

SOME COLLECTIONS OF FUNCTIONS DENSE IN AN ORLICZ SPACE

TRUONG VAN THUONG

ABSTRACT. This paper presents sufficient conditions for a translation invariant subspace of $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to be dense in the Orlicz space $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

INTRODUCTION

Let φ be a function defined on \mathbb{R}^n and a be a function defined on \mathbb{Z}^n . Their semi-discrete convolution [7] is defined by, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\varphi *' a(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi(x - \alpha) a(\alpha),$$

for which the series converges absolutely. Denote by $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ the space of all finitely supported functions on \mathbb{Z}^n and $S_0(\varphi)$ the image of $\ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ under $\varphi *'$. If $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\varphi *' a \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

A collection F of functions on \mathbb{R}^n is called shift invariant [7] if for each $f \in F$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $f(\cdot + \alpha) \in F$. Then $S_0(\varphi)$ is a linear span of the integer translates of φ and is shift invariant. A set F is called translation invariant if

$$\tau_t : f \longrightarrow f(\cdot + t)$$

maps F into F for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and F is dilation invariant if

$$\sigma_h : f \longrightarrow f(h^{-1}\cdot)$$

maps F into itself for each $h > 0$. Denote

Received January 26, 1999; in revised form March 26, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46F99, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Translation invariant, Fourier transform, theory of Orlicz spaces.

Supported by the National Basic Research Program in Natural Science.

$$U_h = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi).$$

The problem of finding sufficient conditions on a collection of functions generated by translations of a single function to be dense in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was studied by Kang Zhao in [7]. He showed that for a subspace which is generated by U_h , where φ satisfies some certain conditions, the span U_h is dense in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This leads to the natural question under what conditions on the collection U_h and function φ , the linear span of U_h is dense in the Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$?

In this paper, modifying the method of [7] we give some sufficient conditions for a collection of functions generated by translations of a single function in $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to be dense in $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We have to overcome some difficulties because $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ but $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not generally dense in $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, the results of this paper are generalizations of the ones given by Kang Zhao in [7].

RESULTS

Let $\Phi(t) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be an arbitrary Young function, i.e., $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi(t) \geq 0$, $\Phi(t) \not\equiv 0$ and $\Phi(t)$ is convex. We denote by $\bar{\Phi}(t)$ the Young conjugate function of $\Phi(t)$, i.e.,

$$\bar{\Phi}(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \{ts - \Phi(s)\}$$

and by $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the space of measurable functions $f(x)$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx \right| < \infty$$

for all $g(x)$ with $\rho(g, \bar{\Phi}) < \infty$, where

$$\rho(g, \bar{\Phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bar{\Phi}(|g(x)|)dx.$$

Then $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with respect to the Orlicz norm

$$\|f\|_{\Phi} = \sup \left\{ \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx \right| : \rho(g, \bar{\Phi}) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

A Young function Φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if

$$\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x), \quad x \geq 0 \quad \text{for some absolute constant } K > 0 \text{ [see 5].}$$

We first recall some results on Orlicz spaces [5,4,1]. We have:

$$1. \|f\|_{\Phi} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx : \rho(g, \bar{\Phi}) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

2. $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset S'$, where S' is the dual of the space S of rapidly decreasing test functions.

3. If $f \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\|f(\cdot + t)\|_{\Phi} = \|f\|_{\Phi}$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4. Let $f \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L_{\bar{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\|f * h\|_{\Phi} \leq \|f\|_{\Phi} \|h\|_1$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)g(x)| dx \leq \|f\|_{\Phi} \|g\|_{\bar{\Phi}}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let Φ be a Young function satisfying Δ_2 -condition. Then for each $f \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one has*

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f(\cdot + t) - f\|_{\Phi} = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. We first prove that $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, put $K_m = [-m, m]^n$. It follows from the convexity of Φ that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{1}{\text{mes}K_m} \int_{K_m} |f(x)| dx\right) \leq \frac{1}{\text{mes}K_m} \int_{K_m} \Phi(|f(x)|) dx.$$

Since $f \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(|f(x)|) dx < \infty$. From the above inequality and the hypothesis of Φ , it follows that $\int_{K_m} |f(x)| dx < \infty$. Hence $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that for any sequence $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, if $t_k \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f(\cdot + t_k) - f\|_{\Phi} = 0.$$

Assume to the contrary that there exists $\{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $t_k \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$(2) \quad \|f(\cdot + t_k) - f\|_{\Phi} \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0.$$

As shown above, $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For each K_m , we obtain

$$\int_{K_m} |f(x + t_k) - f(x)| dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by [2, p.93, Theorem D], there exists a subsequence $\{t_{k_j}\} \subset \{t_k\}$ such that $f(\cdot + t_{k_j}) \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere on K_m . Therefore, there exists a subsequence such that $\{f(\cdot + t_{k_{j_h}})\} \rightarrow f$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . For simplicity, we still denote it by $\{f(\cdot + t_{k_j})\}$.

Since Φ is a convex function and satisfies Δ_2 -condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(|f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x)|) &\leq \Phi(|f(x + t_{k_j})| + |f(x)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [\Phi(2|f(x + t_{k_j})|) + \Phi(2|f(x)|)] \\ &\leq \frac{K}{2} [\Phi(|f(x + t_{k_j})|) + \Phi(|f(x)|)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \frac{K}{2} [\Phi(f(x + t_{k_j})) + \Phi(f(x))] - \Phi(|f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Applying Fatou's lemma to the subsequence $\{\Phi(f(\cdot + t_{k_j}))\}$ and using the equality

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x + t_{k_j})) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x)) dx,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x)) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[\frac{K}{2} [\Phi(f(x + t_{k_j})) + \Phi(f(x))] - \Phi(f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x)) \right] dx \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\Phi(f(x + t_{k_j})) + \Phi(f(x))] dx \\ &\quad - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x)) dx \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$= K \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x)) dx - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x)) dx.$$

By inequality (3),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f(x + t_{k_j}) - f(x))dx \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

By [5, Theorem 12], $\|f(\cdot + t_{k_j}) - f\|_{\Phi} \rightarrow 0$, which contradicts (2). \square

The subsequent two lemmas can be proved in a manner similar to that of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 of [7]. We include their proofs for the sake of completeness. They will be helpful for the understanding of the arguments that will be used in the sequel.

Denote by \mathbb{R}^* the abelian group of all nonzero real numbers with the operation of ordinary multiplication and

$$\text{dist}(\varphi, S)_{\Phi} = \min\{\|\varphi - f\|_{\Phi}, f \in S\}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let Φ be a Young function satisfying Δ_2 -condition and $\varphi \in L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer larger than 1. If $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$, where $U_h = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$, then $\overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is translation invariant.*

Proof. By the definition of dilatation, for each $h > 0$, we have

$$\sigma_h^j f(x) = f(h^{-j}x) \quad \text{for all } j \geq 1.$$

Let f be an arbitrary in $\sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$, i.e. $f = \sigma_h^j g$ with $g \in S_0(\varphi)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x + \alpha) &= \sigma_h^j g(x + \alpha) = g(h^{-j}(x + \alpha)) \\ &= g(h^{-j}x + h^{-j}\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \varphi(h^{-j}x - \beta)a(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g \in S_0(\varphi)$, we have $g(\cdot + \alpha) \in S_0(\varphi)$. Hence $f(\cdot + \alpha) \in \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$. This proves that $\sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$ is shift invariant. Therefore U_h is shift invariant and so is $\text{span}U_h$.

For each $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, by Result 3, we have

$$\|\varphi(\cdot - \beta) - f(\cdot - \beta)\|_{\Phi} = \|\varphi - f\|_{\Phi}, \quad \text{for all } f \in \text{span}U_h.$$

Since $\text{span}U_h$ is shift invariant, we have

$$\text{dist}(\varphi(\cdot - \beta), \text{span}U_h)_\Phi = \text{dist}(\varphi, \text{span}U_h)_\Phi.$$

By virtue of $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$ it follows that $\varphi(\cdot - \beta) \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. This implies that $S_0(\varphi) \subset \overline{\text{span}U_h}$. Note that $U_h \cup S_0(\varphi) = \sigma_h^{-1}U_h$. Then

$$(4) \quad \overline{\text{span}U_h} = \overline{\text{span}\sigma_h^{-1}U_h}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(5) \quad \sigma_h^k \text{span}U_h = \text{span}\sigma_h^k U_h = \sigma_h^{k+1} \text{span}\sigma_h^{-1}U_h.$$

Combining (4) and (5), we conclude that

$$\overline{\text{span}\sigma_h^k U_h} = \overline{\text{span}\sigma_h^{k+1} U_h}, \quad \text{for any } k \geq 1.$$

Therefore

$$(6) \quad \overline{\text{span}U_h} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{span}\sigma_h^j U_h}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\sigma_h^k S_0(\varphi)$ is $h^k \alpha$ -translation invariant and so is $\overline{\text{span}\sigma_h^k U_h}$. From (6) it follows that $\overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is $h^k \alpha$ -translation invariant.

Since $\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} h^j \mathbb{Z}^n$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n , for each $k \geq 1$, we have, by using Lemma 1,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|g(\cdot + t) - g\|_\Phi = 0, \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{span}U_h.$$

Hence $\overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is translation invariant. \square

Lemma 3. *Let Φ be a Young function satisfying Δ_2 -condition. Assume that $\varphi \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and G is a subgroup of \mathbb{R}^* . If*

$$\lim_{h \in G, h \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(\varphi, \sigma_h S_0(\varphi))_\Phi = 0,$$

then $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)}$ is a translation invariant subspace of $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any sequence $\{h_j\} \subset G$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} h_j = 0$.

Proof. For any $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $h \neq 0$ then $h^{-1}\beta = \alpha + \xi$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\xi \in [0, 1)^n$. Fix a function $a \in \ell_0(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. We have

$$(7) \quad \|\varphi(\cdot - \beta) - \sigma_h(\varphi *' a)\|_{\Phi} = \|\varphi(\cdot - h\xi) - \sigma_h(\varphi *' b)\|_{\Phi},$$

with $a(\beta) = b(\beta - \alpha)$. From (1) and the hypothesis

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \text{dist}(\varphi, \sigma_h S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi} = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\varphi(\cdot - h\xi) - \sigma_h(\varphi *' b)\|_{\Phi} = 0.$$

From (7) we conclude that $\varphi(\cdot - \beta) \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$, for all $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Therefore

$$(8) \quad S_0(\varphi) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\text{dist}(\sigma_{h_1} f, \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi} \leq \text{dist}(f, \sigma_{h_j h_1^{-1}} S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi}, \quad f \in S_0(\varphi).$$

Therefore

$$\sigma_{h_1} S_0(\varphi) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{j=2}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}.$$

From the hypothesis that G is a subgroup and (8), we get

$$S_0(\varphi) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j/h_k} S_0(\varphi)}$$

for every $m \geq k \geq 1$. By an argument similar to the previous one, we obtain

$$(9) \quad \sigma_{h_k} S_0(\varphi) \subset \overline{\bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$$

for every $m \geq k \geq 1$. Hence

$$(10) \quad \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}.$$

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\sigma_{h_k} S_0(\varphi)$ is $h_k \alpha$ -translation invariant and so is

$\overline{\bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ for every $m \geq k \geq 1$. From (10) it follows that $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ is $h_k \alpha$ -translation invariant. For any sequence $\{h_j\} \subset G$, $h_j \rightarrow 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a point $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\|t - \alpha h_j\|$ (the ordinary norm on \mathbb{R}^n) is sufficiently small, for some $j \geq 1$.

Let $f \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a function $g \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ such that

$$(11) \quad \|f(\cdot + t) - g(\cdot + t)\|_{\Phi} < \varepsilon.$$

Clearly $g \in \sigma_{h_k} S_0(\varphi)$, for some $k \geq 1$. From (9) we conclude that $g \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=m}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ for every integer m larger than 1. From (1) we have

$$(12) \quad \|g(\cdot + t) - g(\cdot + h_j \alpha)\|_{\Phi} < \varepsilon.$$

A combination of (11) and (12) yields $f(\cdot + t) \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$. Thus

$\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ is translation invariant.

Let $f, g \in S_0(\varphi)$, $r, t \geq 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{dist}(\sigma_{h_r} f + \sigma_{h_t} g, \sigma_h S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi} \\ & \leq \text{dist}(f, \sigma_{h h_r^{-1}} S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi} + \text{dist}(g, \sigma_{h h_t^{-1}} S_0(\varphi))_{\Phi}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that if $f, g \in \overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ and $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda f + \gamma g \in$

$\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$. Hence $\overline{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{h_j} S_0(\varphi)}$ is a translation invariant subspace. \square

The spectrum of a function g , denoted by $\text{sp}(g)$, is defined to be the support of \widehat{g} , the Fourier transform of g [1].

Lemma 4. [1] *Let $\Phi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f(x) \not\equiv 0$ and $\xi^0 \in \text{sp}(f)$ be an arbitrary point. Then the restriction of \widehat{f} on any neighbourhood of ξ^0 cannot concentrate on any finite number of hyperplanes.*

The following lemma, which will be used in the sequel, is the analog for Orlicz spaces of [6, Theorem 9.3], and has a similar proof.

Lemma 5. *Let $(\Phi, \overline{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions. Assume that $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f * g = 0$ then*

$$\text{sp}(g) \subset Z(f) := \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{f}(t) = 0\}.$$

Proof. For each t in the complement of $Z(f)$, we have $\widehat{f}(t) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\widehat{f}(t) = 1$. By Lemma 9.2 [6] there exists $h \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|h\|_1 < 1$ such that $\widehat{h}(s) = 1 - \widehat{f}(s)$ for all s in some neighbourhood V of t .

To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that $\widehat{g} = 0$ in V , i.e., for every $\psi \in S$ such that $\widehat{\psi}$ (the Fourier transform of ψ) has its support in V , one proves that $\widehat{g}(\widehat{\psi}) = 0$. By the definition of the Fourier transform of a distribution $g \in S'$ [3,6], we have

$$\widehat{g}(\widehat{\psi}) = g(\check{\psi}) = (g * \psi)(0),$$

where $\check{\psi}(x) = \psi(-x)$.

We shall prove that $(g * \psi)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix a function ψ in S . Put $g_0 = \psi$, $g_j = h * g_{j-1}$, for $j \geq 1$ and $G = \sum_{j=0}^\infty g_j$. It is clear that $G \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In fact, by Young's inequality for convolution products, we get

$$\|g_j\|_1 \leq \|h\|_1 \|g_{j-1}\|_1 \leq \|h\|_1^j \|\psi\|_1.$$

This implies that

$$\|G\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|h\|_1^j \|\psi\|_1 < \infty.$$

Since $\widehat{h}(s) = 1 - \widehat{f}(s)$ on the support of $\widehat{\psi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [1 - \widehat{h}(s)]\widehat{\psi}(s) &= \widehat{f}(s)\widehat{\psi}(s) = \widehat{f}(s)\widehat{g}_0(s) \\ [\widehat{h}(s) - \widehat{h}^2(s)]\widehat{\psi}(s) &= \widehat{f}(s)\widehat{\psi}(s)\widehat{h}(s) = \widehat{f}(s)\widehat{g}_1(s) \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\psi}(s) = \widehat{f}(s)\widehat{G}(s)$ for all $s \in V$. It is clear that

$$(13) \quad \widehat{\psi} = \widehat{f}\widehat{G} = \widehat{f * G}.$$

From (13) we get $\psi = G * f$. Since $f, G \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one can see that

$$\|\psi * g\|_{\overline{\Phi}} = \|(G * f) * g\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \leq \|G * f\|_1 \|g\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \leq \|G\|_1 \|f\|_1 \|g\|_{\overline{\Phi}} < \infty.$$

Since the convolution product is associative, we get

$$\psi * g = (G * f) * g = G * (f * g) = 0.$$

Hence $\widehat{g}(\widehat{\psi}) = 0$. It is clear that $\widehat{g} = 0$ in the neighbourhood V . This implies that t in the complement of the spectrum of g . Therefore $\text{sp}(g) \subset Z(f)$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let $(\Phi, \overline{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions, Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition and $\overline{\Phi}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Assume that Y is a translation invariant subspace of $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If for each $\xi \in Z(Y) := \bigcap_{f \in Y} \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{f}(t) = 0\}$ there is a neighbourhood V of ξ such that $V \cap Z(Y)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes, then Y is dense in $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that Y is not dense in $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a nonzero functional $g \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{Y},$$

by [5, Corollary 6] $(L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since Y is a translation invariant subspace, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y-x)g(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in Y.$$

In other words, $f * g = 0$ for all $f \in Y$. By Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\text{sp}(g) \subset \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{f}(t) = 0\}, \quad \text{for all } f \in Y.$$

Hence, $\text{sp}(g) \subset Z(Y)$.

By hypothesis, it follows that for each $\xi \in \text{sp}(g)$ there is a neighbourhood V of ξ such that $V \cap \text{sp}(g)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes. Applying Lemma 4, we have $g = 0$. This is impossible. \square

Corollary 1. *Let $(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions, Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition and $\bar{\Phi}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Assume that Y is a translation invariant subspace of $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $Z(Y)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes, then Y is dense in $L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 1. In the previous theorem, the assumption $\bar{\Phi}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ can be dropped when we replace the hypothesis that for each $\xi \in Z(Y)$ there is a neighbourhood V of ξ such that $V \cap Z(Y)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes by the hypothesis that $Z(Y) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2. *Let $(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions and let Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Assume that $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\widehat{\varphi}(0) \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer larger than 1. If $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$, where $U_h = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$, then $\overline{\text{span}U_h} = L_{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. For any $g \in L_{\bar{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx = 0,$$

for all $f \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$, we will prove that $g = 0$. By virtue of Lemma 2, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma_h^j \varphi(y - x)g(x)dx = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 1, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $g \in L_{\bar{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\sigma_h^j \varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$(g * \sigma_h^j \varphi)(y) = g(\sigma_h^j \varphi(y - \cdot)) = 0,$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the Fourier transform of $\sigma_h^j \varphi(x)$ is $h^{jn} \widehat{\varphi}(h^j t)$. It follows from Lemma 5 that

$$(14) \quad \text{sp}(g) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{\varphi}(h^j t) = 0\} = Z(\varphi).$$

Since $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widehat{\varphi}(0) \neq 0$, we have $\widehat{\varphi}(h^j t) \neq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and j sufficiently large. This shows that $Z(\varphi) = \emptyset$. From (14) we conclude that $\widehat{g} = 0$. Hence $g = 0$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, we have $\overline{\text{span}U_h} = L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Theorem 3. *Let $(\Phi, \overline{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions, Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition and $\overline{\Phi}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Assume that $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer > 1 . Suppose $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$, where $U_h = \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$. If for each $\xi \in Z(\varphi)$ there is a neighbourhood V of ξ such that $V \cap Z(\varphi)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes, then $\overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is dense in $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that then there exists a nonzero functional $g \in (L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)dx = 0, \quad \text{for all } f \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}.$$

Then $g \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = (L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n))^*$ by a result of [5]. By virtue of Lemma 2, $\overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is translation invariant, and hence,

$$(15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma_h^j \varphi(y-x)g(x)dx = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 1, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L_{\overline{\Phi}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from (15) we get $(g * \sigma_h^j \varphi)(y) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The Fourier transform of $(g * \sigma_h^j \varphi)(x)$ is $h^{nj} \widehat{\varphi}(h^j t) \widehat{g}(t)$. Therefore

$$(16) \quad \widehat{(\sigma_h^j \varphi * g)} = h^{nj} \widehat{\varphi}(h^j \cdot) \widehat{g} = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

Lemma 5 and (16) imply that

$$\text{sp}(g) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^\infty \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : \widehat{\varphi}(h^j t) = 0\} = Z(\varphi).$$

By the hypothesis and Lemma 4, we get $g = 0$. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore $\overline{\text{span}U_h} = L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

Corollary 2. *Let $(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions, Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition and $\bar{\Phi}(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. Assume that $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer > 1 . Suppose $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$, where $U_h = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi)$. If $Z(\varphi)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes, then $\text{span}U_h$ is dense in $L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Remark 2. The conclusions of Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 remain valid if the condition $\varphi \in \overline{\text{span}U_h}$ is replaced by the condition $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0, h \in G} \text{dist}(\varphi, \sigma_h S_0(\varphi))_\Phi = 0$.

Using the same argument as in the proof of [7, Proposition 6.1], we obtain the following two corollaries:

Corollary 3. *Let $(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions and Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition. Assume that $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\widehat{\varphi}(0) \neq 0$ and $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer larger than 1. If $\varphi \in \overline{\sigma_h S_0(\varphi)}$, then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(f, \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi))_\Phi = 0, \quad \forall f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Corollary 4. *Let $(\Phi, \bar{\Phi})$ be a complementary pair of Young functions, Φ satisfy Δ_2 -condition and $\bar{\Phi}(t) > 0$, for $t > 0$. Assume that $\varphi \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\frac{1}{h}$ is an integer larger than 1. If $Z(\varphi)$ is contained in a finite number of hyperplanes and $\varphi \in \overline{\sigma_h S_0(\varphi)}$, then*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(f, \sigma_h^j S_0(\varphi))_\Phi = 0, \quad \forall f \in L_\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank Professor Ha Huy Bang for his guidance and encouragement and the referee for many suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Ha Huy Bang, *Spectrum of functions in Orlicz spaces*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **4** (1997), 341-349.
2. Paul R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1974.
3. L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1983.

4. O'Neil, R., *Fractional integration in Orlicz space I.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 300-328.
5. M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, New York, 1995.
6. Walter Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd. New Delhi, 1989.
7. Kang Zhao, *Density of dilates of a shift-invariant subspace*, J. of Math. Analysis and Appl. **184** (1994), 517-532.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUE UNIVERSITY
34 LE LOI STREET, HUE, VIETNAM