Theorem 1.** Let D be a closed bounded convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X. Suppose  $T: D \times D \rightarrow D$  is a continuous operator satisfying the conditions

$$\|T(x,y) - T(z,t)\| \begin{cases} < \max\{\|x - z\|, \|y - t\|\}, & \text{if } \|x - z\| \neq \|y - t\| \\ \le \|x - z\| = \|y - t\| \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y, z, t \in D$ , and

$$\gamma\left(T\left(U,V\right)\right) < \max\left\{\gamma\left(U\right),\gamma\left(V\right)\right\}$$

for subsets U, V of D such that  $\gamma(U \setminus V) > 0$ . Then there exist numbers  $\lambda_n$ ,  $0 < a < \lambda_n < b < 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , where a, b are constants, such that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by

$$x_n = \lambda_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_n) \,\bar{x}_n,$$

where  $\bar{x}_n = T(\bar{x}_n, x_{n-1})$ , converges to a solution of x = T(x, x) for any initial  $x_0 \in D$ .

Using Proposition 1 we show that the above theorem holds in an arbitrary Banach space.

**Theorem 2.** Let D be a closed bounded convex subset of a Banach space X. Suppose  $T: D \times D \to D$  is continuous and satisfies the conditions

(\*) 
$$||T(x,y) - T(z,t)|| \begin{cases} < \max\{||x-z||, ||y-t||\}, & \text{if } ||x-z|| \neq ||y-t|| \\ \le ||x-z|| = ||y-t|| \end{cases}$$

for all  $x, y, z, t \in D$ , and suppose

$$\gamma\left(T\left(U,V\right)\right) < \max\left\{\gamma\left(U\right),\gamma\left(V\right)\right\}$$

for subsets U, V of D such that  $\gamma(U \setminus V) > 0$ . Let  $x_0 \in D$  and  $b \in (0,1)$ , and choose  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset (b,1)$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda_n) = +\infty$ . Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  given by

$$x_n = \lambda_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_n) \bar{x}_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\bar{x}_n = T(\bar{x}_n, x_{n-1})$ , is well defined and converges to a solution of x = T(x, x).

Our point of departure is the following fact which is essentially proved in [9].

**Proposition 2.** Let (M,d) be a metric space and K a bounded closed convex subset of a Banach space X. Let  $\{T_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in M}$  be a family of mappings of K into K which has the property that for some A > 0,

(\*\*) 
$$||T_{\alpha}x - T_{\beta}y|| \le \max\left\{Ad\left(\alpha, \beta\right), ||x - y||\right\}$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in M$ ,  $x, y \in K$ . Suppose also that  $T_{\alpha}$  is condensing for each  $\alpha \in M$ . Then there exists a mapping  $f: M \to K$  for which  $T_{\alpha}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$  and for which  $\|f(\alpha) - f(\beta)\| \leq Ad(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in M$ .

*Proof.* This result is proved in [9] under the assumption  $T_{\alpha}(K)$  is precompact for each  $\alpha \in M$ . We need only modify a portion of that argument. Following [9] for each  $\alpha \in M$  define the mapping  $f_{\alpha}$  by setting for each  $x \in K$ ,

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = (1/2) \left( x + T_{\alpha} x \right)$$

Then by a result of Ishikawa [7] (or by Proposition 1), for each  $x_0 \in K$ ,

$$\left\| f_{\alpha}^{n+1}\left( x_{0}\right) - f_{\alpha}^{n}\left( x_{0}\right) \right\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Also, by well-known properties of  $\gamma$ , (for example, see [1], p. 19)

$$\gamma \left( \left\{ f_{\alpha}^{n+1} (x_0) \right\} \right) = \gamma \left( \left\{ (1/2) \left( f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) + T_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) \right\} \right) \\ = (1/2) \gamma \left( \left\{ \left( f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) + T_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) \right\} \right) \\ \le (1/2) \left( \gamma \left( \left\{ f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) \right\} + \gamma \left( \left\{ T_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^n (x_0) \right\} \right) \right) \right) \right)$$

from which follows (since  $\gamma\left(\left\{f_{\alpha}^{n+1}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right) = \gamma\left(\left\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right)\right)$ ,

$$\gamma\left(\left\{f_{\alpha}^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right) \leq \gamma\left(\left\{T_{\alpha}f_{\alpha}^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}\right)$$

Since  $T_{\alpha}$  is condensing  $\gamma(\{f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0})\}) = 0$ , so  $\{f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0})\}$  has a subsequence which converges (by continuity) to a fixed point  $f(\alpha)$  of  $f_{\alpha}$ . The fixed points of  $f_{\alpha}$  and  $T_{\alpha}$  coincide, so  $T_{\alpha}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$ .

The proof is now completed precisely as in [9]. For convenience we include the details.

Notice in particular that condition (\*\*) implies that the mapping  $T_{\alpha}$ , hence  $f_{\alpha}$ , is nonexpansive, so  $f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) \to f(\alpha)$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now fixe  $\alpha, \beta \in M$ . Then

$$\|f_{\alpha}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}(x_{0})\| = (1/2) \|T_{\alpha}(x_{0}) - T_{\beta}(x_{0})\| \le (1/2) Ad(\alpha, \beta).$$

Moreover, if  $\left\| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) \right\| \leq Ad(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f_{\alpha}^{n+1}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n+1}(x_{0}) \right\| \\ &= \left\| f_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0})\right) - f_{\beta}\left(f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0})\right) \right\| \\ &= (1/2) \left\| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) + T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0})\right) - \left(f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) + T_{\beta}\left(f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0})\right)\right) \right\| \\ &\leq (1/2) \left\| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) \right\| + (1/2) \left\| T_{\alpha}\left(f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0})\right) - T_{\beta}\left(f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0})\right) \right\| \\ &\leq (1/2) Ad\left(\alpha, \beta\right) + (1/2) \max\left\{ Ad\left(\alpha, \beta\right), \left\| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) \right\| \right\} \\ &= Ad\left(\alpha, \beta\right). \end{aligned}$$

So by induction  $\left\| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) \right\| \leq Ad(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore  $\| f(\alpha) - f(\beta) \| = \lim_{n} \| f_{\alpha}^{n}(x_{0}) - f_{\beta}^{n}(x_{0}) \| \leq Ad(\alpha, \beta)$ .

29

L		
		1

Proof of Theorem 2. By taking M = K = D and defining  $T_{\alpha} = T(\cdot, \alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in D$ , we see immediately that

$$||T_{\alpha}x - T_{\beta}y|| \le \max\left\{d\left(\alpha, \beta\right), ||x - y||\right\}.$$

By Proposition 2 we know that there exists a mapping  $f : D \to D$  such that  $T_{\alpha}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha)$  and  $||f(\alpha) - f(\beta)|| \le ||\alpha - \beta||$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in D$ . Select  $x_0 \in D$ , let  $\bar{x}_1 = f(x_0)$ , and define  $\bar{x}_n = f(x_{n-1}), n \ge 1$ . This assures that the sequence  $\{\bar{x}_n\}$  is well defined. Clearly

$$\bar{x}_n = f(x_{n-1}) = T_{x_{n-1}}f(x_{n-1}) = T(\bar{x}_n, x_{n-1}).$$

The convergence part of the theorem (which in this instance also proves existence) is now a direct consequence of Proposition 1 upon taking  $\alpha_n = 1 - \lambda_n$  and  $y_n = \bar{x}_{n+1}$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - \bar{x}_{n+1}|| = 0$ . Also, as in [2],  $\gamma(\{\bar{x}_n\}) = \gamma(\{x_n\}) = 0$ . Thus  $x_{n_i} \to u \in D$  as  $i \to \infty$  for some subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . By Proposition 1  $\bar{x}_{n_i+1} \to u$ . Since T is continuous we conclude that u = T(u, u). Also

$$||u - \bar{x}_n|| = ||T(u, u) - T(\bar{x}_n, x_{n-1})||$$
  
$$\leq \max \{||u - \bar{x}_n||, ||u - x_{n-1}||\}.$$

The alternatives of condition (\*) require that either  $||u - \bar{x}_n|| = ||u - x_{n-1}||$  or  $||u - \bar{x}_n|| < ||u - x_{n-1}||$ . In either case we have for  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$||u - x_n|| \le \lambda_n ||u - x_{n-1}|| + (1 - \lambda_n) ||u - \bar{x}_n||$$
  
$$\le ||u - x_{n-1}||.$$

This implies that  $\{\|u - x_n\|\}$  is monotone decreasing and, since  $\lim_i \|u - x_n\| = 0$ ,  $\lim_n \|u - x_n\| = 0$ .

*Remark.* The case  $\alpha_n = \lambda_n \equiv 1/2$  would appear to be of more practical interest. This case of Proposition 1 is dealt with in more detail in the forthcoming paper [8]. Also see [4].

Several other conditions are listed in [9] under which the conclusion of Proposition 2 holds. These should lead in fairly direct ways to additional extensions of the existence part of Theorem 2.

Other applications of the inequality of Proposition 1 are found in [3].

## References

- J. M. Ayerbe, T. Dominguez Benavides and G. Lopez Acedo, Measures of Noncompactness in Metric Fixed Point Theory, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1997.
- [2] Tran Quoc Binh and Nguyen Minh Chuong, On a fixed point theorem for rnonexpansive nonlinear operator, Acta Math. Vietnam. 24 (1999), 1-8.
- [3] J. M. Borwein, S. Reich and I. Shafrir, Krasnoselski-Mann iterations in normed spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 35 (1992), 21-28.
- [4] M. Edelstein and R. C. O'Brien, Nonexpansive mappings, asymptotic regularity and successive approximations, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 17 (1978), 547-554.
- [5] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

- [6] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, Iteration processes for nonexpansive mappings, in Topological Methods in Nonlinear Functional Analysis (S. P. Singh and S. Thomeier, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1983, 115-123.
- S. Ishikawa, Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1976), 65-71.
- [8] W. A. Kirk, Nonexpansive mappings and asymptotic regularity, Nonlinear Analysis 40 (2000), 323-332.
- [9] W. A. Kirk and Y. Sternfeld, The fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings in certain product spaces, Houston J. Math. 10 (1984), 207-214.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF IOWA IOWA CITY, IOWA 52242-1419, USA *E-mail address*: kirk@math.uiowa.edu